


ASTR1115G - Dr. Prescott
FALL 2021
©NMSU Astronomy

NMSU Astronomy
ASTR 1115G
Lab Manual



Contents

1	Introduction to the Astronomy 1115G Labs	1
2	The Origin of the Seasons	14
3	Kepler's Laws	31
4	The Power of Light: Understanding Spectroscopy	49
5	Optics	63
6	Measuring Distances Using Parallax	77
7	Our Sun	88
8	The Hertzsprung-Russell Diagram	102
9	Shaping Surfaces in the Solar System: The Impacts of Comets & Asteroids	118
10	Galaxy Morphology	130
11	How Many Galaxies are there in the Universe?	150
12	Hubble's Law: Finding the Age of the Universe	161
13	APPENDIX A: Fundamental Quantities	171
14	APPENDIX B: Accuracy and Significant Digits	172
15	APPENDIX C: Unit Conversions	173
16	APPENDIX D: Uncertainties and Errors	174
17	Observatory Worksheets	175

Name:_____

Date:_____

1 Introduction to the Astronomy 1115G Labs

1.1 Introduction

Astronomy is a physical science. Just like biology, chemistry, geology, and physics, astronomers collect data, analyze that data, attempt to understand the object/subject they are looking at, and submit their results for publication. Along the way astronomers use all of the mathematical techniques and physics necessary to understand the objects they examine. Thus, just like any other science, a large number of mathematical tools and concepts are needed to perform astronomical research. In today's introductory lab, you will review and learn some of the most basic concepts necessary to enable you to successfully complete the various laboratory exercises you will encounter later this semester. When needed, the weekly laboratory exercise you are performing will refer back to the examples in this introduction—so keep the worked examples you will do today with you at all times during the semester to use as a reference when you run into these exercises later this semester (in fact, on some occasions your TA might have you redo one of the sections of this lab for review purposes).

1.2 The Metric System

Like all other scientists, astronomers use the metric system. The metric system is based on powers of 10, and has a set of measurement units analogous to the English system we use in everyday life here in the US. In the metric system the main unit of length (or distance) is the meter, the unit of mass is the kilogram, and the unit of liquid volume is the liter. A meter is approximately 40 inches, or about 4" longer than the yard. Thus, 100 meters is about 111 yards. A liter is slightly larger than a quart ($1.0 \text{ liter} = 1.101 \text{ qt}$). On the Earth's surface, a kilogram = 2.2 pounds. In the Astronomy 1115 labs you will mostly encounter units of length/distance (variations on the meter).

As you have almost certainly learned, the metric system uses prefixes to change scale. For example, one thousand meters is one "kilometer". One thousandth of a meter is a "millimeter". The prefixes that you will hear in this class are listed in Table 1.1.

In the metric system 3,600 meters is equal to 3.6 kilometers; while 0.8 meter is equal to 80 centimeters, which in turn equals 800 millimeters, etc. In the lab exercises this semester we will encounter a large range in sizes and distances. For example, you will measure the sizes of some objects/things in class in millimeters, talk about the wavelength of spectral lines in nanometers, and measure the sizes of features on the Sun that are larger than 100,000 kilometers.

Table 1.1: Metric System Prefixes

Prefix Name	Prefix Symbol	Prefix Value
Giga	G	1,000,000,000 (one billion)
Mega	M	1,000,000 (one million)
kilo	k	1,000 (one thousand)
centi	c	0.01 (one hundredth)
milli	m	0.001 (one thousandth)
micro	μ	0.0000001 (one millionth)
nano	n	0.000000001 (one billionth)

1.2.1 Beyond the Metric System

When we talk about the sizes or distances to those objects beyond the surface of the Earth, we begin to encounter very large numbers. For example, the average distance from the Earth to the Moon is 384,000,000 meters or 384,000 kilometers (km). The distances found in astronomy are usually so large that we have to switch to a unit of measurement that is much larger than the meter, or even the kilometer. In and around the solar system, astronomers use “Astronomical Units”. An Astronomical Unit is the mean distance between the Earth and the Sun. One Astronomical Unit (AU) = 149,600,000 km. For example, Jupiter is about 5 AU from the Sun, while Pluto’s average distance from the Sun is 39 AU. With this change in units, it is easy to talk about the distance to other planets. It is more convenient to say that Saturn is 9.54 AU away than it is to say that Saturn is 1,427,184,000 km from Earth.

When we talk about how far away the stars are in our own Milky Way galaxy, we have to switch to an even larger unit of distance to keep the numbers manageable. One such unit is the “light year”. A light year (ly) is the distance light travels in one year. The speed of light is enormous: 300,000 kilometers per second (km/s) or 186,000 miles per second. Since one year contains 31,536,000 seconds, one ly = 9,460,000,000,000 km! The nearest star, Alpha Centauri, is 4.2 ly away. The Milky Way galaxy is more than 150,000 light years across. The nearest galaxy with a size similar to that of the Milky Way, the Andromeda Galaxy (see the sky chart for November online at <http://astronomy.nmsu.edu/tharriso/skycharts.html> for a picture and description of the Andromeda galaxy), is 2.2 million light years away!

In the Parallax lab we will introduce the somewhat odd unit of “parsecs”. For now, we will simply state that one parsec (“pc”) = 3.26 ly. Thus, Alpha Centauri is 1.28 pc away. During the semester you will frequently hear the term parsec, kiloparsec (1 thousand pc), Megaparsec (1 million pc), and even the term Gigaparsec (1 billion pc). Astronomers have borrowed the prefixes from the metric system to construct their own shorthand way of describing extremely large distances. The Andromeda Galaxy is at a distance of 700,000 pc = 0.7 Megaparsecs (“Mpc”).

1.2.2 Changing Units and Scale Conversion

Changing units (like those in the previous paragraph) and/or scale conversion is something you must master during this semester. The concept is fairly straightforward, so let's just work some examples.

1. Convert 34 meters into centimeters

Answer: Since one meter = 100 centimeters, 34 meters = 3,400 centimeters.

2. Convert 34 kilometers into meters:
3. If one meter equals 40 inches, how many meters are there in 400 inches?
4. How many centimeters are there in 400 inches?
5. How many parsecs are there in 1.4 Mpc?
6. How many AU are there in 299,200,000 km?

One technique that you will use this semester involves measuring a photograph or image with a ruler, and converting the measured number into a real unit of size (or distance). One example of this technique is reading a road map. In Figure 1.1 is a map of the state of New Mexico. Down at the bottom left hand corner is a scale in Miles and Kilometers.

Map Exercises (using a ruler determine):

- 1) How many kilometers is it from Las Cruces to Albuquerque?
- 2) What is the distance in miles from the border with Arizona to the border with Texas if you were to drive along I40?
- 3) If you were to drive 100 km/hr (kph), how long would it take you to go from Las Cruces to Albuquerque?
- 4) If one mile = 1.6 km, how many miles per hour (mph) is 100 kph?



Figure 1.1: A map of New Mexico.

1.2.3 Squares, Square Roots, and Exponents

In several of the labs this semester you will encounter squares, cubes, and square roots. Let us briefly review what is meant by such terms as squares, cubes, square roots and exponents. The square of a number is simply that number times itself: $3 \times 3 = 3^2 = 9$. The exponent

is the little number “2” above the three. $5^2 = 5 \times 5 = 25$. The exponent tells you how many times to multiply that number by itself: $8^4 = 8 \times 8 \times 8 \times 8 = 4096$. The square of a number simply means the exponent is 2 (three squared = 3^2), and the cube of a number means the exponent is three (four cubed = 4^3). Here are some examples:

1) $7^2 = 7 \times 7 = 49$

2) $7^5 = 7 \times 7 \times 7 \times 7 \times 7 = 16,807$

3) The cube of 9 = $9^3 = 9 \times 9 \times 9 = 729$

4) The exponent of 12^{16} is 16

5) $2.56^3 = 2.56 \times 2.56 \times 2.56 = 16.777$

Your turn:

7) $6^3 =$

8) $4^4 =$

9) $3.1^2 =$

The concept of a square root is easy to understand, but is much harder to calculate (we usually have to use a calculator). The square root of a *number* is that number whose square is the *number*: the square root of $4 = 2$ because $2 \times 2 = 4$. The square root of 9 is 3 ($9 = 3 \times 3$). The mathematical operation of a square root is usually represented by the symbol “ $\sqrt{}$ ”, as in $\sqrt{9} = 3$. But mathematicians also represent square roots using a *fractional* exponent of one half: $9^{1/2} = 3$. Likewise, the cube root of a number is represented as $27^{1/3} = 3$ ($3 \times 3 \times 3 = 27$). The fourth root is written as $16^{1/4} (= 2)$, and so on. We will encounter square roots in the algebra section shortly. Here are some examples/problems:

1) $\sqrt{100} = 10$

2) $10.5^3 = 10.5 \times 10.5 \times 10.5 = 1157.625$

3) Verify that the square root of 17 ($\sqrt{17} = 17^{1/2}$) = 4.123

1.3 Scientific Notation

The range in numbers encountered in Astronomy is enormous: from the size of subatomic particles, to the size of the entire universe. You are certainly comfortable with numbers like ten, one hundred, three thousand, ten million, a billion, or even a trillion. But what about a number like one million trillion? Or, four thousand one hundred and fifty six million billion? Such numbers are too cumbersome to handle with words. Scientists use something called “Scientific Notation” as a short hand method to represent very large and very small numbers. The system of scientific notation is based on the number 10. For example, the number $100 = 10 \times 10 = 10^2$. In scientific notation the number 100 is written as 1.0×10^2 . Here are some additional examples:

$$\text{Ten} = 10 = 1 \times 10 = 1.0 \times 10^1$$

$$\text{One hundred} = 100 = 10 \times 10 = 10^2 = 1.0 \times 10^2$$

$$\text{One thousand} = 1,000 = 10 \times 10 \times 10 = 10^3 = 1.0 \times 10^3$$

$$\text{One million} = 1,000,000 = 10 \times 10 \times 10 \times 10 \times 10 \times 10 = 10^6 = 1.0 \times 10^6$$

Ok, so writing powers of ten is easy, but how do we write 6,563 in scientific notation? $6,563 = 6563.0 = 6.563 \times 10^3$. To figure out the exponent on the power of ten, we simply count-up the numbers to the left of the decimal point, but do not include the left-most number. Here are some other examples:

$$1,216 = 1216.0 = 1.216 \times 10^3$$

$$8,735,000 = 8735000.0 = 8.735000 \times 10^6$$

$$1,345,999,123,456 = 1345999123456.0 = 1.345999123456 \times 10^{12}$$

Your turn! Work the following examples:

$$121 = 121.0 =$$

$$735,000 =$$

$$999,563,982 =$$

Now comes the sometimes confusing issue: writing very small numbers. First, let's look at powers of 10, but this time in fractional form. The number $0.1 = 1/10$. In scientific notation we would write this as 1×10^{-1} . The negative number in the exponent is the way we write the fraction $1/10$. How about 0.001? We can rewrite 0.001 as $1/10 \times 1/10 \times 1/10 = 0.001 = 1 \times 10^{-3}$. Do you see where the exponent comes from? Starting at the decimal point, we simply count over to the right of the first digit that isn't zero to determine the exponent. Here are some examples:

$$0.121 = 1.21 \times 10^{-1}$$

$$0.000735 = 7.35 \times 10^{-4}$$

$$0.0000099902 = 9.9902 \times 10^{-6}$$

Your turn:

$$0.0121 =$$

$$0.0000735 =$$

$$0.000000999 =$$

$$-0.121 =$$

There is one issue we haven't dealt with, and that is when to write numbers in scientific notation. It is kind of silly to write the number 23.7 as 2.37×10^1 , or 0.5 as 5.0×10^{-1} . You use scientific notation when it is a more compact way to write a number to insure that its value is quickly and easily communicated to someone else. For example, if you tell someone the answer for some measurement is 0.0033 meter, the person receiving that information has to count over the zeros to figure out what that means. It is better to say that the measurement was 3.3×10^{-3} meter. But telling someone the answer is 215 kg, is much easier than saying 2.15×10^2 kg. It is common practice that numbers bigger than 10,000 or smaller than 0.01 are best written in scientific notation.

How do we multiply and divide two numbers in Scientific Notation? It is a three step process: 1) multiply (divide) the numbers out front, 2) add (subtract) the exponents, and 3) reconstruct the number in Scientific Notation. It is easier to just show some examples:

$$(2 \times 10^4) \times (3 \times 10^5) = (2 \times 3) \times 10^{(4+5)} = 6 \times 10^9$$

$$(2.00 \times 10^4) \times (3.15 \times 10^7) = (2.00 \times 3.15) \times 10^{(4+7)} = 6.30 \times 10^{11}$$

$$(2 \times 10^4) \times (6 \times 10^5) = (2 \times 6) \times 10^{(4+5)} = 12 \times 10^9 = 1.2 \times 10^{10}$$

$$(6 \times 10^4) \div (3 \times 10^8) = (6 \div 3) \times 10^{(4-8)} = 2 \times 10^{-4}$$

$$(3.0 \times 10^4) \div (6.0 \times 10^8) = (3.0 \div 6.0) \times 10^{(4-8)} = 0.5 \times 10^{-4} = 5.0 \times 10^{-5}$$

Your turn:

$$(6 \times 10^3) \times (3 \times 10^2) =$$

$$(8.0 \times 10^{18}) \div (4.0 \times 10^{14}) =$$

Note how we rewrite the exponent to handle cases where the number out front is greater than 10, or less than 1.

1.4 Algebra

Because this is a freshman laboratory, we do not use high-level mathematics. But we do sometimes encounter a little basic algebra and we need to briefly review the main concepts. Algebra deals with equations and “unknowns”. Unknowns, or “variables”, are usually represented as a letter in an equation: $y = 3x + 7$. In this equation both “ x ” and “ y ” are variables. You do not know what the value of y is until you assign a value to x . For example, if $x = 2$, then $y = 13$ ($y = 3 \times 2 + 7 = 13$). Here are some additional examples:

$$y = 5x + 3, \text{ if } x=1, \text{ what is } y? \text{ Answer: } y = 5 \times 1 + 3 = 5 + 3 = 8$$

$$q = 3t + 9, \text{ if } t=5, \text{ what is } q? \text{ Answer: } q = 3 \times 5 + 9 = 15 + 9 = 24$$

$$y = 5x^2 + 3, \text{ if } x=2, \text{ what is } y? \text{ Answer: } y = 5 \times (2^2) + 3 = 5 \times 4 + 3 = 20 + 3 = 23$$

$$\text{What is } y \text{ if } x = 6 \text{ in this equation: } y = 3x + 13 =$$

These problems were probably easy for you, but what happens when you have this equation: $y = 7x + 14$, and you are asked to figure out what x is if $y = 21$? Let’s do this step by step, first we re-write the equation:

$$y = 7x + 14$$

We now substitute the value of y ($y = 21$) into the equation:

$$21 = 7x + 14$$

Now, if we could get rid of that 14 we could solve this equation! Subtract 14 from both sides of the equation:

$$21 - 14 = 7x + 14 - 14 \quad (\text{this gets rid of that pesky 14!})$$

$$7 = 7x \quad (\text{divide both sides by } 7)$$

$$x = 1$$

Ok, your turn: If you have the equation $y = 4x + 16$, and $y = 8$, what is x ?

We frequently encounter more complicated equations, such as $y = 3x^2 + 2x - 345$, or $p^2 = a^3$. There are ways to solve such equations, but that is beyond the scope of our introduction. However, you do need to be able to solve equations like this: $y^2 = 3x + 3$ (if you are told what “ x ” is!). Let’s do this for $x = 11$:

Copy down the equation again:

$$y^2 = 3x + 3$$

Substitute $x = 11$:

$$y^2 = 3 \times 11 + 3 = 33 + 3 = 36$$

Take the square root of both sides:

$$(y^2)^{1/2} = (36)^{1/2}$$

$$y = 6$$

Did that make sense? To get rid of the square of a variable you have to take the square root: $(y^2)^{1/2} = y$. So to solve for y^2 , we took the square root of both sides of the equation.

1.5 Graphing and/or Plotting

The last subject we want to discuss is graphing data, and the equation of a line. You probably learned in high school about making graphs. Astronomers frequently use graphs to plot data. You have probably seen all sorts of graphs, such as the plot of the performance of the stock market shown in the next figure (Fig. 1.2). A plot like this shows the history of the stock market versus time. The “ x ” (horizontal) axis represents time, and the “ y ” (vertical) axis represents the value of the stock market. Each place on the curve that shows the performance of the stock market is represented by two numbers, the date (x axis), and the value of the index (y axis). For example, on May 10 of 2004, the Dow Jones index stood at 10,000.

Plots like this require two data points to represent each point on the curve or in the plot. For comparing the stock market you need to plot the value of the stocks versus the date. We call data of this type an “ordered pair”. Each data point requires a value for x (the date) and y (the value of the Dow Jones index). In the next table is the data for how the



Figure 1.2: The change in the Dow Jones stock index over one year (from April 2003 to July 2004).

Table 1.2: Temperature vs. Altitude

Altitude (feet)	Temperature °F
0	59.0
2,000	51.9
4,000	44.7
6,000	37.6
8,000	30.5
10,000	23.3
12,000	16.2
14,000	9.1
16,000	1.9

temperature changes with altitude near the Earth’s surface. As you climb in altitude the temperature goes down (this is why high mountains can have snow on them year round, even though they are located in warm areas). The data in this table is plotted in Figure 1.3.

Looking at the plot of temperature versus altitude, we see that a straight line can be drawn through the data points. We can figure out the equation of this straight line and then predict the temperature at any altitude. In high school you learned that the equation of a line was $y = mx + b$, where “ m ” is the “slope” of the line, and “ b ” is the “y intercept”. The y intercept is simply where the line crosses the y-axis. In the plot, the y intercept is at 59.0, so $b = 59$. So, we can rewrite the equation for this line as $y = mx + 59.0$. How can we figure out m ? Simple, pick any other data point and solve the equation—let’s choose the data at 10,000 feet. The temperature (y) is 23.3 at 10,000 feet ($= x$): $23.3 = 10000m + 59$. Subtracting 59 from both sides shows $23.3 - 59 = 10000x + 59 - 59$, or $-35.7 = 10000m$. To find m




Figure 1.3: The change in temperature as you climb in altitude with the data from the preceding table. At sea level (0 ft altitude) the surface temperature is 59°F. As you go higher in altitude, the temperature goes down.

we simply divide both sides by 10,000: $m = -35.7/10000 = -0.00357$. In scientific notation, the equation for the temperature vs. altitude is $y = -3.57 \times 10^{-3}x + 59.0$. Why is the slope negative? What is happening here? As you go up in altitude, the temperature goes down. Increasing the altitude (x) decreases the temperature (y). Thus, the slope has to be negative.

Using the equation for temperature versus altitude just derived, what is the temperature at 20,000 feet?

Ok, your turn. On the blank sheet of graph paper in Figure 1.4 plot the equation $y = 2x + 2$ for $x = 1, 2, 3$, and $x = -1, -2$, and -3 . What is the y intercept of this line? What is its slope?

While straight lines and perfect data show up in science from time to time, it is actually quite rare for *real* data to fit perfectly on top of a line. One reason for this is that all measurements have error. So, even though there might be a perfect relationship between




Figure 1.4: Graph paper for plotting the equation $y = 2x + 2$.

x and y , the noise of the measurements introduces small deviations from the line. In other cases, the data are *approximated* by a line. This is sometimes called a best-fit relationship for the data. An example of a plot with real data is shown in Figure 1.5. In this case, the data suggest that there is a general trend between the absolute magnitude (M_V) and the Orbital Period in certain types of binary stars. But some other factor plays a role in determining the final relationship, so some stars do not fit very well, and hence their absolute magnitudes cannot be estimated very well from their orbital periods (the vertical bars associated with each data point are error bars, and represent the measurement error).




Figure 1.5: The relationship between absolute visual magnitude (M_V) and Orbital Period for cataclysmic variable binary stars.

Name: _____

Date: _____

2 The Origin of the Seasons

2.1 Introduction

The origin of the science of Astronomy owes much to the need of ancient peoples to have a practical system that allowed them to predict the seasons. It is critical to plant your crops at the right time of the year—too early and the seeds may not germinate because it is too cold, or there is insufficient moisture. Plant too late and it may become too hot and dry for a sensitive seedling to survive. In ancient Egypt, they needed to wait for the Nile to flood. The Nile river would flood every July, once the rains began to fall in Central Africa.

Thus, the need to keep track of the annual cycle arose with the development of agriculture, and this required an understanding of the motion of objects in the sky. The first devices used to keep track of the seasons were large stone structures (such as Stonehenge) that used the positions of the rising Sun or Moon to forecast the coming seasons. The first recognizable calendars that we know about were developed in Egypt, and appear to date from about 4,200 BC. Of course, all a calendar does is let you know what time of year it is—it does not provide you with an understanding of *why* the seasons occur! The ancient people had a variety of models for why seasons occurred, but thought that everything, including the Sun and stars, orbited around the Earth. Today, you will learn the real reason *why* there are seasons.

- *Goals:* To learn why the Earth has seasons.
- *Materials:* a meter stick, a mounted globe, an elevation angle apparatus, string, a halogen lamp, and a few other items

2.2 The Seasons

Before we begin today's lab, let us first talk about the seasons. In New Mexico we have rather mild Winters, and hot Summers. In the northern parts of the United States, however, the winters are much colder. In Hawaii, there is very little difference between Winter and Summer. As you are also aware, during the Winter there are fewer hours of daylight than in the Summer. In Table 2.1 we have listed seasonal data for various locations around the world. Included in this table are the average January and July maximum temperatures, the latitude of each city, and the length of the daylight hours in January and July. We will use this table in Exercise #2.

In Table 2.1, the “N” following the latitude means the city is in the northern hemisphere of the Earth (as is all of the United States and Europe) and thus *North* of the equator. An “S” following the latitude means that it is in the southern hemisphere, *South* of the Earth's

Table 2.1: **Season Data for Select Cities**

City	Latitude (Degrees)	January Ave. Max. Temp.	July Ave. Max. Temp.	January Daylight Hours	July Daylight Hours
Fairbanks, AK	64.8N	-2	72	3.7	21.8
Minneapolis, MN	45.0N	22	83	9.0	15.7
Las Cruces, NM	32.5N	57	96	10.1	14.2
Honolulu, HI	21.3N	80	88	11.3	13.6
Quito, Ecuador	0.0	77	77	12.0	12.0
Apia, Samoa	13.8S	80	78	11.1	12.7
Sydney, Australia	33.9S	78	61	14.3	10.3
Ushuaia, Argentina	54.6S	57	39	17.3	7.4

equator. What do you think the latitude of Quito, Ecuador (0.0°) means? Yes, it is right on the equator. Remember, latitude runs from 0.0° at the equator to $\pm 90^\circ$ at the poles. If north of the equator, we say the latitude is XX degrees north (or sometimes “+XX degrees”), and if south of the equator we say XX degrees south (or “−XX degrees”). We will use these terms shortly.

Now, if you were to walk into the Mesilla Valley Mall and ask a random stranger “why do we have seasons?”, the most common answer you would get is “because we are closer to the Sun during Summer, and further from the Sun in Winter”. This answer suggests that the general public (and most of your classmates) correctly understand that the Earth orbits the Sun in such a way that at some times of the year it is closer to the Sun than at other times of the year. As you have (or will) learn in your lecture class, the orbits of all planets around the Sun are ellipses. As shown in Figure 2.1 an ellipse is sort of like a circle that has been squashed in one direction. For most of the planets, however, the orbits are only very slightly elliptical, and closely approximate circles. But let us explore this idea that the distance from the Sun causes the seasons.




Figure 2.1: An ellipse with the two “foci” identified. The Sun sits at one focus, while the other focus is empty. The Earth follows an elliptical orbit around the Sun, but not nearly as exaggerated as that shown here!

Exercise #1. In Figure 2.1, we show the locations of the two “foci” of an ellipse (foci is the plural form of focus). We will ignore the mathematical details of what foci are for now, and simply note that the Sun sits at one focus, while the other focus is empty (see the Kepler Law lab for more information if you are interested). A planet orbits around the Sun in an elliptical orbit. So, there are times when the Earth is closest to the Sun (“perihelion”), and times when it is furthest (“aphelion”). When closest to the Sun, at perihelion, the distance from the Earth to the Sun is 147,056,800 km (“147 million kilometers”). At aphelion, the distance from the Earth to the Sun is 152,143,200 km (152 million km).

With the meter stick handy, we are going to examine these distances. Obviously, our classroom is not big enough to use kilometers or even meters so, like a road map, we will have to use a reduced scale: 1 cm = 1 million km. Now, stick a piece of tape on the table and put a mark on it to set the starting point (the location of the Sun!). Carefully measure out the two distances (along the same direction) and stick down two more pieces of tape, one at the perihelion distance, one at the aphelion distance (put small dots/marks on the tape so you can easily see them).

1) Do you think this change in distance is big enough to cause the seasons? Explain your logic. **(3 points)**

Take the ratio of the aphelion to perihelion distances: _____. **(1 point)**

Given that *we know* objects appear bigger when we are closer to them, let’s take a look at the two pictures of the Sun you were given as part of the materials for this lab. One image was taken on January 23rd, 1992, and one was taken on the 21st of July 1992 (as the “date stamps” on the images show). Using a ruler, *carefully* measure the diameter of the Sun in each image:

Sun diameter in January image = _____ mm.

Sun diameter in July image = _____ mm.

3) Take the ratio of bigger diameter / smaller diameter, this = _____. **(1 point)**

4) How does this ratio compare to the ratio you calculated in question #2? **(2 points)**

5) So, since an object appears bigger when we get closer to it, when is the Earth closest to the Sun? (**2 points**)

6) At that time of year, what season is it in Las Cruces? What do you conclude about the statement “the seasons are caused by the changing distance between the Earth and the Sun”? (**4 points**)

Exercise #2. Characterizing the nature of the seasons at different locations. For this exercise, we are going to be exclusively using the data contained in Table 2.1. First, let’s look at Las Cruces. Note that here in Las Cruces, our latitude is $+32.5^\circ$. That is we are about one third of the way from the equator to the pole. In January our average high temperature is 57°F , and in July it is 96°F . It is hotter in Summer than in Winter (duh!). Note that there are about 10 hours of daylight in January, and about 14 hours of daylight in July.

7) Thus, for Las Cruces, the Sun is “up” longer in July than in January. Is the same thing true for all cities with northern latitudes? Yes or No ? (**1 point**)

Ok, let’s compare *Las Cruces with Fairbanks, Alaska*. Answer these questions by filling in the blanks:

8) Fairbanks is _____ the North Pole than Las Cruces. (**1 point**)

9) In January, there are more daylight hours in _____. (**1 point**)

10) In July, there are more daylight hours in _____. (**1 point**)

Now let’s compare *Las Cruces with Sydney, Australia*. Answer these questions by filling in the blanks:

11) While the latitudes of Las Cruces and Sydney are similar, Las Cruces is _____ of the Equator, and Sydney is _____ of the Equator. (**2 points**)

12) In January, there are more daylight hours in _____. (1 point)

13) In July, there are more daylight hours in _____. (1 point)

14) **Summarizing:** During the Wintertime (January) in both Las Cruces and Fairbanks there are fewer daylight hours, *and* it is colder. During July, it is warmer in both Fairbanks and Las Cruces, *and* there are more daylight hours. Is this also true for Sydney?:
_____. (1 point)

15) In fact, it is Wintertime in Sydney during _____, and Summertime during _____. (2 points)

16) From Table 2.1, I conclude that the times of the seasons in the Northern hemisphere are exactly _____ to those in the Southern hemisphere. (1 point)

From Exercise #2 we learned a few simple truths, but ones that maybe you have never thought about. As you move away from the equator (either to the north or to the south) there are several general trends. The first is that as you go closer to the poles it is *generally* cooler at all times during the year. The second is that as you get closer to the poles, the amount of daylight during the Winter decreases, but the reverse is true in the Summer.

The first of these is not always true because the local climate can be moderated by the proximity to a large body of water, or depend on the local elevation. For example, Sydney is milder than Las Cruces, even though they have similar latitudes: Sydney is on the eastern coast of Australia (South Pacific ocean) and has a climate like that of San Diego, California (which has a similar latitude and is on the coast of the North Pacific). Quito, Ecuador has a mild climate even though it sits right on the equator due to its high elevation—it is more than 9,000 feet above sea level, similar to the elevation of Cloudcroft, New Mexico.

The second conclusion (amount of daylight) is always true—as you get closer and closer to the poles, the amount of daylight during the Winter decreases, while the amount of daylight during the Summer increases. In fact, for all latitudes north of 66.5° , the Summer Sun is up all day (24 hrs of daylight, the so called “land of the midnight Sun”) for at least one day each year, while in the Winter there are times when the Sun never rises! 66.5° is a special latitude, and is given the name “Arctic Circle”. Note that Fairbanks is very close to the Arctic Circle, and the Sun is up for just a few hours during the Winter, but is up for nearly 22 hours during the Summer! The same is true for the southern hemisphere: all latitudes south of -66.5° experience days with 24 hours of daylight in the Summer, and 24 hours of darkness in the Winter. -66.5° is called the “Antarctic Circle”. But note that the seasons in the Southern Hemisphere are exactly opposite to those in the North. During Northern Winter, the North Pole experiences 24 hours of darkness, but the South Pole has 24 hours of daylight.

2.3 The Spinning, Revolving Earth

It is clear from the preceding subsection that your latitude determines both the annual variation in the amount of daylight, and the time of the year when you experience Spring, Summer, Autumn and Winter. To truly understand why this occurs requires us to construct a model. One of the key insights to the nature of the motion of the Earth is shown in the long exposure photographs of the nighttime sky (Figs. 2.2, 2.3).



Figure 2.2: Pointing a camera at the North Star (Polaris, the bright dot near the center) and exposing for about one hour, the stars appear to move in little arcs. The center of rotation is called the “North Celestial Pole”, and Polaris is very close to this position. The dotted/dashed trails in this photograph are the blinking lights of airplanes that passed through the sky during the exposure.

What is going on in these photos? The easiest explanation is that the Earth is spinning, and as you keep your camera shutter open, the stars appear to move in “orbits” around the North Pole. You can duplicate this motion by sitting in a chair that is spinning—the objects in the room appear to move in circles around you. The further they are from the “axis of rotation”, the bigger arcs they make, and the faster they move. An object straight above you, exactly on the axis of rotation of the chair, does not move. As apparent in Figure 2.3, the “North Star” Polaris is not perfectly on the axis of rotation at the North Celestial Pole, but it is very close (the fact that there is a bright star near the pole is just random chance). Polaris has been used as a navigational aid for centuries, as it allows you to determine the



Figure 2.3: Here is a composite of many different exposures (each about one hour in length) of the night sky over Vienna, Austria taken throughout the year (all four seasons). The images have been composited using a software package like Photoshop to demonstrate what would be possible if it stayed dark for 24 hrs, and you could actually obtain a 24 hour exposure (which can only be truly done north of the Arctic circle). Polaris is the the smallest circle at the very center.

direction of North.

As the second photograph shows, the direction of the spin axis of the Earth does not change during the year—it stays pointed in the same direction *all* of the time! If the Earth’s spin axis moved, the stars would not make perfect circular arcs, but would wander around in whatever pattern was being executed by the Earth’s axis.

Now, as shown back in Figure 2.1, we said the Earth orbits (“revolves” around) the Sun on an ellipse. We could discuss the evidence for this, but to keep this lab brief, we will just assume this fact. So, now we have two motions: the spinning and revolving of the Earth. It

is the combination of these that actually give rise to the seasons, as you will find out in the next exercise.

Exercise #3: In this part of the lab, we will be using the mounted globes, a piece of string, a ruler, and the halogen desk lamp. **Warning: while the globe used here is made of fairly inexpensive parts, it is very time consuming to make. Please be careful with your globe, as the paint can be easily damaged.** Make sure that the piece of string you have is long enough to go slightly more than halfway around the globe at the equator—if your string is not that long, ask your TA for a longer piece of string. As you may have guessed, this globe is a model of the Earth. The spin axis of the Earth is actually tilted with respect to the plane of its orbit by 23.5° .

Set up the experiment in the following way. Place the halogen lamp at one end of the table (shining towards the closest wall so as to not affect your classmates), and set the globe at a distance of 1.5 meters from the lamp. After your TA has dimmed the classroom lights, turn on the halogen lamp to the highest setting (*if* there is a dim, and a bright setting—some lights only have one brightness setting). Note these lamps get very hot, so be careful. For this lab, we will define the top of the globe as the Northern hemisphere, and the bottom as the Southern hemisphere.

For the first experiment, arrange the globe so the tilted axis of the “Earth” is pointed perpendicular (or at a “right” angle = 90°) to the direction of the “Sun”. Use your best judgement. Now adjust the height of the desk lamp so that the light bulb in the lamp is at the same approximate height as the equator.

There are several colored lines on the globe that form circles which are concentric with the axis, and these correspond to certain latitudes. The red line is the equator, the black line is 45° North, while the two blue lines are the Arctic (top) and Antarctic (bottom) circles.

First off, it will be helpful to know the length of the entire arc at the 4 latitudes at which you’ll be measuring later. Using the piece of string, measure the length of the arc at each latitude and note it below.

Table 2.2: Total Arc Length

Latitude	Total Length of Arc
Arctic Circle	
45°N	
Equator	
Antarctic Circle	

Experiment #1: Note that there is an illuminated half of the globe, and a dark half of the globe. The line that separates the two is called the “terminator”. It is the location of

sunrise or sunset. Using the piece of string, we want to measure the length of each arc that is in “daylight” and the length that is in “night”. This is kind of tricky, and requires a bit of judgement as to exactly where the terminator is located. So make sure you have a helper to help keep the string *exactly* on the line of constant latitude, and get the advice of your lab partners of where the terminator is (it is probably best to do this more than once).

17) Fill in the following table (**4 points**):

Table 2.3: Position #1: Equinox Data Table

Latitude	Length of Daylight Arc	Length of Nighttime Arc
Arctic Circle		
45°N		
Equator		
Antarctic Circle		

As you know, the Earth rotates once every 24 hours (= 1 Day). Each of the lines of constant latitude represents a full circle that contains 360°. But note that these circles get smaller in radius as you move away from the equator. The circumference of the Earth at the equator is 40,075 km (or 24,901 miles). At a latitude of 45°, the circle of constant latitude has a circumference of 28,333 km. At the arctic circles, the circle has a circumference of only 15,979 km. This is simply due to our use of two coordinates (longitude and latitude) to define a location on a sphere.

Since the Earth is a solid body, all of the points on Earth rotate once every 24 hours. Therefore, the sum of the daytime and nighttime arcs you measured equals 24 hours!

18) So, fill in the following table (**2 points**):

Table 2.4: Position #1: Length of Night and Day

Latitude	Daylight Hours	Nighttime Hours
Arctic Circle		
45°N		
Equator		
Antarctic Circle		

19) The caption for Table 2.3 was “Equinox data”. The word Equinox means “equal nights”, as the length of the nighttime is the same as the daytime. While your numbers in Table 2.4 may not be exactly perfect, what do you conclude about the length of the nights and days for *all* latitudes on Earth in this experiment? Is this result consistent with the term Equinox? (**3 points**)

Experiment #2: Now we are going to re-orient the globe so that the (top) polar axis

points *exactly away* from the Sun and repeat the process of Experiment #1.

20) Fill in the following two tables (**4 points**):

Table 2.5: Position #2: Solstice Data Table

Latitude	Length of Daylight Arc	Length of Nighttime Arc
Arctic Circle		
45°N		
Equator		
Antarctic Circle		

Table 2.6: Position #2: Length of Night and Day

Latitude	Daylight Hours	Nighttime Hours
Arctic Circle		
45°N		
Equator		
Antarctic Circle		

21) Compare your results in Table 2.6 for +45° latitude with those for Minneapolis in Table 2.1. Since Minneapolis is at a latitude of +45°, what season does this orientation of the globe correspond to? (**2 points**)

22) What about near the poles? In this orientation what is the length of the nighttime at the North pole, and what is the length of the daytime at the South pole? Is this consistent with the trends in Table 2.1, such as what is happening at Fairbanks or in Ushuaia? (**4 points**)

Experiment #3: Now we are going to approximate the Earth-Sun orientation six months after that in Experiment #2. To do this correctly, the globe and the lamp should now switch locations. Go ahead and do this if this lab is confusing you—or you can simply rotate the globe apparatus by 180° so that the North polar axis is tilted exactly *towards* the Sun. Try to get a good alignment by looking at the shadow of the wooden axis on the globe. Since this is six months later, it easy to guess what season this is, but let's prove it!

23) Complete the following two tables (**4 points**):

Table 2.7: Position #3: Solstice Data Table

Latitude	Length of Daylight Arc	Length of Nighttime Arc
Arctic Circle		
45°N		
Equator		
Antarctic Circle		

Table 2.8: Position #3: Length of Night and Day

Latitude	Daylight Hours	Nighttime Hours
Arctic Circle		
Equator		
45°N		
Antarctic Circle		

24) As in question #21, compare the results found here for the length of daytime and nighttime for the +45° degree latitude with that for Minneapolis. What season does this appear to be? **(2 points)**

25) What about near the poles? In this orientation, how long is the daylight at the North pole, and what is the length of the nighttime at the South pole? Is this consistent with the trends in Table 2.1, such as what is happening at Fairbanks or in Ushuaia? **(2 points)**

26) Using your results for all three positions (Experiments #1, #2, and #3) can you explain what is happening at the Equator? Does the data for Quito in Table 2.1 make sense? Why? Explain. **(3 points)**

We now have discovered the driver for the seasons: the Earth spins on an axis that is inclined to the plane of its orbit (as shown in Figure 2.4). *But the spin axis always points to the same place in the sky* (towards Polaris). Thus, as the Earth orbits the Sun, the amount of sunlight seen at a particular latitude varies: the amount of daylight and nighttime hours change with the seasons. In Northern Hemisphere Summer (approximately June 21st) there are more daylight hours; at the start of the Autumn ($\sim$ Sept. 20th) and Spring ($\sim$ Mar. 21st), the days are equal to the nights. In the Winter (approximately Dec. 21st) the nights are long, and the days are short. We have also discovered that the seasons in the Northern and Southern hemispheres are exactly opposite. If it is Winter in Las Cruces, it is Summer in Sydney (and vice versa). This was clearly demonstrated in our experiments and is shown in Figure 2.4.




Figure 2.4: The Earth's spin axis always points to one spot in the sky, *and* it is tilted by 23.5° to its orbit. Thus, as the Earth orbits the Sun, the illumination changes with latitude: sometimes the North Pole is bathed in 24 hours of daylight, and sometimes in 24 hours of night. The exact opposite is occurring in the Southern Hemisphere.

The length of the daylight hours is one reason why it is hotter in Summer than in Winter: the longer the Sun is above the horizon the more it can heat the air, the land and the seas. But this is not the whole story. At the North Pole, where there is constant daylight during the Summer, the temperature barely rises above freezing! Why? We will discover the reason for this now.

2.4 Elevation Angle and the Concentration of Sunlight

We have found out part of the answer to why it is warmer in summer than in winter: the length of the day is longer in summer. But this is only part of the story—you would think that with days that are 22 hours long during the summer, it would be hot in Alaska and Canada during the summer, but it is not. The other effect caused by Earth's tilted spin axis is the changing height that the noontime Sun attains during the various seasons. Before we discuss why this happens (as it takes quite a lot of words to describe it correctly), we want to explore what happens when the Sun is higher in the sky. First, we need to define two new terms: “altitude”, or “elevation angle”. As shown in the diagram in Fig. 2.5.

The Sun is highest in the sky at noon everyday. But how high is it? This, of course, depends on both your latitude and the time of year. For Las Cruces, the Sun has an altitude of 81° on June 21st. On both March 21st and September 20th, the altitude of the Sun at noon is 57.5° . On December 21st its altitude is only 34° . Thus, the Sun is almost straight




Figure 2.5: Altitude (“Alt”) is simply the angle between the horizon, and an object in the sky. The smallest this angle can be is 0° , and the maximum altitude angle is 90° . Altitude is interchangeably known as elevation.

overhead at noon during near the Summer Solstice, but very low during the Winter Solstice. What difference can this possibly make? We now explore this using the other apparatus, the elevation angle device, that accompanies this lab (the one with the protractor and flashlight).

Exercise #4: Using the elevation angle apparatus, we now want to measure what happens when the Sun is at a higher or lower elevation angle. We mimic this by using a flashlight mounted on an arm that allows you to move it to just about any elevation angle. It is difficult to exactly model the Sun using a flashlight, as the light source is not perfectly uniform. But here we do as well as we can. Play around with the device. Turn on the flashlight and move the arm to lower and higher angles.

27) How does the illumination pattern change? Does the illuminated pattern appear to change in brightness as you change angles? Explain. (2 points)

Ok, now we are ready to begin. Take a blank sheet of graph paper and tape it to the base so we have a more reflective surface. Now arrange the apparatus so the elevation angle is 90° . The illuminated spot should look circular. Measure the diameter of this circle using a ruler.

28) The diameter of the illuminated circle is _____ cm.

Do you remember how to calculate the area of a circle? Does the formula πR^2 ring a bell? R is the radius, not the diameter, so first you'll need the radius of the circle.

29) The radius of the illuminated circle is _____ cm.

30) The area of the circle of light at an elevation angle of 90° is _____ cm^2 .
(1 point)

Now, as you should have noticed at the beginning of this exercise, as you move the flashlight to lower and lower elevations, the circle changes to an ellipse. Now adjust the elevation angle to be 45° . Ok, time to introduce you to two new terms: the major axis and minor axis of an ellipse. Both are shown in Fig. 3.4. The minor axis is the smallest diameter, while the major axis is the longest diameter of an ellipse.




Figure 2.6: An ellipse with the major and minor axes defined.

Ok, now measure the lengths of the major (" a ") and minor (" b ") axes at 45° :

31) The major axis has a length of $a =$ _____ cm, while the minor axis has a length of $b =$ _____ cm.

The area of an ellipse is simply $(\pi \times a \times b)/4$.

32) So, the area of

the ellipse at an elevation angle of 45° is: _____ cm^2 (1 point).

So, why are we making you measure these areas? Note that the black tube restricts the amount of light coming from the flashlight into a cylinder. Thus, there is only a certain amount of light allowed to come out and hit the paper. Let's say there are "one hundred units of light" emitted by the flashlight. Now let's convert this to how many units of light hit each square centimeter at angles of 90° and 45° .

33) At 90° , the amount of light per centimeter is 100 divided by the area of circle

= _____ units of light per cm^2 (**1 point**).

34) At 45° , the amount of light per centimeter is 100 divided by the area of the ellipse

= _____ units of light per cm^2 (**1 point**).

35) Since light is a form of energy, at which elevation angle is there more energy per square centimeter? Since the Sun is our source of light, what happens when the Sun is higher in the sky? Is its energy more concentrated, or less concentrated? How about when it is low in the sky? Can you tell this by looking at how bright the ellipse appears versus the circle? (**4 points**)

As we have noted, the Sun never is very high in the arctic regions of the Earth. In fact, at the poles, the highest elevation angle the Sun can have is 23.5° . Thus, the light from the Sun is spread out, and cannot heat the ground as much as it can at a point closer to the equator. That's why it is always colder at the Earth's poles than elsewhere on the planet.

You are now finished with the in-class portion of this lab. To understand why the Sun appears at different heights at different times of the year takes a little explanation (and the following can be read at home unless you want to discuss it with your TA). Let's go back and take a look at Fig. 2.3. Note that Polaris, the North Star, barely moves over the course of a night or over the year—it is always visible. If you had a telescope and could point it accurately, you could see Polaris during the daytime too. Polaris never sets for people in the Northern Hemisphere since it is located very close to the spin axis of the Earth. Note that as we move away from Polaris the circles traced by other stars get bigger and bigger. But all of the stars shown in this photo are *always* visible—they never set. We call these stars “circumpolar”. For every latitude on Earth, there is a set of circumpolar stars (the number decreases as you head towards the equator).

Now let us add a new term to our vocabulary: the “Celestial Equator”. The Celestial Equator is the projection of the Earth's Equator onto the sky. It is a great circle that spans the night sky that is directly overhead for people who live on the Equator. As you have now

learned, the lengths of the days and nights at the equator are nearly always the same: 12 hours. But we have also learned that during the Equinoxes, the lengths of the days and the nights *everywhere* on Earth are also twelve hours. Why? Because during the equinoxes, the Sun is *on the Celestial Equator*. That means it is straight overhead (at noon) for people who live in Quito, Ecuador (and everywhere else on the equator). Any object that is on the Celestial Equator is visible for 12 hours per day from everywhere on Earth. To try to understand this, take a look at Fig. 2.7. In this figure is shown the celestial geometry explicitly showing that the Celestial Equator is simply the Earth's equator projected onto the sky (left hand diagram). But the Earth is large, and to us, it appears flat. Since the objects in the sky are very far away, we get a view like that shown in the right hand diagram: we see one hemisphere of the sky, and the stars, planets, Sun and Moon rise in the east, and set in the west. But note that the Celestial Equator exactly intersects East and West. Only objects located on the Celestial Equator rise exactly due East, and set exactly due West. All other objects rise in the northeast or southeast and set in the northwest or the southwest. Note that in this diagram (for a latitude of 40°) all stars that have latitudes (astronomers call them “Declinations”, or “dec”) above 50° never set—they are circumpolar.




Figure 2.7: The Celestial Equator is the circle in the sky that is straight overhead (“the zenith”) of the Earth’s equator. In addition, there is a “North Celestial” pole that is the projection of the Earth’s North Pole into space (that almost points to Polaris). But the Earth’s spin axis is tilted by 23.5° to its orbit, and the Sun appears to move above and below the Celestial Equator over the course of a year.

What happens is that during the year, the Sun appears to move above and below the Celestial Equator. On, or about, March 21st the Sun is on the Celestial Equator, and each day after this it gets higher in the sky (for locations in the Northern Hemisphere) until June 21st. After that date it retraces its steps until it reaches the Autumnal Equinox (September 20th), after which it is then South of the Celestial Equator. It is lowest in the sky on December 21st. This is simply due to the fact that the Earth’s axis is tilted with respect to its orbit, and this tilt does not change. You can see this geometry by going back to the illuminated globe model used in Exercise #3. If you stick a pin at some location on the globe away from the equator, turn on the halogen lamp, and slowly rotate the entire apparatus around (while keeping the pin facing the Sun) you will notice that the shadow of the pin will increase and decrease in size. This is due to the apparent change in the elevation angle of the “Sun”.

2.5 Summary (35 points)

Summarize the important points covered in this lab. Questions you should answer include:

- Why does the Earth have seasons?
- What is the origin of the term “Equinox”?
- What is the origin of the term “Solstice”?
- Most people in the United States think the seasons are caused by the changing distance between the Earth and the Sun. Why do you think this is?
- What type of seasons would the Earth have if its spin axis was *exactly* perpendicular to its orbital plane? Make a diagram like Fig. 2.4.
- What type of seasons would the Earth have if its spin axis was *in* the plane of its orbit? (Note that this is similar to the situation for the planet Uranus.)
- What do you think would happen if the Earth’s spin axis wobbled randomly around on a monthly basis? Describe how we might detect this.

2.6 Possible Quiz Questions

- 1) What does the term “latitude” mean?
- 2) What is meant by the term “Equator”?
- 3) What is an ellipse?
- 4) What are meant by the terms perihelion and aphelion?
- 5) If it is summer in Australia, what season is it in New Mexico?

2.7 Extra Credit (ask your TA for permission before attempting, 5 points)

We have stated that the Earth’s spin axis constantly points to a single spot in the sky. This is actually not true. Look up the phrase “precession of the Earth’s spin axis”. Describe what is happening and the time scale of this motion. Describe what happens to the timing of the seasons due to this motion. Some scientists believe that precession might help cause ice ages. Describe why they believe this.

Name: _____
Date: _____

3 Kepler's Laws

3.1 Introduction

Throughout human history, the motion of the planets in the sky was a mystery: why did some planets move quickly across the sky, while other planets moved very slowly? Even two thousand years ago it was apparent that the motion of the planets was very complex. For example, Mercury and Venus never strayed very far from the Sun, while the Sun, the Moon, Mars, Jupiter and Saturn generally moved from the west to the east against the background stars (at this point in history, both the Moon and the Sun were considered “planets”). The Sun appeared to take one year to go around the Earth, while the Moon only took about 30 days. The other planets moved much more slowly. In addition to this rather slow movement against the background stars was, of course, the daily rising and setting of these objects. How could all of these motions occur? Because these objects were important to the cultures of the time—even foretelling the future using astrology. Being able to predict their motion was considered vital.

The ancient Greeks had developed a model for the Universe in which all of the planets and the stars were embedded in perfect crystalline spheres that revolved around the Earth at uniform, but slightly different speeds. This is the “geocentric”, or Earth-centered model. But this model did not work very well—the speed of the planet across the sky changed. Sometimes, a planet even moved backwards! It was left to the Egyptian astronomer Ptolemy (85 – 165 AD) to develop a model for the motion of the planets (you can read more about the details of the Ptolemaic model in your textbook). Ptolemy developed a complicated system to explain the motion of the planets, including “epicycles” and “equants”, that in the end worked so well, that no other models for the motions of the planets were considered for 1500 years! While Ptolemy’s model worked well, the philosophers of the time did not like this model—their Universe was perfect, and Ptolemy’s model suggested that the planets moved in peculiar, imperfect ways.

In the 1540’s Nicholas Copernicus (1473 – 1543) published his work suggesting that it was much easier to explain the complicated motion of the planets if the Earth revolved around the Sun, and that the orbits of the planets were circular. While Copernicus was not the first person to suggest this idea, the timing of his publication coincided with attempts to revise the calendar and to fix a large number of errors in Ptolemy’s model that had shown up over the 1500 years since the model was first introduced. But the “heliocentric” (Sun-centered) model of Copernicus was slow to win acceptance, since it did not work as well as the geocentric model of Ptolemy.

Johannes Kepler (1571 – 1630) was the first person to truly understand how the planets in our solar system moved. Using the highly precise observations by Tycho Brahe (1546 –

1601) of the motions of the planets against the background stars, Kepler was able to formulate three laws that described how the planets moved. With these laws, he was able to predict the future motion of these planets to a higher precision than was previously possible. Many credit Kepler with the origin of modern physics, as his discoveries were what led Isaac Newton (1643 – 1727) to formulate the law of gravity. Today we will investigate Kepler’s laws and the law of gravity.

3.2 Gravity

Gravity is the fundamental force governing the motions of astronomical objects. No other force is as strong over as great a distance. Gravity influences your everyday life (ever drop a glass?), and keeps the planets, moons, and satellites orbiting smoothly. Gravity affects everything in the Universe including the largest structures like super clusters of galaxies down to the smallest atoms and molecules. Experimenting with gravity is difficult to do. You can’t just go around in space making extremely massive objects and throwing them together from great distances. But you can model a variety of interesting systems very easily using a computer. By using a computer to model the interactions of massive objects like planets, stars and galaxies, we can study what would happen in just about any situation. All we have to know are the equations which predict the gravitational interactions of the objects.

The orbits of the planets are governed by a single equation formulated by Newton:

$$F_{gravity} = \frac{GM_1M_2}{R^2} \quad (1)$$

A diagram detailing the quantities in this equation is shown in Fig. 3.1. Here $F_{gravity}$ is the gravitational attractive force between two objects whose masses are M_1 and M_2 . The distance between the two objects is “ R ”. The gravitational constant G is just a small number that scales the size of the force. **The most important thing about gravity is that the force depends only on the masses of the two objects and the distance between them.** This law is called an Inverse Square Law because the distance between the objects is *squared*, and is in the denominator of the fraction. There are several laws like this in physics and astronomy.

Today you will be using a computer program called “Planets and Satellites” by Eugene Butikov to explore Kepler’s laws, and how planets, double stars, and planets in double star systems move. This program uses the law of gravity to simulate how celestial objects move.

- *Goals:* to understand Kepler’s three laws and use them in conjunction with the computer program “Planets and Satellites” to explain the orbits of objects in our solar system and beyond
- *Materials:* *Planets and Satellites* program, a ruler, and a calculator




Figure 3.1: The force of gravity depends on the masses of the two objects (M_1 , M_2), and the distance between them (R).

3.3 Kepler's Laws

Before you begin the lab, it is important to recall Kepler's three laws, the basic description of how the planets in our Solar System move. Kepler formulated his three laws in the early 1600's, when he finally solved the mystery of how planets moved in our Solar System. These three (empirical) laws are:

- I. "The orbits of the planets are ellipses with the Sun at one focus."
- II. "A line from the planet to the Sun sweeps out equal areas in equal intervals of time."
- III. "A planet's orbital period squared is proportional to its average distance from the Sun cubed: $P^2 \propto a^3$ "

Let's look at the first law, and talk about the nature of an ellipse. What is an ellipse? An ellipse is one of the special curves called a "conic section". If we slice a plane through a cone, four different types of curves can be made: circles, ellipses, parabolas, and hyperbolas. This process, and how these curves are created is shown in Fig. 3.2.

Before we describe an ellipse, let's examine a circle, as it is a simple form of an ellipse. As you are aware, the circumference of a circle is simply $2\pi R$. The radius, R , is the distance between the center of the circle and any point on the circle itself. In mathematical terms, the center of the circle is called the "focus". An ellipse, as shown in Fig. 3.3, is like a flattened circle, with one large diameter (the "major" axis) and one small diameter (the "minor" axis). A circle is simply an ellipse that has identical major and minor axes. Inside of an ellipse, there are two special locations, called "foci" (foci is the plural of focus, it is pronounced "fo-sigh"). The foci are special in that the sum of the distances between the foci and any points on the ellipse are always equal. Fig. 3.4 is an ellipse with the two foci identified, " F_1 " and " F_2 ".




Figure 3.2: Four types of curves can be generated by slicing a cone with a plane: a circle, an ellipse, a parabola, and a hyperbola. Strangely, these four curves are also the allowed shapes of the orbits of planets, asteroids, comets and satellites!




Figure 3.3: An ellipse with the major and minor axes identified.

Exercise #1: On the ellipse in Fig. 3.4 are two X's. Confirm that that sum of the distances between the two foci to any point on the ellipse is always the same by measuring the distances between the foci, and the two spots identified with X's. Show your work. (2 points)




Figure 3.4: An ellipse with the two foci identified.

Exercise #2: In the ellipse shown in Fig. 3.5, two points (“ P_1 ” and “ P_2 ”) are identified that are not located at the true positions of the foci. Repeat exercise #1, but confirm that P_1 and P_2 are not the foci of this ellipse. (2 points)




Figure 3.5: An ellipse with two non-foci points identified.

Now we will use the **Planets and Satellites** program to examine Kepler's laws. It is possible that the program will already be running when you get to your computer. If not, however, you will have to start it up. If your TA gave you a CDROM, then you need to insert the CDROM into the CDROM drive on your computer, and open that device. On that CDROM will be an icon with the program name. It is also possible that Planets and Satellites has been installed on the computer you are using. Look on the desktop for an icon, or use the start menu. Start-up the program, and you should see a title page window, with four boxes/buttons ("Getting Started", "Tutorial", "Simulations", and "Exit"). Click on the "Simulations" button. We will be returning to this level of the program to change simulations. Note that there are help screens and other sources of information about each of the simulations we will be running—do not hesitate to explore those options.

Exercise #3: Kepler's first law.

Click on the "Kepler's Law button" and then the "First Law" button inside the Kepler's Law box.

A window with two panels opens up. The panel on the left will trace the motion of the planet around the Sun, while the panel on the right sums the distances of the planet from the foci. Remember, Kepler's first law states "the orbit of a planet is an ellipse with the Sun at one focus". The Sun in this simulation sits at one focus, while the other focus is empty (but whose location will be obvious once the simulation is run!).

At the top of the panel is the program control bar. For now, simply hit the "Go" button.

You can clear and restart the simulation by hitting "Restart" (do this as often as you wish).

After hitting Go, note that the planet executes an orbit along the ellipse. The program draws the "vectors" from each focus to 25 different positions of the planet in its orbit. It draws a blue vector from the Sun to the planet, and a yellow vector from the other focus to the planet. The right hand panel sums the blue and yellow vectors. [Note: if your computer runs the simulation too quickly, or too slowly, simply adjust the "Slow down/Speed Up" slider for a better speed.]

1. Describe the results that are displayed in the right hand panel for this first simulation. **(2 points)**.

Now we want to explore another ellipse. In the extreme left hand side of the control bar is a slider to control the "Initial Velocity". At start-up it is set to "1.2". Slide it up to the maximum value of 1.35 and hit Go.

2. Describe what the ellipse looks like at 1.35 vs. that at 1.2. Does the sum of the vectors (right hand panel) still add up to a constant? (**3 points**)

Now let's put the Initial Velocity down to a value of 1.0. Run the simulation.

3. What is happening here? The orbit is now a circle. Where are the two foci located? In this case, what is a name that describes the distance between the focus point and the orbit? (**4 points**)

The point in the orbit where the planet is closest to the Sun is called "perihelion", and that point where the planet is furthest from the Sun is called "aphelion". For a circular orbit, the aphelion is the same as the perihelion, and can be defined to be anywhere! Exit this simulation (click on "File" and "Exit").

Exercise #4: Kepler's Second Law: "A line from a planet to the Sun sweeps out equal areas in equal intervals of time."

From the simulation window, click on the "Kepler's Law button" and then the "Second Law" button inside the Kepler's Law box.

Move the Initial Velocity slide bar to a value of 1.2. Hit Go.

1. Describe what is happening here. Does this confirm Kepler's second law? How? When the planet is at perihelion, is it moving slowly or quickly? Why do you think this happens? (**4 points**)

Look back to the equation for the force of gravity. You know from personal experience that the harder you hit a ball, the faster it moves. The act of hitting a ball is the act of applying a force to the ball. The larger the force, the faster the ball moves (and, generally, the farther it travels). In the equation for the force of gravity, the amount of force generated depends on the masses of the two objects, and the distance between them. But note that it depends on one over the square of the distance: $1/R^2$. Let's explore this "inverse square law" with some calculations.

- If $R = 1$, what does $1/R^2 =$ _____?
 - If $R = 2$, what does $1/R^2 =$ _____?
 - If $R = 4$, what does $1/R^2 =$ _____?
2. What is happening here? As R gets bigger, what happens to $1/R^2$? Does $1/R^2$ decrease/increase quickly or slowly? (**2 points**)

The equation for the force of gravity has a $1/R^2$ in it, so as R increases (that is, the two objects get further apart), does the force of gravity *felt* by the body get larger, or smaller? Is the force of gravity stronger at perihelion, or aphelion? Newton showed that the speed of a planet in its orbit depends on the force of gravity through this equation:

$$V = \sqrt{(G(M_{\text{sun}} + M_{\text{planet}})(2/r - 1/a))} \quad (2)$$

where "r" is the radial distance of the planet from the Sun, and "a" is the mean orbital radius (the semi-major axis).

3. Do you think the planet will move faster, or slower when it is closest to the Sun? Test this by assuming that $r = 0.5a$ at perihelion, and $r = 1.5a$ at aphelion, and that $a=1$! [Hint, simply set $G(M_{\text{sun}} + M_{\text{planet}}) = 1$ to make this comparison very easy!] Does this explain Kepler's second law? (**4 points**)

4. What do you think the motion of a planet in a circular orbit looks like? Is there a definable perihelion and aphelion? Make a prediction for what the motion is going to look like—how are the triangular areas seen for elliptical orbits going to change as the planet orbits the Sun in a circular orbit? Why? (**3 points**)

5. Now let's run a simulation for a circular orbit by setting the Initial Velocity to 1.0. What happened? Were your predictions correct? (**3 points**)

Exit out of the Second Law, and start-up the Third Law simulation.

Exercise #5: Kepler's Third Law: "A planet's orbital period squared is proportional to its average distance from the Sun cubed: $P^2 \propto a^3$ ".

As we have just learned, the law of gravity states that the further away an object is, the weaker the force. We have already found that at aphelion, when the planet is far from the Sun, it moves more slowly than at perihelion. Kepler's third law is merely a reflection of this fact—the further a planet is from the Sun ("a"), the more slowly it will move. The more slowly it moves, the longer it takes to go around the Sun ("P"). The relation is $P^2 \propto a^3$, where P is the orbital period in years, while a is the average distance of the planet from the Sun, and the mathematical symbol for proportional is represented by " $\propto$ ". However, if we use units of 'years' for P and 'AU' for a we can replace the proportional sign with an equal sign:

$$P^2 = E^3 \quad (3)$$

Let's use equation (3) to make some predictions. If the average distance of Jupiter from the Sun is about 5 AU, what is its orbital period? Set-up the equation:

$$P_J^2 = a_J^3 = 5^3 = 5 \times 5 \times 5 = 125 \quad (4)$$

So, for Jupiter, $P^2 = 125$. How do we figure out what P is? We have to take the square root of both sides of the equation:

$$\sqrt{P^2} = P = \sqrt{125} = 11.2 \text{ years} \quad (5)$$

The orbital period of Jupiter is approximately 11.2 years. Your turn:

1. If an asteroid has an average distance from the Sun of 4 AU, what is its orbital period? Show your work. **(2 points)**

In the Third Law simulation, there is a slide bar to set the average distance from the Sun for any hypothetical solar system body. At start-up, it is set to 4 AU. Run the simulation, and confirm the answer you just calculated. Note that for each orbit of the inner planet, a small red circle is drawn on the outer planet's orbit. Count up these red circles to figure out how many times the Earth revolved around the Sun during a single orbit of the asteroid.

2. Did your calculation agree with the simulation? Describe your results. **(2 points)**

If you were observant, you noticed that the program calculated the number of orbits that the Earth executed for you (in the “Time” window), and you do not actually have to count up the little red circles. Let’s now explore the orbits of the nine planets in our solar system. In the following table are the semi-major axes of the nine planets. Note that the “average distance to the Sun” (a) that we have been using above is actually a quantity astronomers call the “semi-major axis” of a planet. a is simply one half the major axis of the orbit ellipse.

3. Fill in the missing orbital periods of the planets by running the Third Law simulator for each of them. (**3 points**)

Table 3.1: The Orbital Periods of the Planets

Planet	a (AU)	P (yr)
Mercury	0.387	0.24
Venus	0.72	
Earth	1.000	1.000
Mars	1.52	
Jupiter	5.20	
Saturn	9.54	29.5
Uranus	19.22	84.3
Neptune	30.06	164.8
Pluto	39.5	248.3

Notice that the further the planet is from the Sun, the slower it moves, and the longer it takes to complete one orbit around the Sun (its “year”).

4. Neptune was discovered in 1846, and Pluto was discovered in 1930 (by Clyde Tombaugh, a former professor at NMSU). How many orbits (or what fraction of an orbit) have Neptune and Pluto completed since their discovery? (**3 points**)

3.4 Going Beyond the Solar System

One of the basic tenets of physics is that all natural laws, such as gravity, are the same everywhere in the Universe. Thus, when Newton used Kepler’s laws to figure out how gravity worked in the solar system, we suddenly had the tools to understand how stars interact, and how galaxies, which are large groups of billions of stars, behave: the law of gravity works the same way for a planet orbiting a star that is billions of light years from Earth, as it does for the planets in our solar system. Therefore, we can use the law of gravity to construct simulations for all types of situations—even how the Universe itself evolves with time! For the remainder of the lab we will investigate binary stars, and planets in binary star systems.

First, what is a binary star? Astronomers believe that about one half of all stars that form, end up in binary star systems. That is, instead of a single star like the Sun, being orbited by planets, a pair of stars are formed that orbit around each other. Binary stars come in a great variety of sizes and shapes. Some stars orbit around each other very slowly, with periods exceeding a million years, while there is one binary system containing two white dwarfs (a white dwarf is the end product of the life of a star like the Sun) that has an orbital period of 5 minutes!

To get to the simulations for this part of the lab, exit the Third Law simulation (if you haven’t already done so), and click on button “7”, the “Two-Body and Many-Body” simulations. We will start with the “Double Star” simulation. Click Go.

In this simulation there are two stars in orbit around each other, a massive one (the blue one) and a less massive one (the red one). Note how the two stars move. Notice that the line connecting them at each point in the orbit passes through one spot—this is the location of something called the “center of mass”. In Fig. 3.6 is a diagram explaining the center of mass. If you think of a teeter-totter, or a simple balance, the center of mass is the point where the balance between both sides occurs. If both objects have the same mass, this point is halfway between them. If one is more massive than the other, the center of mass/balance point is closer to the more massive object.


Most binary star systems have stars with similar masses ($M_1 \approx M_2$), but this is not always the case. In the first (default) binary star simulation, $M_1 = 2M_2$. The “mass ratio” (“ q ”) in this case is 0.5.

Mass ratio is defined to be

$$q = \frac{M_2}{M_1} \quad (6)$$

Here, $M_2 = 1$, and $M_1 = 2$, so $q = M_2/M_1 = 1/2 = 0.5$. This is the number that appears in the “Mass Ratio” window of the simulation.

Exercise #6: Binary Star systems. We now want to set-up some special binary star orbits to help you visualize how gravity works. This requires us to access the “Input” window



$$M_1 X_1 = M_2 X_2$$

Figure 3.6: A diagram of the definition of the center of mass. Here, object one (M_1) is twice as massive as object two (M_2). Therefore, M_1 is closer to the center of mass than is M_2 . In the case shown here, $X_2 = 2X_1$.

on the control bar of the simulation window to enter in data for each simulation.

Clicking on Input brings up a menu with the following parameters: Mass Ratio, “Transverse Velocity”, “Velocity (magnitude)”, and “Direction”. Use the slide bars (or type in the numbers) to set Transverse Velocity = 1.0, Velocity (magnitude) = 0.0, and Direction = 0.0. For now, we simply want to play with the mass ratio.

Use the slide bar so that Mass Ratio = 1.0. Click “Ok”. This now sets up your new simulation. Click Run.

1. Describe the simulation. What are the shapes of the two orbits? Where is the center of mass located relative to the orbits? What does $q = 1.0$ mean? (Remember Equation 6) Describe what is going on here. (4 points)

Ok, now we want to run a simulation where only the mass ratio is going to be changed.

2. Go back to Input and enter in the correct mass ratio for a binary star system with $M_1 = 4.0$, and $M_2 = 1.0$ (using Equation 6). Run the simulation. Describe what is happening in this simulation. How are the stars located with respect to the center of mass? Why? [Hint: see Fig. 3.6.] **(4 points)**

Finally, we want to move away from circular orbits, and make the orbit as elliptical as possible. You may have noticed from the Kepler's law simulations that the Transverse Velocity affected whether the orbit was round or elliptical. When the Transverse Velocity = 1.0, the orbit is a circle. Transverse Velocity is simply how fast the planet in an elliptical orbit is moving *at perihelion* relative to a planet in a circular orbit of the same orbital period. The maximum this number can be is about 1.3. If it goes much faster, the ellipse then extends to infinity and the orbit becomes a parabola.

3. Go back to Input and now set the Transverse Velocity = 1.3. Run the simulation. Describe what is happening. When do the stars move the fastest? The slowest? Does this make sense? Why/why not? **(4 points)**

The final exercise explores what it would be like to live on a planet in a binary star system—not so fun!

4. In the “Two-Body and Many-Body” simulations window, click on the “Dbl. Star and a Planet” button. Here we simulate the motion of a planet going around the less massive star in a binary system. Click Go. Describe the simulation—what happened to the planet? Why do you think this happened? (**4 points**)

In this simulation, two more windows opened up to the right of the main one. These are what the simulation looks like if you were to sit on the surface of the two stars in the binary. For a while the planet orbits one star, and then goes away to orbit the other one, and then returns. So, sitting on these stars gives you a different viewpoint than sitting high above the orbit. Let’s see if you can keep the planet from wandering away from its parent star. Click on the “Settings” window. As you can tell, now that we have three bodies in the system, there are lots of parameters to play with. But let’s confine ourselves to two of them: “Ratio of Stars Masses” and “Planet–Star Distance”. The first of these is simply the q we encountered above, while the second changes the size of the planet’s orbit. The default values of both at the start-up are $q = 0.5$, and Planet–Star Distance = 0.24.

5. Run simulations with $q = 0.4$ and 0.6 . Compare them to the simulations with $q = 0.5$. What happens as q gets larger, and larger? What is increasing? How does this increase affect the force of gravity between the star and its planet? (**5 points**)

6. Ok, reset $q = 0.5$, and now let's adjust the Planet–Star Distance. In the Settings window, set the Planet–Star Distance = 0.1 and run a simulation. Note the outcome of this simulation. Now set Planet–Star Distance = 0.3. Run a simulation. What happened? Did the planet wander away from its parent star? Are you surprised? (5 points)

Astronomers call orbits where the planet stays home, “stable orbits”. Obviously, when the Planet–Star Distance = 0.24, the orbit is unstable. The orbital parameters are just right that the gravity of the parent star is not able to hold on to the planet. But some orbits, even though the parent’s hold on the planet is weaker, are stable—the force of gravity exerted by the two stars is balanced just right, and the planet can happily orbit around its parent and never leave. Over time, objects in unstable orbits are swept up by one of the two stars in the binary. This can even happen in the solar system. In the Comet lab, you can find some images where a comet ran into Jupiter. The orbits of comets are very long ellipses, and when they come close to the Sun, their orbits can get changed by passing close to a major planet. The gravitational pull of the planet changes the shape of the comet’s orbit, it speeds up, or slows down the comet. This can cause the comet to crash into the Sun, or into a planet, or cause it to be ejected completely out of the solar system. (You can see an example of the latter process by changing the Planet–Star Distance = 0.4 in the current simulation.)

Name: _____

Date: _____

3.5 Take Home Exercise (35 points total)

On a clean sheet of paper, please summarize the important concepts of this lab. Use complete sentences, and proofread your summary before handing in the lab. Your response should include:

- Describe the Law of Gravity and what happens to the gravitational force as *a*) as the masses increase, and *b*) the distance between the two objects increases
- Describe Kepler's three laws *in your own words*, and describe how you tested each one of them.
- Mention some of the things which you have learned from this lab
- Astronomers think that finding life on planets in binary systems is unlikely. Why do they think that? Use your simulation results to strengthen your argument.

3.6 Possible Quiz Questions

1. Describe the difference between an ellipse and a circle.
2. List Kepler's three laws.
3. How quickly does the strength ("pull") of gravity get weaker with distance?
4. Describe the major and minor axes of an ellipse.

3.7 Extra Credit (ask your TA for permission before attempting this, 5 points)

Derive Kepler's third law ($P^2 = C \times a^3$) for a circular orbit. First, what is the circumference of a circle of radius a ? If a planet moves at a constant speed " v " in its orbit, how long does it take to go once around the circumference of a circular orbit of radius a ? [This is simply the orbital period " P ".] Write down the relationship that exists between the orbital period " P ", and " a " and " v ". Now, if we only knew what the velocity (v) for an orbiting planet was, we would have Kepler's third law. In fact, deriving the velocity of a planet in an orbit is quite simple with just a tiny bit of physics (go to this page to see how it is done: <http://www.go.ednet.ns.ca/~larry/orbits/kepler.html>). Here we will simply tell you that the speed of a planet in its orbit is $v = (GM/a)^{1/2}$, where " G " is the gravitational constant mentioned earlier, " M " is the mass of the Sun, and a is the radius of the orbit. Rewrite your orbital period equation, substituting for v . Now, one side of this equation has a square root in it—get rid of this by squaring both sides of the equation and then simplifying the result. Did you get $P^2 = C \times a^3$? What does the constant " C " have to equal to get Kepler's third

law?

Name:_____

Date:_____

4 The Power of Light: Understanding Spectroscopy

4.1 Introduction

For most celestial objects, light is the astronomer's only subject for study. Light from celestial objects is packed with amazingly large amounts of information. Studying the distribution of brightness for each wavelength (color) which makes up the light provides the temperature of a source. A simple example of this comes from flame color comparison. Think of the color of a flame from a candle (yellow) and a flame from a chemistry class Bunson burner (blue). Which is hotter? The flame from the Bunson burner is hotter. By observing which color is dominant in the flame, we can determine which flame is hotter or cooler. The same is true for stars; by observing the color of stars, we can determine which stars are hot and which stars are cool. If we know the temperature of a star, and how far away it is (see the "Measuring Distances Using Parallax" lab), we can determine how big a star is.

We can also use a device, called a spectroscope, to break-up the light from an object into smaller segments and explore the chemical composition of the source of light. For example, if you light a match, you know that the predominant color of the light from the match is yellow. This is partly due to the temperature of the match flame, but it is also due to very strong *emission lines* from sodium. When the sodium atoms are excited (heated in the flame) they emit yellow light.

In this lab, you will learn how astronomers can use the light from celestial objects to discover their nature. You will see just how much information can be packed into light! The close-up study of light is called *spectroscopy*.

This lab is split into three main parts:

- Experimentation with actual blackbody light sources to learn about the qualitative behavior of blackbody radiation.
- Computer simulations of the quantitative behavior of blackbody radiation.
- Experimentation with emission line sources to show you how the spectra of each element is unique, just like the fingerprints of human beings.

Thus there are three main components to this lab, and they can be performed in any order. So one third of the groups can work on the computers, while the other groups work with the spectrographs and various light sources.

- *Goals:* to discuss the properties of blackbody radiation, filters, and see the relationship between temperature and color by observing light bulbs and the spectra of elements by

looking at emission line sources through a spectrograph. Using a computer to simulate blackbody radiation

- *Materials:* spectrograph, adjustable light source, gas tubes and power source, computers, calculators

4.2 Blackbody Radiation

Blackbody radiation (light) is produced by any hot, dense object. By “hot” we mean any object with a temperature above absolute zero. All things in the Universe emit radiation, since all things in the Universe have temperatures above absolute zero. Astronomers *idealize* a perfect absorber and perfect emitter of radiation and call it a “blackbody”. This does not mean it is black in color, simply that it absorbs and emits light at all wavelengths, so no light is reflected. A blackbody is an object which is a perfect absorber (absorbs at all wavelengths) and a perfect emitter (emits at all wavelengths) and does not reflect any light from its surface. Astronomical objects are not perfect blackbodies, but some, in particular, stars, are fairly well approximated by blackbodies.

The light emitted by a blackbody object is called blackbody radiation. This radiation is characterized simply by the *temperature* of the blackbody object. Thus, if we can study the blackbody radiation from an object, we can determine the temperature of the object.

To study light, astronomers often split the light up into a spectrum. A spectrum shows the distribution of brightness at many different wavelengths. Thus, a spectrum can be shown using a graph of brightness vs. wavelength. A simple example of this is if you were to look at a rainbow and record how bright each of the separate colors were. Figure 4.1 shows what the brightness of the colors in a hot flame or hot star might look like. At each separate color, a brightness is measured. By fitting a curve to the data points, and finding the peak in the curve, we can determine the temperature of the blackbody source.

4.3 Absorption and Emission Lines

One question which you may have considered is: how do astronomers know what elements and molecules make up astronomical objects? How do they know that the Universe is made up mostly of hydrogen with a little bit of helium and a tiny bit of all the other elements we have discovered on Earth? How do astronomers know the chemical make up of the planets in our Solar System? They do this by examining the absorption or emission lines in the spectra of astronomical sources. [Note that the plural of *spectrum* is *spectra*.]

4.3.1 The Bohr Model of the Atom

In the early part of the last century, a group of physicists developed the *Quantum Theory of the Atom*. Among these scientists was a Danish physicist named Niels Bohr. His model





Figure 4.1: Astronomers measure the amount of light at a number of different wavelengths (or colors) to determine the temperature of a blackbody source. Every blackbody has the same shape, but the peak moves to the violet/blue for hot sources, and to the red for cool sources. Thus we can determine the temperature of a blackbody source by figuring out where the most light is emitted.

of the atom, shown in the figure below, is the easiest to understand. In the Bohr model, we have a nucleus at the center of the atom, which is really much, much smaller relative to the electron orbits than is illustrated in our figure. Almost all of the atom's mass is located in the nucleus. For Hydrogen, the simplest element known, the nucleus consists of just one proton. A proton has an atomic mass unit of 1 and a positive electric charge. In Helium, the nucleus has two protons and two other particles called neutrons which do not have any charge but do have mass. An electron cloud surrounds the nucleus. For Hydrogen there is only one electron. For Helium there are two electrons and in a larger atom like Oxygen, there are 8. The electron has about $\frac{1}{2000}$ the mass of the proton but an equal and opposite electric charge. So protons have positive charge and electrons have negative charge. Because of this, the electron is attracted to the nucleus and will thus stay as close to the nucleus as possible.

In the Bohr model, Figure 4.2, the electron is allowed to exist only at *certain* distances from the nucleus. This also means the electron is allowed to have *only certain orbital energies*. Often the terms *orbits*, *levels*, and *energies* are used interchangeably so try not to get confused. They all mean the same thing and all refer to the electrons in the Bohr model of the atom.

Now that our model is set up let's look at some situations of interest. When scientists

Hydrogen Atom



Bohr Model

Figure 4.2: In the Bohr model, the negatively charged electrons can only orbit the positively charged nucleus in specific, “quantized”, orbits.

studied simple atoms in their normal, or average state, they found that the electron was found in the lowest level. They named this level the ground level. When an atom is exposed to conditions other than average, say for example, putting it in a very strong electric field, or by increasing its temperature, the electron will jump from inner levels toward outer levels. Once the abnormal conditions are taken away, the electron jumps downward towards the ground level and emits some light as it does so. The interesting thing about this light is that it comes out at only *particular* wavelengths. It does not come out in a continuous spectrum, but at solitary wavelengths. What has happened here?

After much study, the physicists found out that the atom had taken-in energy from the collision or from the surrounding environment and that as it jumps downward in levels, it re-emits the energy as light. The light is a particular color because the electron really is allowed only to be in certain discrete levels or orbits. It cannot be halfway in between two energy levels. This is not the same situation for large scale objects like ourselves. Picture a person in an elevator moving up and down between floors in a building. The person can use the emergency stop button to stop in between any floor if they want to. An electron cannot. It can only exist in certain energy levels around a nucleus.


Now, since each element has a different number of protons and neutrons in its nucleus and a different number of electrons, you may think that studying “electron gymnastics” would get very complicated. Actually, nature has been kind to us because at any one time, only a single electron in a given atom jumps around. This means that each element, when it is excited, gives off certain colors or wavelengths. This allows scientists to develop a color *fingerprint* for each element. This even works for molecules. These fingerprints are sometimes referred to as spectral lines. The light coming from these atoms does not take the

shape of lines. Rather, each atom produces its own set of distinct colors. Scientists then use lenses and slits to produce an image in the shape of a line so that they can measure the exact wavelength accurately. This is why spectral lines get their name, because they are generally studied in a linear shape, but they are actually just different wavelengths of light.

4.3.2 Kirchoff's Laws

Continuous spectra are the same as blackbody spectra, and now you know about spectral lines. But there are two types of spectral lines: *absorption* lines and *emission* lines. Emission lines occur when the electron is moving down to a lower level, and emits some light in the process. An electron can also move up to a higher level by absorbing the right wavelength of light. If the atom is exposed to a continuous spectrum, it will absorb only the right wavelength of light to move the electron up. Think about how that would affect the continuous spectrum. One wavelength of light would be absorbed, but nothing would happen to the other colors. If you looked at the source of the continuous spectrum (light bulb, core of a star) through a spectrograph, it would have the familiar Blackbody spectrum, with a dark line where the light had been absorbed. This is an absorption line.

The absorption process is basically the reverse of the emission process. The electron must acquire energy (by absorbing some light) to move to a higher level, and it must get rid of energy (by emitting some light) to move to a lower level. If you're having a hard time keeping all this straight, don't worry. Gustav Kirchoff made it simple in 1860, when he came up with three laws describing the processes behind the three types of spectra. The laws are usually stated as follows:



- **I.** A dense object will produce a continuous spectrum when heated.
- **II.** A low-density, gas that is excited (meaning that the atoms have electrons in higher levels than normal) will produce an emission-line spectrum.

- **III.** If a source emitting a continuous spectrum is observed through a cooler, low-density gas, an absorption-line spectrum will result.

A blackbody produces a continuous spectrum. This is in agreement with Kirchoff's first law. When the light from this blackbody passes through a cloud of cooler gas, certain wavelengths are absorbed by the atoms in that gas. This produces an absorption spectrum according to Kirchoff's third law. However, if you observe the cloud of gas from a different angle, so you cannot see the blackbody, you will see the light emitted from the atoms when the excited electrons move to lower levels. This is the emission spectrum described by Kirchoff's second law.

Kirchoff's laws describe the conditions that produce each type of spectrum, and they are a helpful way to remember them, but a real understanding of what is happening comes from the Bohr model.

In the second half of this lab you will be observing the spectral lines produced by several different elements when their gaseous forms are heated. The goal of this subsection of the lab is to observe these emission lines and to understand their formation process.

4.4 Creating a Spectrum

Light which has been split up to create a spectrum is called dispersed light. By dispersing light, one can see how pure white light is really made up of all possible colors. If we disperse light from astronomical sources, we can learn a lot about that object. To split up the light so you can see the spectrum, one has to have some kind of tool which disperses the light. In the case of the rainbow mentioned above, the dispersing element is actually the raindrops which are in the sky. Another common dispersing element is a prism.

We will be using an optical element called a *diffraction grating* to split a source of white light into its component colors. A diffraction grating is a bunch of really, really, small rectangular openings called slits packed close together on a single sheet of material (usually plastic or glass). They are usually made by first etching a piece of glass with a diamond and a computer driven etching machine and then taking either casts of the original or a picture of the original.

The diffraction grating we will be using is located at the optical entrance of an instrument called a *spectroscope*. The image screen inside the spectroscope is where the dispersed light ends up. Instead of having all the colors land on the same spot, they are dispersed across the screen when the light is split up into its component wavelengths. The resultant dispersed light image is called a spectrum.

4.5 Observing Blackbody Sources with the Spectrograph

In part one of this lab, we will study a common blackbody in everyday use: a simple white light bulb. Your Lab TA will show you a regular light bulb at two different brightnesses (which correspond to two different temperatures). The light bulb emits at all wavelengths, even ones that we can't see with our human eyes. You will also use a spectroscope to observe emission line sources.

1. First, get a spectroscope from your lab instructor. Study Figure 4.3 figure out which way the entrance slit should line up with the light source. **DO NOT TOUCH THE ENTRANCE SLIT OR DIFFRACTION GRATING!** Touching the plastic ends degrades the effectiveness and quality of the spectroscope.




Figure 4.3:

2. Observe the light source at the brighter (hotter) setting.
3. Do you see light at all different wavelengths/colors or only a few discrete wavelengths? **(2 points)**
4. Of all of the colors which you see in the spectrographs, which color appears the brightest?(**3 points**)
5. Now let us observe the light source at a cooler setting. Do you see light at all different wavelengths/colors or only a few discrete wavelengths? Of all of the colors which you see in the spectrographs, which color appears the brightest? **(3 points)**
6. Describe the changes between the two light bulb observations. What happened to the spectrum as the brightness and temperature of the light bulb increased? Specifically, what happened to the relative amount of light at different wavelengths?(**5 points**)

7. Betelgeuse is a Red Giant Star found in the constellation Orion. Sirius, the brightest star in the sky, is much hotter and brighter than Betelgeuse. Describe how you might expect the colors of these two stars to differ. (4 points)

4.6 Quantitative Behavior of Blackbody Radiation

This subsection, which your TA may make optional (or done as one big group), should be done outside of class on a computer with network access, we will investigate how changing the temperature of a source changes the characteristics of the radiation which is emitted by the source. We will see how the measurement of the *color* of an object can be used to determine the object's temperature. We will also see how changing the temperature of a source also affects the source's *brightness*.

To do this, we will use an online computer program which simulates the spectrum for objects at a given temperature. This program is located here:

<http://astro.unl.edu/naap/blackbody/animations/blackbody.html>

The program just produces a graph of wavelength on the x-axis vs. brightness on the y-axis; you are looking at the relative brightness of this source at different wavelengths.

The program is simple to use. There is a sliding bar on the bottom of the “applet” that allows you to set the temperature of the star. Play around with it a bit to get the idea. Be aware that the y-axis scale of the plot will change to make sure that none of the spectrum goes off the top of the plot; thus if you are looking at objects of different temperature, the y-scale can be different.

Note that the temperature of the objects are measured in units called degrees Kelvin (K). These are very similar to degrees Centigrade/Celsius (C); the only difference is that: $K = C + 273$. So if the outdoor temperature is about 20 C (68 Fahrenheit), then it is 293 K. Temperatures of stars are measured in *thousands* of degrees Kelvin; they are much hotter than it is on Earth!

1. Set the object to a temperature of around 6000 degrees, which is the temperature of the Sun. Note the wavelength, and the color of the spectrum at the peak of the blackbody curve.
2. Now set the temperature to 3000 K, much cooler than the Sun. How do the spectra differ? Consider both the *relative* amount of light at different wavelengths as well as the overall *brightness*. Now set the temperature to 12,000 K, hotter than Sun. How do the spectra differ? (5 points)
3. You can see that each blackbody spectrum has a wavelength where the emission is the brightest (the “top” of the curve). Note that this wavelength changes as the temperature is changed. Fill in the following small table of the wavelength (in “nanometers”)

of the peak of the curve for objects of several different temperatures. You should read the wavelengths at the peak of the curve by looking at the x-axis value of the peak. (5 points)

Temperature	Peak Wavelength
3000	
6000	
12000	
24000	

- Can you see a pattern from your table? Describe how the peak wavelength changes as you increase the temperature. (3 points)
- The peak wavelength and temperature are related by the equation:

$$\lambda_{\max} = \frac{2.898 \times 10^6}{T} \quad (7)$$

where $\lambda_{\max}$ is the peak wavelength (in nanometers) and T is the temperature (in Kelvin). Where would the peak wavelength be for objects on Earth, at a temperature of about 300 degrees K? (2 points)

4.7 Spectral Lines Experiment

4.7.1 Spark Tubes

In space, atoms in a gas can get excited when light from a continuous source heats the gas. We cannot do this easily because it requires extreme temperatures, but we do have special equipment which allows us to excite the atoms in a gas in another way. When two atoms collide they can exchange kinetic energy (energy of motion) and one of the atoms can become excited. This same process can occur if an atom collides with a high speed electron. We can generate high speed electrons simply - it's called electricity! Thus we can excite the atoms in a gas by running electricity through the gas.

The instrument we will be using is called a spark tube. It is very similar to the equipment used to make neon signs. Each tube is filled with gas of a particular element. The tube is placed in a circuit and electricity is run through the circuit. When the electrons pass through the gas they collide with the atoms causing them to become excited. So the electrons in the atoms jump to higher levels. When these excited electrons cascade back down to the lower levels, they emit light which we can record as a spectrum.

4.7.2 Emission-line Spectra Experiment

For the third, and final subsection of this lab you will be using the spectrographs to look at the spark tubes that are emission line sources.

- The TA will first show you the emission from hot Hydrogen gas. Notice how simple this spectrum is. On the attached graphs, make a drawing of the lines you see in the spectrum of hydrogen. Be sure to label the graph so you remember which element the spectrum corresponds to. **(4 points)**
- Next the TA will show you Mercury. Notice that this spectrum is more complicated. Draw its spectrum on the attached sheet.**(4 points)**
- Next the TA will show you Neon. Draw and label this spectrum on your sheet as well.**(4 points)**






Figure 4.4: Draw your Hydrogen, Mercury and Neon spectra here.

4.7.3 The Unknown Element

Now your TA will show you one more element, but won't tell you which one. This time you will be using a higher quality spectroscope (the large gray instrument) to try to identify which element it is by comparing the wavelengths of the spectral lines with those in a data table. The gray, table-mounted spectrograph is identical in nature to the handheld spectrographs, except it is heavier, and has a more stable wavelength calibration. When you look through the gray spectroscope you will see that there is a number scale at the bottom of the spectrum. These are the wavelengths of the light in "nanometers" ($1 \text{ nm} = 10^{-9} \text{ meter}$). Look through this spectrograph at the unknown element and write down the wavelengths of the spectral lines that you can see in the table below, and note their color.

Table 4.1: Unknown Emission Line Source

Observed Wavelength (nm)	Color of Line

Now, compare the wavelengths of the lines in your data table to each of the three elements listed below. In this next table we list the wavelengths (in nanometers) of the brightest emission lines for hydrogen, helium and argon. Note that most humans cannot see light with a wavelength shorter than 400 nm or with a wavelength longer than 700 nm.

Table 4.2: Emission Line Wavelengths

Hydrogen	Helium	Argon
656.3	728.1	714.7
486.1	667.8	687.1
434.0	587.5	675.2
410.2	501.5	560.6
397.0	492.1	557.2
388.9	471.3	549.5

Which element is the unknown element? _____ (5 points)

4.8 Questions

1. Describe in detail why the emission or absorption from a particular electron would produce lines only at specific wavelengths rather than at all wavelengths like a blackbody. (Use the Bohr model to help you answer this question.) **(5 points)**
2. What causes a spectrum to have more lines than another spectrum (for example, Helium has more lines than Hydrogen)? **(4 points)**
3. Referring to Fig. 4.5, does the electron transition in the atom labeled “A” cause the emission of light, or require the absorption of light? **(2 points)**
4. Referring to Fig. 4.5, does the electron transition in the atom labeled “B” cause the emission of light, or require the absorption of light? **(2 points)**
5. Comparing the atom labeled “C” to the atom labeled “D”, which transition (that occurring in C, or D) releases the largest amount of energy? **(3 points)**




Figure 4.5: Electron transitions in an atom (the electrons are the small dots, the nucleus the large black dots, and the circles are possible orbits).

4.9 Summary (35 points)

Summarize the important ideas covered in this lab. Some questions to answer are:

- What information you can learn about a celestial object just by measuring the peak of its blackbody spectrum?
- What does a blackbody spectrum look like?
- How does the peak wavelength change as the temperature of a blackbody changes?
- How can you *quantitatively* measure the color of an object?
- Do the color of items you see around you on Earth (e.g. a red and blue shirt) tell you something about the temperature of the object? Why or why not?
- What information can you learn about an astronomical object from its spectrum?
- Explain how you would get this information from a spectrum.

Use complete sentences, and proofread your summary before handing in the lab.

4.10 Possible Quiz Questions

1. What is meant by the term “blackbody”?
2. What type of sources emit a blackbody spectrum?
3. How is an emission line spectrum produced?
4. How is an absorption line spectrum produced?
5. What type of instrument is used to produce a spectrum?

4.11 Extra Credit (ask your TA for permission before attempting, 5 points)

Research how astronomers use the spectra of binary stars to determine their masses. Write a one page paper describing this technique, including a figure detailing what is happening.

Name:_____

Date:_____

5 Optics

5.1 Introduction

Unlike other scientists, astronomers are far away from the objects they want to examine. Therefore astronomers learn everything about an object by studying the light it emits. Since objects of astronomical interest are far away, they appear very dim and small to us. Thus astronomers must depend upon telescopes to gather more information. Lenses and mirrors are used in telescopes which are the instruments astronomers use to observe celestial objects. Therefore it is important for us to have a basic understanding of optics in order to optimize telescopes and interpret the information we receive from them.

The basic idea of optics is that mirrors or lenses can be used to change the direction which light travels. Mirrors change the direction of light by *reflecting* the light, while lenses redirect light by *refracting*, or bending the light.

The theory of optics is an important part of astronomy, but it is also very useful in other fields. Biologists use microscopes with multiple lenses to see very small objects. People in the telecommunications field use fiber optic cables to carry information at the speed of light. Many people benefit from optics by having their vision corrected with eyeglasses or contact lenses.

This lab will teach you some of the basic principles of optics which will allow you to be able to predict what mirrors and lenses will do to the light which is incident on them. At the observatory you use real telescopes, so the basic skills you learn in this lab will help you understand telescopes better.

- *Goals:* to discuss the properties of mirrors and lenses, and demonstrate them using optics; build a telescope
- *Materials:* optical bench, ray trace worksheet, meterstick

5.2 Discussion

The behavior of light depends on how it strikes the surface of an object. All angles are measured with respect to the **normal** direction. The normal direction is defined as a line which is perpendicular to the surface of the object. The angle between the normal direction and the surface of the object is 90° . Some important definitions are given below. Pay special attention to the pictures in Figure 5.1 since they relate to the reflective (mirrors) and refractive (lenses) optics which will be discussed in this lab.




Fig 1a.




Fig 1b.




Fig 1c.

Figure 5.1: The definition of the “normal” direction $\mathbf{n}$, and other angles found in optics.

- $\mathbf{n}$ = line which is always perpendicular to the surface; also called the *normal*
- θ_I = angle of *incidence*; the angle between the *incoming* light ray and the normal to the surface
- θ_R = angle of *reflection*; the angle between the *outgoing* light ray and the normal to the surface
- α_R = angle of *refraction*; the angle between the *transmitted* light ray and the normal direction

5.3 Reflective Optics: Mirrors

How do mirrors work? Let’s experiment by reflecting light off of a simple flat mirror.

As part of the equipment for this lab you have been given a device that has a large wooden protractor mounted in a stand that also has a flat mirror. Along with this set-up comes a “Laser Straight” laser alignment tool. Inside the Laser Straight is a small laser. There is a small black switch which turns the laser on and off. Keep it off, except when performing the following exercise (always be careful around lasers—they can damage your eyes if you stare into them!).

With this set-up, we can explore how light is reflected off of a flat mirror. Turn on the Laser Straight, place it on the wooden part of the apparatus outside the edge of the protractor so that the laser beam crosses across the protractor scale and intercepts the mirror. Align the laser at some angle on the protractor, making sure the laser beam passes through the *vertex* of the protractor. Note how the “incident” laser beam is reflected. Make a sketch of what you observe in the space below.

Table 5.1: Data Table

Angle of Incidence	Angle of Reflection
20°	
30°	
45°	
60°	
75°	
90°	

Now experiment using different angles of incidence by rotating the Laser Straight around the edge of the protractor, always insuring the laser hits the mirror exactly at the vertex of the protractor. Note that an angle of incidence of 90° corresponds to the “normal” defined above (see Fig. 5.1a).

1. Fill in Table 5.1 with the data for angle of incidence vs. angle of reflection. (**3 pts**)
2. What do you conclude about how light is reflected from a mirror? (**2 pts**)

The law governing the behavior of light when it strikes a mirror is known as the **Law of Reflection**:

angle of incidence = angle of reflection

$$\theta_I = \theta_R$$

3. OK, now what happens if you make the mirror curved? First let's consider a *concave* mirror, one which is curved *away* from the light source. Try to think about the curved mirror as being made up of lots of small subsections of flat mirrors, and make a prediction for what you will see if you put a curved mirror in the light path. You might try to make a drawing in the space below:

At the front of the classroom is in fact just such a device: A curved wooden base to which are glued a large number of flat mirrors, along with a metal stand that has three lasers mounted in it, and the “disco5000” smoke machine. Have your TA turn on the lasers, align them onto the multi-mirror apparatus, and spew some smoke!

4. Was your prediction correct?

Also at the front of the room are two large curved mirrors. There are two types of curved mirrors, “convex” and “concave”. In a convex mirror, the mirror is curved outwards, in a concave mirror, the mirror is curved inwards (“caved” in). Light that is reflected from these two types of mirrors behaves in different ways. In this subsection of the lab, you will investigate how light behaves when encountering a curved mirror.

5. Have your TA place the laser apparatus in front of the convex mirror, and spew some more smoke. **BE CAREFUL NOT TO LET THE LASER LIGHT HIT YOUR EYE.** What happens to the laser beams when they are reflected off of the convex mirror? Make a drawing of how the light is reflected (using the attached work sheet, the diagram labeled “Convex Mirror” in Figure 5.2). (**5 pts**)
6. Now have your TA replace the convex mirror with the concave mirror. Now what happens to the laser beams? Draw a diagram of what happens (using the same worksheet, in the space labeled “Concave Mirror”). (**5 pts**)
7. Note that there are three laser beams. Using a piece of paper, your hand, or some other small opaque item, block out the top laser beam on the stand. Which of the reflected beams disappeared? What happens to the images of the laser beams upon reflection? Draw this result (**5 pts**):




Figure 5.2: The worksheet needed in subsection 3

8. The point where the converging laser beams cross is called the “focus”. From these experiments, we can draw the conclusion that *concave mirrors focus light, convex mirrors diverge light*. Both of the mirrors are 61 cm in diameter. Using a meter stick, how far from the mirror is the convergent point of the reflected light (“where is the best focus achieved”)? (**3 pts**)

This distance is called the “focal length”. For concave mirrors the focal length is one half of the “radius of curvature” of the mirror. If you could imagine a spherical mirror, cut the sphere in half. Now you have a hemispherical mirror. The radius of the hemisphere is the same as the radius of the sphere. Now, imagine cutting a small cap off of the hemisphere, now you have a concave mirror, but it is a piece of a sphere that has the same radius as before!

9. What is the radius of curvature of the big concave mirror? (**1 pt**)
10. Ok, with the lasers off, look into the concave mirror, is your face larger or smaller? Does a concave mirror appear to magnify, or demagnify your image. How about the convex mirror, does it appear to magnify, or demagnify? (**1 pt**):

5.4 Refractive Optics: Lenses

How about lenses? Do they work in a similar way?

For this subsection of the lab, we will be using an “optical bench” that has a light source on one end, and a projection (imaging) screen on the other end. To start with, there will be three lenses attached mounted on the optical bench. Loosen the (horizontal) thumbscrews and remove the three lenses from the optical bench. Two of the lenses have the same diameter, and one lens is larger. Holding one of the lenses by the steel shaft, examine whether this lens can be used as a “magnifying glass”, that is when you look through it, do objects appear bigger, or smaller? You will find that two of the lenses are “positive” lenses in that they magnify objects, and one is a “negative” lens that acts to “de-magnify” objects. Note how easy it is to decide which lenses are positive and which one is the negative lens.

Now we are going to attempt to measure the “focal lengths” of these lenses. First, remount the smaller positive lens back on the optical bench. Turn on the light by simply connecting the light source to the battery or transformer using the alligator clips (be careful not to let the alligator clips touch each other or else the transformer will be damaged). Take the smaller *positive* lens move it to the middle of the optical bench (tightening or loosening the vertical clamping screw to allow you to slide it back and forth). At the one end of the optical bench mount the white plastic viewing screen. It is best to mount this at a convenient measurement spot—let’s choose to align the plastic screen so that it is right at the 10 cm position on the meter stick. Now slowly move the lens closer to the screen. As you do so, you should see a circle of light that decreases in size until you reach “focus” (for this to work, however, your light source and lens have to be at the same *height* above the meter stick!).

11. Measure the distance between the lens and the plastic screen. Write down this number, we will call it “ a ”.

The distance “ a ” = cm (**1 pt**)

12. Now measure the distance between the lens and the front end of the light source.

Write down this number, we will call it “ b ”:

The distance “ b ” = cm (**1 pt**)

To determine the focal length of a lens (“ F ”), there is a formula called “the lens maker’s formula”:

$$\frac{1}{F} = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} \quad (8)$$

13. Calculate the focal length of the small positive lens (**2 pts**): F = cm

14. Now replace the positive lens with the small *negative* lens. Repeat the process. Can you find a focus with this lens? What appears to be happening? (**4 pts**)

15. How does the behavior of these two lenses compare with the behavior of mirrors? Draw how light behaves when encountering the two types of lenses using Figure 5.3. Note some similarities and differences between what you have drawn in Fig. 5.2, and what you drew in Fig. 5.3 and write them in the space below. (**5 pts**)




Figure 5.3: The worksheet needed in subsection 4. The positive lenses used in this lab are “double convex” lenses, while the negative lens is a “double concave” lens.

Ok, now let's go back and mount the larger lens on the optical bench. This lens has a very long focal length. Remove the light source from the optical bench. Now mount the big lens exactly 80 cm from the white screen. Holding the light source "out in space", move it back and forth until you can get the best focus (Note that this focus will not be a point, but will be a focused image of the filament in the light bulb and show-up as a small, bright line segment. This is a much higher power lens, so the image is not squished down like occurred with the smaller positive lens). Using the wooden meter stick, have your lab partners measure the distance between the light source and the lens. This is hard to do, but you should get a number that is close to 80 cm.

16. Assuming that $a = b = 80$ cm, use the lens maker's formula to calculate F :

The focal length of the large lens is $F =$ _____ cm (**2 pts**)

5.5 Making a Telescope

As you have learned in class, Galileo is given credit as the first person to point a telescope at objects in the night sky. You are now going to make a telescope just like that used by Galileo. Remove the white screen (and light source) from the optical bench and mount (and lock) the large positive lens at the 10 cm mark on the yardstick scale. Now mount the small *negative* lens about 40 cm away from the big lens. Looking at the "eyechart" mounted in the lab room (maybe go to the back of the room if you are up front—you want to be as far from the eyechart as possible), focus the telescope by moving the little lens backwards or forwards. Once you achieve focus, let your lab partners look through the telescope too. Given that everyone's eyes are different, they may need to re-focus the little lens.

17. Write down the distance "N" between the two lenses:

The distance between the two lenses is $N =$ _____ cm (**2 pts**)

18. Describe what you see when you look through the telescope: What does the image look like? Is it distorted? Are there strange colors? What is the smallest set of letters you can read? Is the image right side up? Any other interesting observations? (**5 pts**):

This is exactly the kind of telescope that Galileo used. Shortly after Galileo's observations became famous, Johannes Kepler built his own telescopes, and described how they worked. Kepler suggested that you could make a better telescope using two *positive* lenses. Let's do that. Remove the small negative lens and replace it with the small positive lens. Like before, focus your telescope on the eyechart, and let everyone in your group do the same. Write down the distance "P" between the two lenses after achieving best focus:

19. The distance between the two lenses is $P =$ _____ cm (**2 pts**)
20. Describe what you see: What does the image look like? Is it distorted? Are there strange colors? What is the smallest set of letters you can read? Is the image right side up? Any other interesting observations? (**5 pts**):
21. Compare the two telescopes. Which is better? What makes it better? Note that Kepler's version of the telescope did not become popular until many years later. Why do you think that is? (**5 pts**):

5.5.1 The Magnifying and Light Collecting Power of a Telescope

Telescopes do two important things: they collect light, and magnify objects. Astronomical objects are very far away, and thus you must magnify the objects to actually see any detail. Telescopes also collect light, allowing you to see fainter objects than can be seen by your eye. It is easy to envision this latter function as two different size buckets sitting out in the rain. The bigger diameter bucket will collect more water than the smaller bucket. In fact, the amount of water collected goes as the area of the top of the bucket. If we have circular buckets, then given that the area of a circle is πR^2 , a bucket that is twice the radius, has four times the area, and thus collects four times the rain. The same relationship is at work for your eye and a telescope. The radius of a typical human pupil is 4 mm, while the big lens you have been using has a radius of 20 mm. Thus, the telescopes that you built collect 25 times as much light as your eyes.

Determining the magnification of a telescope is also very simple:

$$M = \frac{F}{f} \quad (9)$$

Where “M” is the magnification, “F” is the focal length of the “objective” lens (the bigger of the two lenses), and “f” is the focal length of the “eyepiece” (the smaller of the two lenses). You have calculated both “F” and “f” in the preceding for the two positive lenses, and thus can calculate the *magnification of the “Kepler” telescope*:

22. The magnification of the Kepler telescope is $M =$ _____ times. (1 pt)

Ok, how about the magnification of the Galileo telescope? The magnification for the Galileo telescope is calculated the same way:

$$M = \frac{F}{f} \quad (10)$$

But remember, we could not measure a focal length (f) for the negative lens. How can this be done? With specialized optical equipment it is rather easy to measure the focal length of a negative lens. But since we do not have that equipment, we have to use another technique. In the following two figures we show a “ray diagram” for both the Kepler and Galileo telescopes.

Earlier, we had you make various measurements of the lenses, and measure separations of the lenses in both telescopes once they were focused. If you look at Figure 5.4 and




Figure 5.4: The ray diagram for Kepler's telescope.




Figure 5.5: The ray diagram for Galileo's telescope.

Figure 5.5, you will see that there is a large “F”. This is the focal length of the large, positive lens (the “objective”). In Kepler's telescope, when it is focused, you see that the separation between the two lenses is the sum of the focal lengths of the two lenses. We called this distance “P”, above. You should confirm that the “P” you measured above is in fact equal (or fairly close) to the sum of the focal lengths of the two positive lenses: $P = f + F$ (where little “f” is the focal length of the smaller positive lens).

Ok, now look at Figure 5.5. Note that when this telescope is focused, the separation between the two lenses in the Galileo telescope is $N = F - f$ (where F and f have the same definition as before).

23. Find “f” for the Galileo telescope that you built, and determine the magnification of this telescope (**3 pts**):

24. Compare the magnification of your Galileo telescope to that you calculated for the Kepler telescope (**2 pts**):

What do you think of the quality of images that these simple telescopes produce? Note how hard it is to point these telescopes. It was hard work for Galileo, and the observers that followed him, to unravel what they were seeing with these telescopes. You should also know that the lenses you have used in this class, even though they are not very expensive, are far superior to those that could be made in the 17th century. Thus, the simple telescopes you have constructed today are much better than what Galileo used!

5.6 Summary (35 points)

Please summarize the important concepts of this lab.

- Describe the properties of the different types of lenses *and* mirrors discussed in this lab
- What are some of the differences between mirrors and lenses?
- Why is the study of optics important in astronomy?

Use complete sentences, and proofread your lab before handing it in.

5.7 Possible Quiz Questions

- 1) What is a “normal”?
- 2) What is a concave mirror?
- 3) What is a convex lens?
- 4) Why do astronomers need to use telescopes?

5.8 Extra Credit (ask your TA for permission before attempting, 5 points)

Astronomers constantly are striving for larger and larger optics so that they can collect more light, and see fainter objects. Galileo’s first telescope had a simple lens that was 1” in diameter. The largest telescopes on Earth are the Keck 10 m telescopes (10 m = 400 inches!). Just about all telescopes use mirrors. The reason is that lenses have to be supported from their edges, while mirrors can be supported from behind. But, eventually, a single mirror gets too big to construct. For this extra credit exercise look up what kind of mirrors the 8 m Gemini telescopes have (at <http://www.gemini.edu>) versus the mirror system used by the Keck telescopes (http://keckobservatory.org/about/the_observatory). Try to find out how they were made using links from those sites. Write-up a description of the mirrors used in these two telescopes. Do you think the next generation of 30 or 100 m telescopes will be built, like Gemini, or Keck? Why?

Name: _____

Date: _____

6 Measuring Distances Using Parallax

6.1 Introduction

How do astronomers know how far away a star or galaxy is? Determining the distances to the objects they study is one of the the most difficult tasks facing astronomers. Since astronomers cannot simply take out a ruler and measure the distance to any object, they have to use other methods. Inside the solar system, astronomers can simply bounce a radar signal off of a planet, asteroid or comet to directly measure the distance to that object (since radar is an electromagnetic wave, it travels at the speed of light, so you know how fast the signal travels—you just have to count how long it takes to return and you can measure the object’s distance). But, as you will find out in your lecture sessions, some stars are hundreds, thousands or even tens of thousands of “light years” away. A light year is how far light travels in a single year (about 9.5 trillion kilometers). To bounce a radar signal of a star that is 100 light years away would require you to wait 200 years to get a signal back (remember the signal has to go out, bounce off the target, and come back). Obviously, radar is not a feasible method for determining how far away stars are.

In fact, there is one, and only one direct method to measure the distance to a star: “parallax”. Parallax is the angle that something appears to move when the observer looking at that object changes their position. By observing the size of this angle and knowing how far the observer has moved, one can determine the distance to the object. Today you will experiment with parallax, and appreciate the small angles that astronomers must measure to determine the distances to stars.

To introduce you to parallax, perform the following simple experiment:

Hold your thumb out in front of you at arm’s length and look at it with your left eye closed. Now look at it with your right eye closed. As you look at your thumb, alternate which eye you close several times. You should see your thumb move relative to things in the background. Your thumb is not moving but your point of view is moving, so your thumb *appears* to move.

- *Goals:* to discuss the theory and practice of using parallax to find the distances to nearby stars, and use it to measure the distance to objects in the classroom
- *Materials:* classroom “ruler”, worksheets, ruler, protractor, calculator, small object

6.2 Parallax in the classroom

The “classroom parallax ruler” will be installed/projected on one side of the classroom. For the first part of this lab you will be measuring motions against this ruler.

Now work in groups: stand at the back of the room and have the TA place the parallax device on one of tape marks along the line that goes straight to the front wall. You should be able to see the plastic stirrer against the background ruler. The observer should blink his/her eyes and measure the number of lines on the background ruler against which the object appears to move. **Note that you can estimate the motion measurement to a fraction of tick mark, e.g., your measurement might be 2 1/2 tick marks).** Do this for the three different marked distances. Switch places and do it again. Each person should estimate the motion for each of the three distances.

1. How many tick marks did the object move at the closest distance? **(2 points):**
2. How many tick marks did the object move at the middle distance? **(2 points):**
3. How many tick marks did the object move at the farthest distance? **(2 points):**
4. 'Parallax' is the term used for the apparent motion of the object against the background ruler. It is caused by looking at an object from two different vantage points. In this case, the two vantage points are the locations of your two eyes. Qualitatively, what do you see? As the object gets farther away, is the apparent motion smaller or larger? **(1 point):**
5. What if the vantage points are further apart? For example, imagine you had a huge head and your eyes were a foot apart rather than several inches apart. What would you predict for the apparent motion? **(1 point):**
Try the experiment again, this time using the object at one of the distances used above, but now measuring the apparent motion by using just one eye, but moving your whole head a few feet from side to side to get more widely separated vantage points.
6. How many tick marks does the object move as seen from the more widely separated vantage points? **(1 point):**
7. For an object at a fixed distance, how does the apparent motion change as you observe from more widely separated vantage points? **(1 point):**

6.3 Measuring distances using parallax

We have seen that the apparent motion depends on both the distance to an object and also on the separation of the two vantage points. We can then turn this around: if we can measure the apparent motion and also the separation of the two vantage points, we should be able to infer the distance to an object. This is very handy: it provides a way of measuring a distance without actually having to go to an object. Since we can't travel to them, this provides the only direct measurement of the distances to stars.

We will now see how parallax can be used to **determine the distances to the objects you looked at** just based on your measurements of their apparent motions and a measurement of the separation of your two vantage points (your two eyes).

6.3.1 Angular motion of an object

How can we measure the apparent motion of an object? As with our background ruler, we can measure the motion as it appears against a background object. But what are the appropriate units to use for such a measurement? Although we can measure how far apart the lines are on our background ruler, the apparent motion is not really properly measured in a unit of length; if we had put our parallax ruler further away, the apparent motion would have been the same, but the number of tick marks it moved would have been larger.

The apparent motion is really an *angular* motion. As such, it can be measured in *degrees*, with 360 degrees in a circle.

Figure out the angular separation of the tick marks on the ruler as seen from the opposite side of the classroom. Do this by putting one eye at the origin of one of the tripod-mounted protractors and measuring the angle from one end of the background ruler to the other end of the ruler. You might lay a pencil from your eye at the origin of the protractor toward each end and use this to measure the the total angle. Divide this angle by the total number of tick marks to figure out the angle for each tick mark.

1. Number of degrees for the entire background ruler (between the 0 and 20 marks):
2. Number of tick marks between 0 and 20 on the ruler:
3. Number of degrees in each tick mark:

Convert your measurements of apparent motion in tick marks from Section 6.2 to angular measurements by multiplying the number of tick marks by the number of degrees

per tick mark:

4. How many degrees did the object appear to move at the closest distance? **(2 points)**:
5. How many degrees did the object appear to move at the middle distance? **(2 points)**:
6. How many degrees did the object appear to move at the farthest distance? **(2 points)**:

6.3.2 Distance between the vantage points

Now you need to measure the distance between the two different vantage points, in this case, the distance between your two eyes. Have your partner measure this with a ruler. Since you see out of the pupil part of your eyes, you want to measure the distance between the centers of your two pupils.

1. What is the distance between your eyes? **(2 points)**

6.3.3 Using parallax measurements to determine the distance to an object

To determine the distance to an object for which you have a parallax measurement, you can construct an imaginary triangle between the two different vantage points and the object, as shown in Figure 6.1.

The angles you have measured correspond to the angle α on the diagram, and the distance between the vantage points (your pupils) corresponds to the distance b on the diagram. The distance to the object, which is what you want to figure out, is d .

The three quantities b , d , and α are related by a trigonometric function called the *tangent*. Now, you may have never heard of a *tangent*, if so don't worry—we will show you how to do this using another easy (but less accurate) way! But for those of you who are familiar with a little basic trigonometry, here is how you find the distance to an object using parallax: If you split your triangle in half (dotted line), then the tangent of $(\alpha/2)$ is equal to the quantity $(b/2)/d$:

$$\tan\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) = \frac{(b/2)}{d}$$




Figure 6.1: Parallax triangle

Rearranging the equation gives:

$$d = \frac{(b/2)}{\tan(\alpha/2)}$$

You can determine the tangent of an angle using your calculator by entering the angle and then hitting the button marked *tan*. There are several other units for measuring angles besides degrees (for example, radians), so you have to **make sure that your calculator is set up to use degrees** for angles before you use the tangent function.

Combine your measurements of angular distances and the distance between the vantage points to determine the three different distances to the parallax device. The units of the distances which you determine will be the same as the units you used to measure the distance between your eyes; if you measured that in inches, then the derived distances will be in inches.

Distance when object was at closest distance: **(2 points)**

Distance when object was at middle distance: **(2 points)**

Distance when object was at farthest distance: **(2 points)**

Now go and measure the actual distances to the locations of the objects using a yardstick, meterstick, or tape measure. How well did the parallax distances work? Can you think of any reasons why your measurements might not match up exactly? **(5 points)**

6.4 Using Parallax to measure distances on Earth, and within the Solar System

We just demonstrated how parallax works in the classroom, now lets move to a larger scale then the classroom.

6.4.1 The “Non-Tangent” way to figure out distances from angles

Because the angles in astronomical parallax measurement are very small, astronomers do not have to use the *tangent* function to determine distances from angles—they use something called the “small angle approximation formula”:

$$\frac{\theta}{57.3} = \frac{(b/2)}{d}$$

In this equation, we have defined $\theta = \alpha/2$, where α is the same angle as in the earlier equations (and in Fig. 6.1). Rearranging the equation gives:

$$d = \frac{57.3 \times (b/2)}{\theta}$$

To use this equation your parallax angle “ θ ” **has to be in degrees**. Now you can proceed to the next step!

1. *Using the small angle formula*, and your measured pupil distance, what would be the parallax angle (in degrees) for Organ Summit, the highest peak in the Organ mountains, if the Organ Summit is located 12 miles (or 20 km) from this classroom? [Hint: there are 5280 feet in a mile, and 12 inches in a foot. There are 1,000 meters in a km.]: **(3 points)**

You should have gotten a tiny angle! The smallest angle that the best human eyes can resolve is about 0.02 degrees. Obviously, our eyes provide an inadequate baseline for measuring this large of a distance. How can we get a bigger baseline? Well surveyors use a “transit” to carefully measure angles to a distant object. A transit is basically a small telescope mounted on a (fancy!) protractor. By locating the transit at two different spots separated by 100 yards (and carefully measuring this baseline!), they can get a much larger parallax angle, and thus it is fairly easy to measure the distances to faraway trees, mountains, buildings or other large objects.

How about an object in the Solar System? We will use Mars, the planet that comes closest to Earth. At favorable oppositions, Mars gets to within about 0.4 AU of the Earth. Remember, 1 AU is the average distance between the Earth and Sun: 149,600,000 km.

2. Calculate the parallax angle for Mars (using the small angle approximation) using a baseline of 1000 km. **(3 points)**

6.5 Distances to stars using parallax, and the “Parsec”

Because stars are very far away, the parallax motion will be very small. For example, the nearest star is about 1.9×10^{13} miles or 1.2×10^{18} inches away! At such a tremendous distance, the apparent angular motion is very small. Considering the two vantage points of your two eyes, the angular motion of the nearest star corresponds to the apparent diameter of a human hair seen at the distance of the Sun! This is a truly tiny angle and totally unmeasurable by your eye.

Like a surveyor, we can improve our situation by using two more widely separated vantage points. The two points farthest apart we can use from Earth is to use two opposite points in the Earth’s orbit about the Sun. In other words, we need to observe a star at two different times separated by six months. The distance between our two vantage points, b , will then be twice the distance between the Earth and the Sun: “2 AU”. Figure 6.2 shows the idea.




Figure 6.2: Parallax Method for Distance to a Star

Using 299.2 million km as the distance b , we find that the apparent angular motion (α) of even the nearest star is only about 0.0004 degrees. This is also unobservable using your naked eye, which is why we cannot directly observe parallax by looking at stars with our

naked eye. However, this angle is relatively easy to measure using modern telescopes and instruments.

Time to talk about a new distance unit, the “Parsec”. Before we do so, we have to review the idea of smaller angles than degrees. Your TA or professor might already have mentioned that a degree can be broken into 60 arcminutes. Thus, instead of saying the parallax angle is 0.02 degrees, we can say it is 1.2 arcminutes. But note that the nearest star only has a parallax angle of 0.024 arcminutes. We need to switch to a smaller unit to keep from having to use scientific notation: the arcsecond. There are 60 arcseconds in an arcminute, thus the parallax angle (α) for the nearest star is 1.44 arcseconds. To denote arcseconds astronomers append a single quotation mark (") at the end of the parallax angle, thus $\alpha = 1.44''$ for the nearest star. But remember, in converting an angle into a distance (using the tangent or small angle approximation) we used the angle $\alpha/2$. So when astronomers talk about the parallax of a star they use this angle, $\alpha/2$, which we called “ θ ” in the small angle approximation equation.

How far away is a star that has a parallax angle of $\theta = 1''$? The answer is 3.26 light years, and this distance is defined to be “1 Parsec”. The word Parsec comes from **Par**allax **Sec**ond. An object at 1 Parsec has a parallax of $1''$. An object at 10 Parsecs has a parallax angle of $0.1''$. Remember, the further away an object is, the smaller the parallax angle.

The nearest star (Alpha Centauri) has a parallax of $\theta = 0.78''$, and is thus at a distance of $1/\theta = 1/0.78 = 1.3$ Parsecs.

Depending on your professor, you might hear the words Parsec, kiloparsec, Megaparsec and even Gigaparsec in your lecture classes. These are just shorthand methods of talking about distances in astronomy. A kiloparsec is 1,000 Parsecs, or 3,260 light years. A Megaparsec is one million parsecs, and a Gigaparsec is one billion parsecs. To convert to light years, you simply have to multiply by 3.26. The Parsec is a strange unit, but you have already encountered other strange units this semester!

Let's work some examples. Remember:

- **1 Parsec = 3.26 lightyears**
- **distance (in Parsecs) = $\frac{1}{\theta}$ (in arcseconds)**

1. If a star has a parallax angle of $\theta = 0.25''$, what is its distance in Parsecs? **(1 point)**
2. If a star is at a distance of 5 Parsecs, what is its parallax angle? **(1 point)**
3. If a star is at a distance of 5 Parsecs, how many light years away is it? **(1 point)**

6.6 Questions

1. How does the parallax angle change as an object is moved further away? Given that you can usually only measure an angular motion to some accuracy, would it be easier

- to measure the distance to a nearby star or a more distant star? Why? **(4 points)**
2. Relate the experiment you did in lab to the way parallax is used to measure the distances to nearby stars in astronomy. Describe the process an astronomer has to go through in order to determine the distance to a star using the parallax method. What do your two eyes represent in that experiment? **(5 points)**
 3. Imagine that you did the classroom experiment by putting the object all the way at the front of the room (against the ruler). How big would the apparent motion be relative to the tick marks? What would you infer about the distance to the object? Why do you think this estimate is incorrect? What can you infer about where the background objects in a parallax experiment need to be located? **(7 points)**
 4. Imagine that you observe a star field twice one year, separated by six months and observe the configurations of stars shown in Figure 6.3:



Figure 6.3: Star field seen at two times of year six months apart.

The star marked P appears to move between your two observations because of parallax. So you can consider the two pictures to be like our lab experiment where the left picture is what is seen by one eye and the right picture what is seen by the other eye. All the stars except star P do not appear to change position; they correspond to the background ruler in our lab experiment. If the angular distance between stars A and B is 0.5 arcminutes (remember, 60 arcminutes = 1 degree), then how far away would you estimate that star P is?

- (a) Determine the scale: Measure the distance (in cm) between stars A and B . (This distance corresponds to an angular separation of 0.5 arcminutes)
- (b) Measure how much star P moved (in cm)
- (c) Convert this measured distance to an angular distance in arcminutes (using the scale found in part a).
- (d) Convert your angular distance from arcminutes to arcseconds (remember, there are 60 arcseconds in 1 arcminute).

(e) What is the value of θ ? (Recall that $\theta = \frac{\alpha}{2}$)

(f) Using the parallax equation ($d = \frac{1}{\theta}$) find the distance to the star P .

(11 points)

6.7 Summary (35 points)

Please summarize the important concepts discussed in this lab. Your summary should include:

- A brief description on the basic principles of parallax and how astronomers can use parallax to determine the distance to nearby stars

Also think about and answer the following questions:

- Does the parallax method work for all stars we can see in our Galaxy and why?
- Why do you think it is important for astronomers to determine the distances to the stars which they study?

Use complete sentences, and proofread your summary before handing in the lab.

6.8 Possible Quiz Questions

- 1) How do astronomers measure distances to stars?
- 2) How can astronomers measure distances inside the Solar System?
- 3) What is an Astronomical Unit?
- 4) What is an arcminute?
- 5) What is a Parsec?

6.9 Extra Credit (ask your TA for permission before attempting, 5 points)

Use the web to find out about the planned GAIA Mission. What are the goals of GAIA? How accurately can it measure a parallax? Discuss the units of milliarcseconds (“mas”) and microarcseconds. How much better is GAIA than the best ground-based parallax measurement programs?

Name: _____

Date: _____

7 Our Sun

7.1 Introduction

The Sun is a very important object for all life on Earth. The nuclear reactions which occur in its core produce the energy which plants and animals need to survive. We schedule our lives around the rising and setting of the Sun in the sky. During the summer, the Sun is higher in the sky and thus warms us more than during the winter, when the Sun stays low in the sky. But the Sun's effect on Earth is even more complicated than these simple examples.

The Sun is the nearest star to us, which is both an advantage and a disadvantage for astronomers who study stars. Since the Sun is very close, and very bright, we know much more about the Sun than we know about other distant stars. This complicates the picture quite a bit since we need to better understand the physics going in the Sun in order to comprehend all our detailed observations. This difference makes the job of solar astronomers in some ways more difficult than the job of stellar astronomers, and in some ways easier! It's a case of having lots of incredibly detailed data. But all of the phenomena associated with the Sun are occurring on other stars, so understanding the Sun's behavior provides insights to how other stars might behave.




Figure 7.1: A diagram of the various layers/components of the Sun, as well as the appearance and location of other prominent solar features.

- *Goals:* to discuss the layers of the Sun and solar phenomena; to use these concepts

in conjunction with pictures to deduce characteristics of solar flares, prominences, sunspots, and solar rotation

- *Materials:* You will be given a Sun image notebook, a bar magnet with iron filings and a plastic tray. You will need paper to write on, a ruler, and a calculator

7.2 Layers of the Sun

One of the things we know best about the Sun is its overall structure. Figure 7.1 is a schematic of the layers of the Sun's interior and atmosphere. The interior of the Sun is made up of three distinct regions: the core, the radiative zone, and the convective zone. The *core* of the Sun is very hot and dense. This is the only place in the Sun where the temperature and pressure are high enough to support nuclear reactions. The *radiative zone* is the region of the sun where the energy is transported through the process of radiation. Basically, the photons generated by the core are absorbed and emitted by the atoms found in the radiative zone like cars in stop and go traffic. This is a very slow process. The *convective zone* is the region of the Sun where energy is transported by rising "bubbles" of material. This is the same phenomenon that takes place when you boil a pot of water. The hot bubbles rise to the top, cool, and fall back down. This gives the the surface of the Sun a granular look. Granules are bright regions surrounded by darker narrow regions. These granules cover the entire surface of the Sun.

The atmosphere of the Sun is also comprised of three layers: the photosphere, the chromosphere, and the corona. The *photosphere* is a thin layer that forms the visible surface of the Sun. This layer acts as a kind of insulation, and helps the Sun retain some of its heat and slow its consumption of fuel in the core. The *chromosphere* is the Sun's lower atmosphere. This layer can only be seen during a solar eclipse since the photosphere is so bright. The *corona* is the outer atmosphere of the Sun. It is very hot, but has a very low density, so this layer can only be seen during a solar eclipse (or using specialized telescopes). More information on the layers of the Sun can be found in your textbook.

7.3 Sunspots

Sunspots appear as dark spots on the photosphere (surface) of the Sun (see Figure 7.2). They last from a few days to over a month. Their average size is about the size of the Earth, although some can grow to many times the size of the Earth! Sunspots are commonly found in pairs. How do these spots form?

The formation of sunspots is attributed to the Sun's *differential rotation*. The Sun is a ball of gas, and therefore does not rotate like the Earth, or any other solid object. The Sun's equator rotates faster than its poles. It takes roughly 25 days for material to travel once around the equator, but about 35 days for it to travel once around near the north or south poles. This differential rotation acts to twist up the magnetic field lines inside the Sun. At times, the lines can get so twisted that they pop out of the photosphere. Figure 7.3




Figure 7.2: A large group of Sunspots. The “umbra” is the darker core of a sunspot, while the “penumbra” is its lighter, frilly edges.

illustrates this concept. When a magnetic field loop pops out, the places where it leaves and re-enters the photosphere are cooler than the rest of the Sun’s surface. These cool places appear darker, and therefore are called “sunspots”.




Figure 7.3: Sunspots are a result of the Sun’s differential rotation.

The number of sunspots rises and falls over an 11 year period. This is the amount of time it takes for the magnetic lines to tangle up and then become untangled again. This is called the *Solar Cycle*. Look in your textbook for more information on sunspots and the solar cycle.

7.4 Solar Phenomenon

The Sun is a very exciting place. All sorts of activity and eruptions take place in it and around it. We will now briefly discuss a few of these interesting phenomena. You will be

analyzing pictures of prominences during this lab.

Prominences are huge loops of glowing gas protruding from the chromosphere. Charged particles spiral around the magnetic field lines that loop out over the surface of the Sun, and therefore we see bright loops above the Sun's surface. Very energetic prominences can break free from the magnetic field lines and shoot out into space.

Flares are brief but bright eruptions of hot gas in the Sun's atmosphere. These eruptions occur near sunspot groups and are associated with the Sun's intertwined magnetic field lines. A large flare can release as much energy as 10 billion megatons of TNT! The charged particles that flares emit can disrupt communication systems here on Earth.

Another result of charged particles bombarding the Earth is the Northern Lights. When the particles reach the Earth, they latch on to the Earth's magnetic field lines. These lines enter the Earth's atmosphere near the poles. The charged particles from the Sun then excite the molecules in Earth's atmosphere and cause them to glow. Your textbook will have more fascinating information about these solar phenomena.

7.5 Lab Exercises

There are three main exercises in this lab. The first part consists of a series of "stations" in a three ring binder where you examine some pictures of the Sun and answer some questions about the images that you see. Use the information that you have learned from lectures and your book to give explanations for the different phenomena that you see at each station. In the second exercise you will learn about magnetic fields using a bar magnet and some iron filings. Finally, for those labs that occur during daylight hours (i.e., starting before 5 pm!), you will actually look at the Sun using a special telescope to see some of the phenomena that were detailed in the images in the first exercise of this lab (for those students in night-time labs, arrangements might be made so as to observe the Sun during one of your lecture sessions). During this lab you will use your own insight and knowledge of basic physics and astronomy to obtain important information about the phenomena that we see on the Sun, just as solar astronomers do. As with all of the other exercises in this lab manual, if there is not sufficient room to write in your answers into this lab, do not hesitate to use additional sheets of paper. Do not try to squeeze your answers into the tiny blank spaces in this lab description if you need more space than provided! Don't forget to **SHOW ALL OF YOUR WORK**.

One note of caution about the images that you see: the colors of the pictures (especially those taken by SOHO) are *not* true colors, but are simply colors used by the observatories' image processing teams to best enhance the features shown in the image.

7.5.1 Exercise #1: Getting familiar with the Size and Appearance of the Sun

Station 1: In this first station we simply present some images of the Sun to familiarize yourself with what you will be seeing during the remainder of this lab. Note that this station has no questions that you have to answer, but you still should take time to familiarize yourself with the various features visible on/near the Sun, and get comfortable with the specialized, filtered image shown here.

- The first image in this station is a simple “white light” picture of the Sun as it would appear to you if you were to look at it in a telescope that was designed for viewing the Sun. Note the dark spots on the surface of the Sun. These are “sunspots”, and are dark because they are cooler than the rest of the photosphere.
- When we take a very close-up view of the Sun’s photosphere we see that it is broken up into much smaller “cells”. This is the “solar granulation”, and is shown in picture #2. Note the size of these granules. These convection cells are about the size of New Mexico!
- To explore what is happening on the Sun more fully requires special tools. If you have had the spectroscopy lab, you will have seen the spectral lines of elements. By choosing the right element, we can actually probe different regions in the Sun’s atmosphere. In our first example, we look at the Sun in the light of the hydrogen atom (“H-alpha”). This is the red line in the spectrum of hydrogen. If you have a daytime lab, and the weather is good, you will get to see the Sun just like it appears in picture #3. The dark regions in this image is where cool gas is present (the dark spot at the center is a sunspot). The dark linear, and curved features are “prominences”, and are due to gas caught in the magnetic field lines of the underlying sunspots. They are above the surface of the Sun, so they are a little bit cooler than the photosphere, and therefore darker.
- Picture #4 shows a “loop” prominence located at the edge (or “limb”) of the Sun (the disk of the Sun has been blocked out using a special telescope called a “coronagraph” to allow us to see activity near its limb). If the Sun cooperates, you may be able to see several of these prominences with the solar telescope. You will be returning to this image in Exercise #2.

Station 2: Here are two images of the Sun taken by the SOHO satellite several days apart (the exact times are at the top of the image). **(8 points)**

- Look at the sunspot group just below center of the Sun in **image 1**, and then note that it has rotated to the western (right-hand) limb of the Sun in **image 2**. Since the sunspot group has moved from center to limb, you then know that the Sun has rotated by one quarter of a turn (90°).
- Determine the precise time difference between the images. Use this information plus the fact that the Sun has turned by 90 degrees in that time to determine the rotation

rate of the Sun. If the Sun turns by 90 degrees in time t , it would complete one revolution of 360 degrees in how much time?

- Does this match the rotation rate given in your textbook or in lecture? Show your work.

In the second photograph of this station are two different images of the Sun: the one on the left is a photo of the Sun taken in the near-infrared at Kitt Peak National Observatory, and the one on the right is a “magnetogram” (a picture of the magnetic field distribution on the surface of the Sun) taken at about the same time. (Note that black and white areas represent regions with different *polarities*, like the north and south poles of the bar magnet used in the second part of this lab.) **(7 points)**

- What do you notice about the location of *sunspots* in the photo and the location of the *strongest magnetic fields*, shown by the brightest or darkest colors in the magnetogram?
- Based on this answer, what do you think causes sunspots to form? Why are they dark?

Station 3: Here is a picture of the *corona* of the Sun, taken by the SOHO satellite in the extreme ultraviolet. (An image of the Sun has been superimposed at the center of the

picture. The black ring surrounding it is a result of image processing and is not real.) **(10 points)**

- Determine the diameter of the Sun, then measure the minimum extent of the corona (diagonally from upper left to lower right).
- If the photospheric diameter of the Sun is 1.4 million kilometers (1.4×10^6 km), how big is the corona? (HINT: use unit conversion!)
- How many times larger than the Earth is the corona? (Earth diameter=12,500 km)

Station 4: This image shows a time-series of exposures by the SOHO satellite showing an *eruptive prominence*. **(15 points)**

- As in station 3, measure the diameter of the Sun and then measure the distance of the top of the prominence from the edge of the Sun in the first (earliest) image. Then measure the distance of the top of the prominence from the edge of the Sun in the last image.

- Convert these values into real distances based on the linear scale of the images. Remember the diameter of the Sun is 1.4×10^6 kilometers.
- The velocity of an object is the distance it travels in a certain amount of time ($\text{vel} = \text{dist}/\text{time}$). Find the velocity of the prominence by subtracting the two distances and dividing the answer by the amount of time between the two images.
- In the most severe of solar storms, those that cause flares, and “coronal mass ejections” (and can disrupt communications on Earth), the material ejected in the prominence (or flare) can reach velocities of 2,000 kilometers per second. If the Earth is 150×10^6 kilometers from the Sun, how long (hours or days) would it take for this ejected material to reach the Earth?

Station 5: This is a plot of where sunspots tend to occur on the Sun as a function of *latitude* (top plot) and time (bottom plot). What do you notice about the distribution sunspots? How long does it take the pattern to repeat? What does this length of time correspond to? **(3 points)**

7.5.2 Exercise #2: Exploring Magnetic Fields

The magnetic field of the Sun drives most of the solar activity. In this subsection we compare the magnetic field of sunspots to that of a bar magnet. During this exercise you will be using a plastic tray in which you will sprinkle iron filings (small bits of iron) to trace the magnetic field of a bar magnet. This can be messy, so be careful as we only have a finite supply of these iron filings, and the other lab subsections will need to re-use the ones supplied to you.

- First, let's explore the behavior of a compass in the presence of a magnetic field. Grab the bar magnet and wave the "north pole" (the red end of the bar magnet with the large "N") of the magnet by the compass. Which end of the compass needle (or arrow) seems to be attracted by the north pole of the magnet? **(1 point)**
- Ok, reverse the bar magnet so the south pole (white end) is the one closest to the compass. Which end of the compass needle is attracted to the south pole of the bar magnet? **(1 point)**
- The compass needle itself is a little magnet, and the pointy, arrow end of the compass needle is the north pole of this little magnet. Knowing this, what does this say about magnets? Which pole is attracted to which pole (and vice versa)? **(1 point)**
- As you know, a compass can be used to find your way if you are lost because the needle always points towards the North Pole of the Earth. The Earth has its own magnetic field generated deep in its molten iron core. This field acts just like that of a bar magnet. But given your answer to the last question, and the fact that the "north pole" of the compass needle points to the North Pole of the Earth, what is the actual "polarity" of the Earth's "magnetic North" pole? **(1 point)**

We have just demonstrated the power of attraction of a magnetic field. What does a magnetic field look like? In this subsection we use some iron filings, a plastic tray, and the bar

magnet to explore the appearance of a magnetic field, and compare that to what we see on the Sun.

- Place the bar magnet on the table, and center the plastic tray on top of the bar magnet. Gently sprinkle the iron filings on to the plastic tray so that a thin coating covers the entire tray. Sketch the pattern traced-out by the magnetic filings below, and describe this pattern. **(2 points)**

- The iron filings trace the magnetic field lines of the bar magnet. The field lines surround the magnet in all dimensions (though we can only easily show them in two dimensions). Your TA will show you a device that has a bar magnet inside a plastic case to demonstrate the three dimensional nature of the field. Compare the pattern of the iron filings around the bar magnet to the picture of the sunspot shown in Figure 7.4. They are similar! What does this imply about sunspots? **(3 points)**




Figure 7.4: The darker region of this double sunspot is called the “umbra”, while the less dark, filamentary region is called the “penumbra”. For this sunspot, one umbra has a “North polarity”, while the other has a “South polarity”.

- Now, let's imagine what a fully three dimensional magnetic field looks like. The pattern of the iron filings around the bar magnet would also exist into the space *above* the bar magnet, but we cannot suspend the iron filings above the magnet. Complete Figure 7.5 by drawing-in what you imagine the magnetic field lines look like *above* the bar magnet. **(3 points)**




Figure 7.5: Draw in the field lines above this bar magnet.

- Compare your drawing, above, to the image of the loop prominence seen in station #1 of Exercise #1. What are their similarities—imagine if the magnetic field lines emitted light, what would you expect to see? **(2 points)**

If a sunspot pair is like a little bar magnet on the surface of the Sun, the field extends up into the atmosphere, and along the magnetic field charged particles can collect, and we see light emitted by these moving particles (mostly ionized hydrogen). Note that we do not always see the complete set of field lines in prominences because of the lack of material high in the Sun's atmosphere—but the bases of the prominences are visible, and are located just above the sunspot.

*****If the weather is clear, and your TA is ready, you can proceed to Exercise #3 to look at the Sun with a special solar telescope.*****


7.5.3 Exercise #3: Looking at the Sun

The Sun is very bright, and looking at it with either the naked eye or any optical device is dangerous—special precautions are necessary to enable you to actually look at the Sun. To make the viewing safe, we must eliminate 99.999% of the light from the Sun to reduce it to safe levels. In this exercise you will be using a very special telescope designed for viewing the Sun. This telescope is equipped with a hydrogen light filter. It only allows a tiny amount of light through, isolating a single emission line from hydrogen (“H-alpha”). In your lecture session you will learn about the emission spectrum of hydrogen, and in the spectroscopy lab you get to see this red line of hydrogen using a spectroscope. Several of the pictures in Exercise #1 were actually obtained using a similar filter system. This filter system gives us a unique view of the Sun that allows us to better see certain types of solar phenomena, especially the “prominences” you encountered in Exercise #1.

- In the “Solar Observation Worksheet” below, draw what you see on and near the Sun as seen through the special solar telescope. **(8 points)**

Note: Kitt Peak Vacuum Telescope images are courtesy of KPNO/NOAO. SOHO Extreme Ultraviolet Imaging Telescope images courtesy of the SOHO/EIT consortium. SOHO Michelson Doppler Imager images courtesy of the SOHO/MDI consortium. SOHO is a project of international cooperation between the European Space Agency (ESA) and NASA.

Solar Observation Worksheet



Name: _____

Lab Sec.: _____

Date: _____

TA: _____

7.6 Summary (35 points)

Please summarize the important concepts discussed in this lab.

- Discuss the different types of phenomena and structures you looked at in the lab
- Explain how you can understand what causes a phenomenon to occur by looking at the right kind of data
- List the six layers of the Sun (in order) and give their temperatures.
- What causes the Northern (and Southern) Lights, also known as “Aurorae”?

Use complete sentences and, proofread your summary before turning it in.

Possible Quiz Questions

- 1) What are sunspots, and what leads to their formation?
- 2) Name the three interior regions of the Sun.
- 3) What is differential rotation?
- 4) What is the “photosphere”?
- 5) What are solar flares?

7.7 Extra Credit (ask your TA for permission before attempting, 5 points)

Look-up a plot of the number of sunspots versus time that spans the last four hundred years. For about 50 years, centered around 1670, the Sun was unusually “quiet”, in that sunspots were rarely seen. This event was called the “Maunder minimum” (after the discoverer). At the same time as this lack of sunspots, the climate in the northern hemisphere was much colder than normal. The direct link between sunspots and the Earth’s climate has not been fully established, but there must be some connection between these two events. Near 1800 another brief period of few sunspots, the “Dalton minimum” was observed. Looking at recent sunspot numbers, some solar physicists have suggested the Sun may be entering another period like the Dalton minimum. Search for the information these scientists have used to make this prediction. Describe the climate in the northern hemisphere during the last Dalton minimum. Are there any good ideas on the link between sunspot number and climate that you can find?


Name: _____

Date: _____

8 The Hertzsprung-Russell Diagram

8.1 Introduction

As you may have learned in class, the Hertzsprung-Russell Diagram, or the “HR diagram”, is one of the most important tools used by astronomers: it helps us determine both the ages of star clusters and their distances. In your Astronomy 110 textbooks the type of HR diagram that you will normally encounter plots the Luminosity of a star (in solar luminosity units, L_{Sun}) versus its temperature (or spectral type). An example is shown here:



The positions of the various main types of stars are labeled in this HR diagram. The Sun has a temperature of 5,800 K, and a luminosity of 1 L_{Sun} . The Sun is a main sequence “G” star. All stars cooler than the Sun are plotted to the right of the Sun in this diagram. Cool main sequence stars (with spectral types of K and M) are plotted to the lower right of the Sun. Hotter main sequence stars (O, B, A, and F stars) are plotted to the upper left of the Sun’s position. As the Sun runs out of hydrogen fuel in its center, it will become a red giant star—a star that is cooler than the Sun, but $100\times$ more luminous. Red giants are plotted to the upper right of the Sun’s position. As the Sun runs out of all of its fuel, it sheds its atmosphere and ends its days as a white dwarf. White dwarfs are hotter, and much less luminous than the Sun, so they are plotted to the lower left of the Sun’s position in the HR diagram.

The HR diagrams for clusters can be very different depending on their ages. In the following examples, we show the HR diagram of a hypothetical cluster of stars at a variety of different ages. When the star cluster is very young, (see Fig. 8.1) only the hottest stars have

made it to the main sequence. In the HR diagram below, the G, K, and M stars (stars that have temperatures below 6,000 K) are still not on the main sequence, while those stars hotter than 7,000 K (O, B, A, and F stars) are already fusing hydrogen into helium at their cores:




Figure 8.1: The HR diagram of a cluster of stars that is 1 million years old.

In the next HR diagram, Figure 8.2, we see a much older cluster of stars (100 million years = 100 Myr). In this older cluster, some of the hottest and most massive stars (the O and B stars) have evolved into red supergiants. The position of the “main sequence turn off” allows us to estimate the age of a cluster.




Figure 8.2: The HR diagram of a cluster of stars that is 100 million years old.

In the final HR diagram, Figure 8.3, we have a much older cluster (10 billion years old = 10 Gyr), now stars with one solar mass are becoming red giants, and we say the main

sequence turn-off is at spectral type G ($T = 5,500$ K).




Figure 8.3: The HR diagram of a cluster of stars that is 10 billion years old.

Some white dwarfs (produced by evolved A and F stars) now exist in the cluster. Thus, the HR diagram for a cluster of stars is useful for determining its age.

8.2 Magnitudes and Color Index

While the HR diagrams presented in your class lectures or textbook allow us to provide a very nice description of the evolution of stars and star clusters, astronomers do not actually directly measure either the temperatures or luminosities of stars. Remember that luminosity is a measure the total amount of energy that a star emits. For the Sun it is 10^{26} Watts. But how much energy appears to be coming from an object depends on how far away that object is. Thus, to determine a star's luminosity requires you to know its distance. For example, the two brightest stars in the constellation Orion (see the "Constellation Highlight" for February from the Ast110 homepage link), the red supergiant Betelgeuse and the blue supergiant Rigel, appear to have about the same brightness. But Rigel is six more times luminous than Betelgeuse—Rigel just happens to be further away, so it appears to have the same brightness even though it is pumping out much more energy than Betelgeuse. The "Dog star" Sirius, located to the southeast of Orion, is the brightest star in the sky and appears to be about 5 times brighter than either Betelgeuse or Rigel. But in fact, Sirius is a nearby star, and actually only emits $22\times$ the luminosity of the Sun, or about $1/2000^{\text{th}}$ the luminosity of Rigel!

Therefore, without a distance, it is impossible to determine a star's luminosity—and remember that it is very difficult to measure the distance to a star. We can, however, measure the relative luminosity of two (or more) stars if they are at the same distance: for example if they are both in a cluster of stars. If two stars are at the same distance, then the difference in their apparent brightness is a measurement of the true differences in their luminosities. To

measure the apparent brightness of a star, astronomers use the ancient unit of “magnitude”. This system was first developed by the Greek astronomer Hipparchos (*ca.* 190 to 120 BC). Hipparchos called the brightest stars “stars of the first magnitude”. The next brightest were called “stars of the second magnitude”. His system progressed all the way down to “stars of the sixth magnitude”, the faintest stars you can see with the naked eye from a dark location.

Astronomers adopted this system and made it more rigorous by defining a five magnitude difference to be exactly equal to a factor of 100 in brightness. That is, a first magnitude star is 100X brighter than a sixth magnitude star. If you are good with mathematics, you will find that a difference of one magnitude turns out to be a factor of 2.5 ($2.5 \times 2.5 \times 2.5 \times 2.5 \times 2.5 = 100$, we say that the fifth root of 100 $= 100^{1/5} = 2.5$). Besides this peculiar step size, it is also important to note that the magnitude system is upside down: usually when we talk about something being bigger, faster, or heavier, the quantity being measured increases with size (a car going 100 mph is going faster than one going 50 mph, etc.). In the magnitude system, the brighter the object, the smaller its magnitude! For example, Rigel has an apparent magnitude of 0.2, while the star Sirius (which appears to be 4.5 times brighter than Rigel) has a magnitude of -1.43 .

Even though they are a bit screwy, and cause much confusion among Astronomy 110 students, astronomers use magnitudes because of their long history and tradition. So, when astronomers measure the brightness of a star, they measure its apparent magnitude. How bright that star appears to be on the magnitude scale. Usually, astronomers will measure the brightness of a star in a variety of different color filters to allow them to determine its temperature. This technique, called “multi-wavelength photometry”, is simply the measurement of how much light is detected on Earth at a specific set of wavelengths from a star of interest. Most astronomers use a system of five filters, one each for the ultraviolet region (the “U filter”), the blue region (the “B filter”), the visual (“V”, or green) region, the yellow-red region (“R”), and the near-infrared region (“I”). Generally, when doing real research, astronomers measure the apparent magnitude of a star in more than one filter. [Note: because the name of the filter can some times get confused with spectral types, filter names will be *italicized* to eliminate any possible confusion.]

To determine the temperature of a star, measurements of the apparent brightness in at least two filters is necessary. The difference between these two measurements is called the “color index”. For example, the apparent magnitude in the *B* filter minus the apparent magnitude in the *V* filter, $(B - V)$, is one example of a color index (it is also the main color index used by astronomers to measure the temperature of stars, but any two of the standard filters can be used to construct a color index). Let us take Polaris (the “North Star”) as an example. Its apparent *B* magnitude is 2.59, and its apparent *V* magnitude is 2.00, so the color index for Polaris is $(B - V) = 2.59 - 2.00 = 0.59$. In Table 8.1, we list the $(B - V)$ color index for main sequence stars. We see that Polaris has the color of a G star.

In Table 8.1, we see that O and B stars have negative $(B - V)$ color indices. We say that O and B stars are “Blue”, because they emit more light in the *B* filter than in the *V* filter. We say that K and M stars are very red, as they emit much more *V* light than *B*

Table 8.1: The $(B - V)$ Color Index for Main Sequence Stars

Spectra Type	$(B - V)$	Spectral Type	$(B - V)$
O and B Stars	-0.40 to -0.06	G Stars	0.59 to 0.76
A Stars	0.00 to 0.20	K Stars	0.82 to 1.32
F Stars	0.31 to 0.54	M Stars	1.41 to 2.00

light (and even more light in the R and I filters!). A-stars emit the same amount of light at B and V , while F and G stars emit slightly more light at V than at B . With this type of information, we can now figure out the spectral types, and hence temperatures of stars by using photometry.

8.3 The Color-Magnitude HR Diagram

To construct HR diagrams of star clusters, astronomers measure the apparent brightness of stars in two different color filters, and then plot the data into a “Color-Magnitude” diagram, plotting the apparent V magnitude versus the color index $(B - V)$ as shown below. Figure 8.4 shows a color-magnitude diagram for a globular cluster. You might remember from class (or will soon be told!) that globular clusters are old, and that the low mass stars are evolving off the main sequence and becoming red giants. The main sequence turnoff for this globular cluster is at a color index of about $(B - V) = 0.4$, the color of F stars. An F star has a mass of about $1.5 M_{\text{Sun}}$, thus stars with masses near $1.5 M_{\text{Sun}}$ are evolving off the main sequence to become red giants, so this globular cluster is about 7 billion years old.




Figure 8.4: The HR diagram for the globular cluster M15.

8.4 The Color-Magnitude Diagram for the Pleiades

In today’s lab, you and your lab partners will construct a color magnitude diagram for the Pleiades star cluster. The Pleiades, sometimes known as the “Seven Sisters” (see the constellation highlight for January at the back of this lab manual), is a star cluster located in

the wintertime constellation of Taurus, and can be seen with the naked eye. A wide-angle photograph of the Pleiades is shown below (Fig. 8.4). Many people confuse the Pleiades with the Little Dipper because the brightest stars form a small dipper-like shape.





Figure 8.5: A photograph of the Pleiades.

As you will find out, the Pleiades is a relatively young group of stars. We will be using photographs of the Pleiades taken using two different color filters to construct a Color-Magnitude diagram. If you look closely at the photograph of the Pleiades, you will notice that the brighter stars are larger in size than the fainter stars. Note: you are not seeing the actual disks of the stars in these photographs. Brighter stars appear bigger on photographs because more light from them is detected by the photograph. As the light from the stars accumulates, it spreads out. Think of a pile of sand. As you add sand to a pile, it develops a conical, pyramid shape. The addition of more sand to the pile raises the height of the sand pile, but the *base* of the sand pile has to spread more to support this height. The same thing happens on a photograph. The more light there is, the larger the spread in the *image* of the

star. In reality, *all* of the stars in the sky are much too far away to be seen as little disks (like those we see for the planets in our solar system) when viewed/imaged through *any existing telescope*. We would need to have a space-based telescope with a mirror 1.5 miles across to actually be able to see the stars in the Pleiades as little, resolved disks! [However, there are some special techniques astronomers have developed to actually measure the diameters of stars. Ask your TA about them if you are curious.]

Thus, we can use the sizes of the stars on a photograph to figure out how bright they are, we simply have to measure their diameters! A special tool, called a “dynameter”, is used to measure sizes of circles. You will be given a clear plastic dynameter in class. A replica of this dynameter is shown here:



As demonstrated, a dynameter allows you to measure the diameter of a star image by simply sliding the dynameter along until the edges of the star just touch the lines. In the example above, the star image is 2.8 mm in diameter. On the following two pages are digitized scans of two photographs of the Pleiades taken through *B* and *V* filters. These photographs were digitized to allow us to put in an X-Y scale so that you can keep track of which star is which in the two different photographs. You should be able to compare the digitized photographs with the actual photo shown above and see that most of the brighter stars are on all three images.




Figure 8.6: This is not the right figure for use in this lab—your TA will give you the correctly scaled version. (Go to: <http://astronomy.nmsu.edu/astro/hrlabB.ps>)




Figure 8.7: This is not the right figure for use in this lab—your TA will give you the correctly scaled version. (Go to: <http://astronomy.nmsu.edu/astro/hrlabB.ps>)

8.4.1 Procedure

The first task for this lab is to collect your data. What you need to do for this lab is to measure the diameters of ten of the 63 stars on both digitized photographs. At the end of this lab there is a data table that has the final data for 53 of the 63 stars. It is missing the information for ten of the stars (#'s 7, 8, 13, 18, 30, 39, 53, 55, 61, and 63). You must collect the data for these ten stars.

Task #1: First, identify the stars with the missing data on *both* of the digitized photographs (use their X,Y positions to do this). Then measure their diameters of these ten stars on both photographs using the dynameter. Write the V and B diameters into the appropriate spaces within the data table. [Note: You will probably not be able to measure the diameters to the same precision as shown for the other stars in the data table. Those diameters were measured using a computer. Do the best you can—make several measurements of each star and average the results.] **(15 points)**

8.4.2 Converting Diameters to Magnitudes

Obviously, the diameter you measure of a star on a photograph has no obvious link to its actual magnitude. For example, we could blow the photograph up, or shrink it down. The diameters of the stars would change, but the relative change in size between stars of different brightnesses would stay the same. To turn diameters into magnitudes requires us to “calibrate” the two photographs. For example, the brightest star in the Pleiades, “Alcyone” (star #35), has a V magnitude of 2.92, and has a V diameter of 4.4 mm. We have used this star to calibrate our data. Once you have completed measuring the diameters of the stars, you must convert those diameters (in millimeters) into V magnitudes and $(B - V)$ color index. To do so, requires you to use the following two equations:

$$V(\text{mag}) = -2.95 \times (V \text{ mm}) + 15.9 \text{ (Eq. \# 1)}$$

and

$$(B - V) = -1.0 \times (B \text{ mm} - V \text{ mm}) + 0.1 \text{ (Eq. \#2)}$$

These equations might seem confusing to you because of the negative number in front of the diameters. But if you remember, the brighter the star, the smaller its magnitude. Brighter stars appear bigger, so bigger diameters mean smaller magnitudes! That is why there is a negative sign. Using the example of Alcyone, its V diameter is 4.4 mm and it has a B diameter of 4.7 mm. Putting the V diameter into equation #1 gives: $V(\text{mag}) = -2.95 \times (4.4 \text{ mm}) + 15.9 = -13.0 + 15.9 = 2.9$. So, the V magnitude of Alcyone is correct: $V = 2.9$, and we have calibrated the photograph. Its color index can be found using Eq. #2: $(B - V) = -1.0 \times (4.7 - 4.4) + 0.1 = -1.0 \times (0.4) + 0.1 = -0.20$. Alcyone is a B star!

Task #2: Convert all of the B and V diameters into V magnitudes and $(B - V)$ color index, entering them into the proper column in your data table. Use any of the other stars in the table to see how it is done. Make sure all students in your group have complete tables with all of the data entered. **(15 points)**

8.4.3 Constructing a Color-Magnitude Diagram

The collection of the data is now complete. In this lab you are getting exactly the same kind of experience in “reducing data” that real astronomers do. Aren’t you glad you didn’t have to measure the diameters of all 63 stars? Obtaining and reducing data can be very tedious, tiring, or even boring. But it is an essential part of the scientific process. Because of the possibility of mis-measurement of the star diameters, a real astronomer doing this lab would probably measure all of the star diameters at least three times to insure that they had not made any errors. Today, we will assume you did everything exactly right, but we will provide a check shortly.

Now we want to finally get to the goal of the lab: constructing a Color-Magnitude diagram. In this portion of the lab, we will be plotting the V magnitudes vs. the $(B - V)$ color index. On the following page is a blank grid that has V magnitude on the Y axis, and the $(B - V)$ color index on the X axis. Now we want to plot your data onto this blank Color-Magnitude diagram to closely examine what kind of stars are in the Pleiades.

Task #3: For each star in your table, plot its position where the $(B - V)$ color index is the X coordinate, and the V magnitude is the Y coordinate. Note that some stars will have very similar magnitudes and colors because they are the same types of star. When this happens, simply plot them as close together as possible, making sure they are slightly separated for clarity. All students must complete their own Color-Magnitude diagram. **(15 points)**

Error checking: All of your stars should fit within the boundaries of the Color-Magnitude diagram! If not, go back and re-measure the problem star(s) to see if you have made an error in the B or V diameter or in the calculations.

8.5 Results

If you have done everything correctly, you should now have a Color-Magnitude diagram in which your plotted stars trace out the main sequence for the Pleiades. Use your Color-Magnitude diagram to answer the following questions:

1. Are there more B stars in the Pleiades, or more K stars? **(5 points)**




Figure 8.8: The Color-Magnitude Diagram for the Pleiades

2. Given that the Sun is a main sequence G star, draw an “X” to mark the spot where the Sun would be in your Color-Magnitude diagram for the Pleiades (**5 points**)

3. The faintest stars that the human eye can see on a clear, dark night is $V = 6.0$. If the Sun was located in the Pleiades, could you see it with the naked eye? (**5 points**)

4. Are there any red giants or supergiants in the Pleiades? What does this tell you about the age of the Pleiades? (**5 points**)

8.6 Summary (35 points)

Please summarize the important concepts of this lab.

- Describe how an HR diagram is constructed.
- If you have plotted your HR Diagram for the Pleiades correctly, you will notice that the faint, red stars seem to have a spread when compared to the brighter, bluer stars. Why do you think this occurs? How might you change your observing or measuring procedure to fix this problem? [Hint: is it harder or easier to measure big diameters vs. small diameters?]
- Why are HR diagrams important to astronomers?

Use complete sentences, and proofread your lab before handing it in.

8.7 Possible Quiz Questions

1. What is a magnitude? Which star is brighter, a star with $V = -2.0$, or one with $V = 7.0$?
2. In an HR Diagram, what are the two quantities that are plotted?
3. What are the properties of a white dwarf?
4. What are the properties of a red giant?
5. What is a Color Index, and what does it tell you about a star?

8.8 Extra Credit (ask your TA for permission before attempting, 5 points)

White dwarfs are $100\times$ less luminous than the Sun, but are hot, and have a negative color index ($B - V = -0.2$). Given that a factor of $100 = 5$ magnitudes, is it possible to plot the positions of white dwarfs on your Color-Magnitude diagram for the Pleiades?

Table 8.2: Data Table

#	X	Y	V(mm)	B(mm)	V(mag)	($B - V$)
01	157.00	832.00	3.10	2.89	6.76	0.31
02	157.61	832.20	2.49	2.00	8.50	0.59
03	178.33	821.70	2.37	1.70	8.91	0.77
04	183.40	830.51	2.32	1.60	9.06	0.82
05	190.53	818.94	2.24	1.52	9.29	0.82
06	190.62	834.99	2.23	1.52	9.32	0.81
07	192.98	865.44				
08	197.37	754.50				
09	202.78	696.35	2.23	1.46	9.32	0.87
10	203.87	810.57	2.36	1.72	8.94	0.74
11	210.57	789.29	2.32	1.62	9.06	0.80
12	212.22	693.49	2.48	1.97	8.58	0.61
13	233.44	830.40				
14	234.34	759.27	2.35	1.57	8.97	0.88
15	235.50	751.74	2.40	1.85	8.82	0.65
16	246.00	807.00	3.26	3.07	6.28	0.29
17	252.95	795.24	2.75	2.35	7.78	0.50
18	254.95	688.02				
19	259.60	730.54	2.39	1.74	8.85	0.75
20	260.00	795.00	2.35	1.77	8.97	0.68
21	265.00	792.00	2.24	1.48	9.29	0.86
22	265.00	831.00	2.95	2.65	7.20	0.40
23	266.66	831.82	2.20	1.36	9.41	0.94
24	269.27	731.47	2.18	1.33	9.47	0.95
25	270.00	789.00	2.31	1.62	9.09	0.79
26	274.00	790.00	2.32	1.70	9.06	0.72
27	276.28	836.35	2.50	1.98	8.53	0.62
28	277.19	811.96	2.22	1.55	9.35	0.77
29	283.00	792.00	2.35	1.75	8.97	0.70
30	285.00	774.00				
31	288.00	786.00	2.20	1.42	9.41	0.88
32	289.50	852.50	2.18	1.54	9.47	0.74
33	291.00	822.00	4.24	4.46	3.39	-0.12
34	297.00	822.00	3.46	3.38	5.69	0.18
35	298.00	793.00	4.40	4.70	2.92	-0.20
36	299.00	749.00	4.09	4.23	3.83	-0.04
37	304.00	773.00	2.39	1.79	8.85	0.70
38	308.00	777.00	2.31	1.67	9.09	0.74
39	310.00	794.04				
40	312.00	748.00	3.35	3.20	6.02	0.25

Table 8.3: Data Table (cont.)

#	X	Y	V(mm)	B(mm)	V(mag)	($B - V$)
41	316.46	832.35	2.52	2.01	8.47	0.61
42	317.00	766.00	3.93	4.00	4.31	0.03
43	319.14	731.31	2.38	1.81	8.88	0.67
44	320.29	742.55	2.17	1.46	9.50	0.81
45	322.43	819.50	2.17	1.52	9.50	0.75
46	325.00	756.00	3.62	3.57	5.22	0.15
47	327.00	787.00	2.20	1.47	9.41	0.83
48	327.80	841.25	2.34	1.68	8.99	0.76
49	329.00	771.00	2.87	2.52	7.43	0.45
50	332.00	794.00	2.62	2.14	8.17	0.58
51	335.13	732.56	2.28	1.54	9.17	0.84
52	347.41	654.23	2.15	1.43	9.55	0.82
53	352.00	756.00				
54	359.05	685.95	2.35	1.70	8.97	0.75
55	361.00	807.00				
56	368.31	692.12	2.35	1.69	8.96	0.76
57	375.90	729.41	2.20	1.50	9.41	0.80
58	375.90	729.41	2.36	1.73	8.94	0.73
59	386.00	813.00	2.37	1.72	8.91	0.75
60	387.50	683.69	2.20	1.54	9.41	0.76
61	397.48	769.11				
62	410.49	839.98	2.34	1.62	8.99	0.82
63	420.52	720.04				

Name: _____

Date: _____

9 Shaping Surfaces in the Solar System: The Impacts of Comets & Asteroids

9.1 Introduction

In the lab exercise on exploring the surface of the Moon, there is a brief discussion on how impact craters form. Note that every large body in the solar system has been bombarded by smaller bodies throughout all of history. In fact, this is one mechanism by which planets grow in size: they collect smaller bodies that come close enough to be captured by the planet's gravity. If a planet or moon has a rocky surface, the surface can still show the scars of these impact events—even if they occurred many billions of years ago! On planets with atmospheres, like our Earth, weather can erode these impact craters away, making them difficult to identify. On planets that are essentially large balls of gas (the “Jovian” planets), there is no solid surface to record impacts. Many of the smaller bodies in the solar system, such as the Moon, the planet Mercury, or the satellites of the Jovian planets, do not have atmospheres, and hence, faithfully record the impact history of the solar system. Astronomers have found that when the solar system was very young, there were large numbers of small bodies floating around the solar system impacting the young planets and their satellites. Over time, the number of small bodies in the solar system has decreased. Today we will investigate how impact craters form, and examine how they appear under different lighting conditions. During this lab we will discuss both asteroids and comets, and you will create your own impact craters as well as construct a “comet”.

- *Goals:* to discuss asteroids and comets; create impact craters; build a comet and test its strength and reaction to light
- *Materials:* A variety of items supplied by your TA

9.2 Asteroids and Comets

There are two main types of objects in the solar system that represent left over material from its formation: asteroids and comets. In fact, both objects are quite similar, their differences arise from the fact that comets are formed from material located in the most distant parts of our solar system, where it is very cold, and thus they have large quantities of frozen water and other frozen liquids and gases. Asteroids formed closer-in than comets, and are denser, being made-up of the same types of rocks and minerals as the terrestrial planets (Mercury, Venus, Earth, and Mars). Asteroids are generally just large rocks, as shown in the Figure 9.1.

The first asteroid, Ceres, was discovered in 1801 by the Italian astronomer Piazzi. Ceres is the largest of all asteroids, and has a diameter of 933 km (the Moon has a diameter of




Figure 9.1: Four large asteroids. Note that these asteroids have craters from the impacts of even smaller asteroids!

3,476 km). There are now more than 40,000 asteroids that have been discovered, ranging in size from Ceres, all the way down to large rocks that are just a few hundred meters across. It has been estimated that there are at least 1 million asteroids in the solar system with diameters of 1 km or more. Most asteroids are harmless, and spend all of their time in orbits between those of Mars and Jupiter (the so-called “asteroid belt”, see Figure 9.2). Some asteroids, however, are in orbits that take them inside that of the Earth, and could




Figure 9.2: The Asteroid Belt.

potentially collide with the Earth, causing a great catastrophe for human life. It is now believed that the impact of a large asteroid might have been the cause for the extinction of the dinosaurs when its collision threw up a large cloud of dust that caused the Earth’s climate to dramatically cool. Several searches are underway to insure that we can identify future “doomsday” asteroids so that we have a chance to prepare for a collision—as the Earth

will someday be hit by another large asteroid.

9.3 Comets

Comets represent some of the earliest material left over from the formation of the solar system, and are therefore of great interest to planetary astronomers. They can also be beautiful objects to observe in the night sky, unlike their darker and less spectacular cousins, asteroids. They therefore often capture the attention of the public.

9.4 Composition and Components of a Comet

Comets are composed of ices (water ice and other kinds of ices), gases (carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, hydrogen, hydroxyl, oxygen, and so on), and dust particles (carbon and silicon). The dust particles are smaller than the particles in cigarette smoke. In general, the model for a comet's composition is that of a “dirty snowball.”




Figure 9.3: The main components of a comet.

Comets have several components that vary greatly in composition, size, and brightness. These components are the following:

- *nucleus*: made of ice and rock, roughly 5-10 km across
- *coma*: the “head” of a comet, a large cloud of gas and dust, roughly 100,000 km in diameter
- *gas tail*: straight and wispy; gas in the coma becomes ionized by sunlight, and gets carried away by the solar wind to form a straight blueish “ion” tail. The shape of the gas tail is influenced by the magnetic field in the solar wind. Gas tails are pointed in the direction directly opposite the sun, and can extend 10^8 km.

- *dust tail*: dust is pushed outward by the pressure of sunlight and forms a long, curving tail that has a much more uniform appearance than the gas tail. The dust tail is pointed in the direction directly opposite the comet's direction of motion, and can also extend 10^8 km from the nucleus.

These various components of a comet are shown in Figure 9.3.

9.5 Types of Comets

Comets originate from two primary locations in the solar system. One class of comets, called the **long-period comets**, have long orbits around the sun with periods of > 200 years. Their orbits are random in shape and inclination, with long-period comets entering the inner solar system from all different directions. These comets are thought to originate in the **Oort cloud**, a spherical cloud of icy bodies that extends from $\sim 20,000 - 150,000$ AU from the Sun (see Figure 9.4). Some of these objects might experience only one close approach to the Sun and then leave the solar system (and the Sun's gravitational influence) completely.




Figure 9.4: The Oort cloud.

In contrast, the **short-period comets** have periods less than 200 years, and their orbits are all roughly in the plane of the solar system. Comet Halley has a 76-year period, and therefore is considered a short-period comet. Comets with orbital periods < 100 years do not get much beyond Pluto's orbit at their farthest distance from the Sun. Short-period comets cannot survive many orbits around the Sun before their ices are all melted away. It is thought that these comets originate in the **Kuiper Belt**, a belt of small icy bodies beyond the large gas giant planets and in the plane of the solar system (see Fig. 9.5). Quite a few large Kuiper Belt objects have now been discovered, including one (Eris) that is about the same size as Pluto.




Figure 9.5: The Kuiper Belt.

9.6 The Impacts of Asteroids and Comets

Objects orbiting the Sun in our solar system do so at a variety of speeds that directly depends on how far they are from the Sun. For example, the Earth's orbital velocity is 30 km/s (65,000 mph!). Objects further from the Sun than the Earth move more slowly, objects closer to the Sun than the Earth move more quickly. Note that asteroids and comets near the Earth will have space velocities similar to the Earth, but in (mostly) random directions, thus a collision could occur with a relative speed of impact of nearly 60 km/s! How fast is this? Note that the highest muzzle velocity of any handheld rifle is $1,220 \text{ m/s} = 1.2 \text{ km/s}$. Thus, the impact of any solar system body with another is a true *high speed collision* that releases a large amount of energy. For example, an asteroid the size of a football field that collides with the Earth with a velocity of 30 km/s releases as much energy as one thousand atomic bombs the size of that dropped on Japan during World War II (the Hiroshima bomb had a "yield" of 13 kilotons of TNT). Since the equation for kinetic energy (the energy of motion) is $\text{K.E.} = 1/2(mv^2)$, the energy scales directly as the mass, and mass goes as the cube of the radius (mass = density $\times$ Volume = density $\times R^3$). A moving object with ten times the radius of another traveling at the same velocity has 1,000 times the kinetic energy. It is this kinetic energy that is released during a collision.

9.7 Exercise #1: Creating Impact Craters

To create impact craters, we will be dropping steel ball bearings into a container filled with ordinary baking flour. There are two sizes of balls, one that is twice as massive as the other. You will drop both of these balls from three different heights (0.5 meters, 1 meters, and 2 meters), and then measure the size of the impact crater that they produce. Then on graph paper, you will plot the size of the impact crater versus the speed of the impacting ball.

1. Have one member of your lab group take the meter stick, while another takes the smaller ball bearing.
2. Take the plastic tub that is filled with flour, and place it on the floor.

3. Make sure the flour is uniformly level (shake or comb the flour smooth)
4. Carefully hold the meter stick so that it is just touching the top surface of the flour.
5. The person with the ball bearing now holds the ball bearing so that it is located exactly one half meter (50 cm) above the surface of the flour.
6. Drop the ball bearing into the center of the flour-filled tub.
7. Use the magnet to carefully extract the ball bearing from the flour so as to cause the least disturbance.
8. Carefully measure the diameter of the crater caused by this impact, and place it in the data table, below.
9. Repeat the experiment for heights of 1 meter and 2 meters using the smaller ball bearing (note that someone with good balance might have to *carefully* stand on a chair or table to get to a height of two meters!).
10. Now repeat the entire experiment using the larger ball bearing. Record all of the data in the data table.

Height (meters)	Crater diameter (cm) Ball #1	Crater diameter (cm) Ball #2	Impact velocity (m/s)
0.5			
1.0			
2.0			

Now it is time to fill in that last column: Impact velocity (m/s). How can we determine the impact velocity? The reason the ball falls in the first place is because of the pull of the Earth's gravity. This force pulls objects toward the center of the Earth. In the absence of the Earth's atmosphere, an object dropped from a great height above the Earth's surface continues to accelerate to higher, and higher velocities as it falls. We call this the "acceleration" of gravity. Just like the accelerator on your car makes your car go faster the more you push down on it, the force of gravity accelerates bodies downwards (until they collide with the surface!).

We will not derive the equation here, but we can calculate the velocity of a falling body in the Earth's gravitational field from the equation $v = (2ay)^{1/2}$. In this equation, "y" is the height above the Earth's surface (in the case of this lab, it is 0.5, 1, and 2 meters). The constant "a" is the acceleration of gravity, and equals 9.80 m/s^2 . The exponent of $1/2$ means that you take the square root of the quantity inside the parentheses. For example, if $y = 3$ meters, then $v = (2 \times 9.8 \times 3)^{1/2}$, or $v = (58.8)^{1/2} = 7.7 \text{ m/s}$.

1. Now plot the data you have just acquired on the graph paper attached below. Put the impact velocity on the x axis, and the crater diameter on the y axis. **(10 points)**

Crater Diameter vs. Impact Velocity




Figure 9.6: Plot your impact crater data here.

9.7.1 Impact crater questions

1. Describe your graph, can the three points for each ball be *approximated* by a single straight line? How do your results for the larger ball compare to that for the smaller ball? **(3 points)**
2. If you could drop both balls from a height of 4 meters, how big would their craters be? **(2 points)**
3. What is happening here? How does the mass/size of the impacting body effect your results. How does the speed of the impacting body effect your results? What have you just proven? **(5 points)**

9.8 Crater Illumination

Now, after your TA has dimmed the room lights, have someone take the flashlight out and turn it on. If you still have a crater in your tub, great, if not create one (any height more than 1 meter is fine). Extract the ball bearing.

1. Now, shine the flashlight on the crater from straight over top of the crater. Describe what you see. **(2 points)**

2. Now, hold the flashlight so that it is just barely above the lip of the tub, so that the light shines at a very oblique angle (like that of the setting Sun!). Now, what do you see?**(2 points)**

3. When is the best time to see fine surface detail on a cratered body, when it is noon (the Sun is almost straight overhead), or when it is near “sunset”? [Confirm this at the observatory sometime this semester!] **(1 point)**

9.9 Exercise #2: Building a Comet

In this portion of the lab, you will actually build a comet out of household materials. These include water, ammonia, potting soil, and dry ice (CO₂ ice). Be sure to distribute the work evenly among all members of your group. Follow these directions: **(12 points)**

1. Put a freezer bag in your bucket.
2. Place a little less than 1 cup of water (this is a little less than 1/2 of a “Solo” cup!) in the bag/bucket.
3. Add 3 spoonfuls of sand, stirring well. (**NOTE:** Do not stir so hard that you rip the freezer bag!)
4. Add 1 capful of ammonia.
5. Add 1 spoon of organic material (potting soil). Stir until well-mixed.
6. Your TA will place a block or chunk of dry ice inside a towel and crush the block with the mallet and give you some crushed dry ice.
7. Add about 1 cup of crushed dry ice to the bucket, while stirring vigorously. (**NOTE:** Do not stir so hard that you rip the freezer bag!!)
8. Continue stirring until mixture is almost frozen.
9. Lift the comet out of the bucket, keeping it in the freezer bag, and shape it for a few seconds as if you were building a snowball (wear gloves!).
10. If not a solid mass, add small amounts of water and keep working the “snowball” until the mixture is completely frozen.
11. Unwrap the comet once it is frozen enough to hold its shape.

9.9.1 Comets and Light

Observe the comet as it is sitting on a desk. Make note of some of its physical characteristics, for example:


- shape
- color
- smell

Now bring the comet over to a high intensity light source (overhead projector), or heat source (hairdryer) and place it on top. Observe what happens.

9.9.2 Comet Strength

Comets, like all objects in the solar system, are held together by their internal strength. If they pass too close to a large body, such as Jupiter, their internal strength is not large enough to compete with the powerful gravity of the massive body. In such encounters, a comet can be broken apart into smaller pieces. In 1994, we saw evidence of this when Comet Shoemaker-Levy/9 impacted into Jupiter. In 1992, that comet passed very close to Jupiter and was fragmented into pieces. Two years later, more than 21 cometary fragments crashed

into Jupiter's atmosphere, creating spectacular (but temporary) "scars" on Jupiter's cloud deck (see Fig. 9.7).



Impact of Fragment K of Comet Shoemaker-Levy on Jupiter.
The scars of three previous impacts can be seen on the planetary disk.

Image from Peter McGregor and Mark Allen, ANU 2.3m telescope.
Instrument: CASPIR at 2.34 μ m. Colour Image Mt Stromlo Observatories.

Figure 9.7: The Impact of "Fragment K" of Comet Shoemaker-Levy/9 with Jupiter.

Question: Do you think comets have more or less internal strength than asteroids, which are composed primarily of rock? [Hint: If you are playing outside with your friends in a snow storm, would you rather be hit with a snowball or a rock?]

Exercise: After everyone in your group has carefully examined your comet, it is time to say goodbye. Take a sample rock and your comet, go outside, and drop them both on the sidewalk. What happened to each object? (**2 points**)

9.9.3 Comet Questions

1. Draw a comet and label all of its components. Be sure to indicate the direction the Sun is in, and the comet's direction of motion. (**8 points**)
2. What are some differences between long-period and short-period comets? Does it make sense that they are two distinct classes of objects? Why or why not? (**5 points**)

3. List some properties of the comet you built. In particular, describe its shape, color, smell and weight relative to other common objects (e.g. tennis ball, regular snow ball, etc.). (**4 points**)

4. Describe what happened when you put your comet near the light source. Were there localized regions of activity, or did things happen uniformly to the entire comet? (**3 points**)

5. If a comet is far away from the Sun and then it draws nearer as it orbits the Sun, what would you expect to happen? (**3 points**)

6. Which object do you think has more internal strength, an asteroid or a comet, and why? (**3 points**)

9.10 Summary

(35 points) Summarize the important ideas covered in this lab. Questions you may want to consider are:

- How does the mass of an impacting asteroid or comet affect the size of an impact crater?
- How does the speed of an impacting asteroid or comet affect the size of an impact crater?
- Why are comets important to planetary astronomers?
- What can they tell us about the solar system?
- What are some components of comets and how are they affected by the Sun?
- How are comets different from asteroids?

Use complete sentences, and proofread your summary before handing in the lab.

9.11 Possible Quiz Questions

1. What is the main difference between comets and asteroids, and why are they different?
2. What is the Oort cloud and the Kuiper belt?
3. What happens when a comet or asteroid collides with the Moon?
4. How does weather effect impact features on the Earth?
5. How does the speed of the impacting body effect the energy of the collision?

9.12 Extra Credit (ask your TA for permission before attempting, 5 points)

On the 15th of February, 2013, a huge meteorite exploded in the skies over Chelyabinsk, Russia. Write-up a small report about this event, including what might have happened if instead of a grazing, or “shallow”, entry into our atmosphere, the meteor had plowed straight down to the surface.

Name: _____

Date: _____

10 Galaxy Morphology

10.1 Introduction

Galaxies are enormous, “gravitationally bound” collections of millions, upon millions of stars. In addition to these stars, galaxies also contain varying amounts of gas and dust from which stars form, or from which they *have* formed. In the centers of some galaxies live enormous black holes that are sucking-in, and ripping apart stars and clouds of atomic and molecular gas. Galaxies come in a variety of shapes and sizes. Some galaxies have large numbers of young stars, and star forming regions, while others are more quiescent, mostly composed of very old, red stars. In today’s lab you will be looking at pictures of galaxies to become familiar with the appearances, or “morphology”, of the various types of galaxies, and learn how to classify galaxies into one of the three main categories of galaxy type. We will also use photographs/images of galaxies obtained using different colors of light to learn how the appearances of galaxies depend on the wavelength of light used to examine them.

- *Goals:* to learn about galaxies
- *Materials:* a pen to write with, a ruler, a calculator, and one of the notebooks of galaxy pictures

10.2 Our Home: The Milky Way Galaxy

During the summertime, if you happen to be far from the city lights, take a look at the night sky. During the summer, you will see a faint band of light that bisects the sky. In July, this band of light runs from the Northeast down to the Southwest horizon (see Fig. 10.1). This band of light is called the Milky Way, our home galaxy. Because we are located within the Milky Way galaxy, it is actually very hard to figure out its exact shape: we cannot see the forest for the trees! Thus, it is informative to look at other galaxies to attempt to compare them to ours to help us understand the Milky Way’s structure.

Galaxies are collections of stars, and clouds of gas and dust that are bound together by their mutual gravity. That is, the mass of all of the stars, gas and dust pull on each other through the force of gravity so that they “stick together”. Just like the planets in our Solar System orbit the Sun, the stars (and everything else) in a galaxy orbit around the central point of the galaxy. The central point in a galaxy is referred to as the “nucleus”. In some galaxies, there are enormous black holes that sit right at the center. These black holes can have a mass that is a billion times that of the Sun ($10^9 M_{\odot}$)! But not all galaxies have these ferocious beasts at their cores, some merely have large clusters of young stars, while others have a nucleus that is dominated by large numbers of old stars. The Sun orbits around the nucleus of our Milky Way galaxy (Fig. 10.2) in a similar fashion to the way the Earth orbits




Figure 10.1: A fisheye lens view of the summertime sky showing the band of light called the Milky Way. This faint band of light is composed of the light from thousands and thousands of very faint stars. The Milky Way spans a complete circle across the celestial sphere because our solar system is located within the “disk” of the galaxy.

around the Sun. While it only takes one year for the Earth to go around the Sun, it takes the Sun more than 200 million years to make one trip around our galaxy!

Note that the central region (“bulge” and nucleus) of the Milky Way has a higher density of stars than in the outer regions. In the neighborhood of the Sun, out in the “disk”, the mass density is only $0.002 \text{ M}_{\odot}/\text{ly}^3$ (remember that density is simply the mass divided by the volume: M/V , here the Mass is solar masses: $\text{M}_{\odot}$, and Volume is in cubic light years: ly^3). In the central regions of our Milky Way galaxy (within 300 ly of the center), however, the mass density is 100 times higher: $0.200 \text{ M}_{\odot}/\text{ly}^3$. What does this mean? The nearest star to the Sun is Alpha Centauri at 4.26 ly. If we were near the nucleus of our Milky Way galaxy, there would be 200 stars within 4.26 ly of the Sun. Our sky would be ablaze with dozens of stars as bright as Venus, with some as bright as the full moon! It would be a spectacular sight.

Our Milky Way galaxy is a spiral galaxy that contains more than 100 billion stars. While the Milky Way is a fairly large galaxy, there are much larger galaxies out there, some with 100 times the mass of the Milky Way. But there are an even larger number of very small “dwarf” galaxies. Just like the case for stars, nature prefers to produce lots of little galaxies, and many fewer large galaxies. The smallest galaxies can contain only a few million stars, and they are thousands of times smaller than the Milky Way.




Figure 10.2: A diagram of the size and scale of our “Milky Way” galaxy. The main regions of our galaxy, the “bulge”, “disk”, and “halo” are labeled. Our Milky Way is a spiral galaxy, with the Sun located in a spiral arm 28,000 ly from the nucleus. Note that the disk of the Milky Way galaxy spans 100,000 ly, but is only about 1,000 ly thick. While the disk and spiral arms of the Milky Way are filled with young stars, and star forming regions, the bulge of the Milky Way is composed of old, red stars.

10.3 Galaxy Types: Spirals Ellipticals, and Irregulars

Shortly after the telescope was invented, astronomers started scanning the sky to see what was out there. Among the stars, these first astronomers would occasionally come across a faint, fuzzy patch of light. Many of these “nebulae” (Latin for cloud-like) appeared similar to comets, but did not move. Others of these nebulae were resolved into clusters of stars as bigger telescopes were constructed, and used to examine them. Some of these fuzzy nebulae, however, did not break-up into stars no matter how big a telescope was used to look at them. While many of these nebulae are clouds of glowing hydrogen gas within the Milky Way galaxy (HII regions), others (some of which resembled pinwheels) were true galaxies—similar to the Milky Way in size and structure, but millions of light years from us. It was not until the 1920’s that the actual nature of galaxies was confirmed—they were true “Island Universes”, collections of millions and billions of stars. As you will find out in your lecture sessions, the space between galaxies is truly empty, and thus most of the matter in the Universe resides inside of galaxies: *They are islands of matter in an ocean of vacuum.*

Like biologists or other scientists, astronomers attempt to associate similar types of objects into groups or classes. One example is the spectral classification sequence (*OBAFGKM*) for stars. The same is true for galaxies—we classify galaxies by their observed properties. It was quickly noticed that there were two main types of galaxies, those with pinwheel shapes, “spiral galaxies”, and smooth, mostly round or oval galaxies, “elliptical” galaxies. While most galaxies could be classified as spirals or ellipticals, some galaxies shared properties of both types, or were irregular in shape. Thus, the classification of “irregular”. This final category is a catch-all for any galaxy that cannot be easily classified as a spiral or elliptical. Most irregular galaxies are small, messy, unorganized clumps of gas and stars (though some irregular galaxies result from the violent collisions of spiral and/or elliptical galaxies).

10.3.1 Spiral Galaxies

The feature that gives spiral galaxies their shape, and leads to their classification are their spiral arms. An example of a beautiful spiral is M81 shown in Fig. 10.3. A spiral galaxy like M81 resembles a whirlpool, or pinwheel: arms of stars, gas and dust that radiate in curving arcs from the central “bulge”.



Figure 10.3: The Sb spiral galaxy M81. Notice the nice, uniform spiral arms that are wound tightly around the large, central bulge. Inside the spiral arms, there are large regions of glowing gas called HII regions—where stars are being born. These stand out as knots or clumps in the spiral arms. The dark spots, lanes, and arcs are due to dust clouds that are associated with these star forming regions.

Other spiral galaxies, like M51 shown in Fig. 10.4, have less tightly wound spiral arms, and much smaller bulges. Finally, there are spiral galaxies with very tightly wound spiral arms that are dominated by their bulge, like the Andromeda galaxy (M31) shown in Fig. 10.5. The arms are so tightly wound, that it is hard to tell where one ends and the other begins. These types of galaxies also have much less star formation.

Spiral galaxies are classified by how tightly their arms are wound, and how large their central bulges are. There are three main types of spirals: Sa, Sb, and Sc. Sa spirals have large bulges and tightly wound arms, while Sc's have very loosely wound arms, and small bulges. Sb's are intermediate between Sa's and Sc's (of course, like M31, there are galaxies that fall halfway between two classes, and they are given names like Sab, or Sbc). The spiral classification sequence is shown in Fig. 10.6.



Figure 10.4: The Sc spiral galaxy M51. Notice the large, clumpy spiral arms that are loosely wound around the small, central bulge. Inside the spiral arms of M51 there are very many large HII regions—M51 has many young star forming regions. Notice that there is also a lot more dust in M51 than in M81.




Figure 10.5: The Sab spiral galaxy M31. Notice the very large bulge, and very tightly wound spiral arms. Like the Milky Way, the Andromeda Galaxy has several small galaxies in orbit around it (just like planets orbit the Sun, some small galaxies can be found orbiting around large galaxies). Two of these galaxies can be seen as the round/elliptical blobs above and below the disk of the Andromeda galaxy shown here. Both are elliptical galaxies, discussed in the next subsection.

10.3.2 Elliptical Galaxies

Elliptical galaxies do not have as much structure as spiral galaxies, and are thus less visually interesting. They are smooth, round to elliptical collections of stars that are highly con-




Figure 10.6: The classification sequence for spirals. S0 spirals are galaxies that show a small disk that is composed of only old, red stars, and have no gas, little dust and no star forming regions. They are mostly a large bulge with a weak disk, with difficult-to-detect spiral arms. They actually share many properties with elliptical galaxies. Sa galaxies have large bulges, and tightly wound spiral arms. Sb's have less tightly wound arms, while Sc's have very loosely wound arms, and have tiny bulges.

densed in their centers, that slowly fade out at their edges. Unlike spiral galaxies, where all of the stars in the disk rotate in the same direction, the stars in elliptical galaxies do not have organized rotation: the individual stars orbit the nucleus of an elliptical galaxy like an individual bee does in a swarm. While they have random directions, all of the billions of stars have well-defined orbits around the center of the galaxy, and take many millions of years to complete an orbit. An example of an elliptical galaxy is shown in Fig. 10.7.




Figure 10.7: A typical elliptical galaxy, NGC205, one of the small elliptical galaxies in orbit around the Andromeda galaxy shown in Fig. ???. Most elliptical galaxies have a small, bright core, where millions of stars cluster around the nucleus. Just like the Milky Way, the density of stars increases dramatically as you get near the nucleus of an elliptical galaxy. Many elliptical galaxies have black holes at their centers. NGC205 is classified as an E5.

Elliptical galaxies can appear to be perfectly round, or highly elongated. There are eight categories, ranging from round ones (E0) to more football-shaped ones (E7). This classification scheme is diagrammed in Fig. 10.8.




Figure 10.8: The classification scheme for elliptical galaxies. Elliptical galaxies range from round (E0), to football shaped (E7).

It is actually much easier to classify an elliptical galaxy, as the type of elliptical galaxy can be determined by measuring the major and minor axes of the ellipse. The definitions of the major and minor axes of an ellipse are shown in Fig. 10.9. To determine which type of an elliptical galaxy you are looking at, you simply measure the major axis (“a”) and the minor axis (“b”), and calculate: $10 \times (a - b)/a$. You will do this for several elliptical galaxies, below.




Figure 10.9: The definition of the major (“a”) and minor (“b”) axes of an ellipse.

10.3.3 Irregular Galaxies

As noted above, the classification of a galaxy as an “irregular” usually stems from the fact that it cannot be conclusively categorized as either a spiral or elliptical. Most irregular galaxies, like the LMC shown in Fig. 10.10, are small, and filled with young stars, and star forming regions. Others, however, result when two galaxies collide, as shown in Fig. 10.11.

10.3.4 Galaxy Classification Issues

We have just described how galaxies are classified, and the three main types of galaxies. Superficially, the technique seems straightforward: you look at a picture of a galaxy, note its main characteristics, and render a classification. But there are a few complications that make



Figure 10.10: The Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC). The LMC is a small, irregular galaxy that orbits around the Milky Way galaxy. The LMC (and its smaller cousin, the SMC) were discovered during Magellan’s voyage, and appear as faint patches of light that look like detached pieces of the Milky Way to the naked eye. The LMC and SMC can only be clearly seen from the southern hemisphere.

the process more difficult. In the case of elliptical galaxies, we can never be sure whether a galaxy is truly a round E0 galaxy, or an E7 galaxy seen from an angle. For example, think of a football. If we look at the football from one angle it is long, and pointed at both ends. But if we rotate it by 90° , it appears to be round. This is a “projection effect”, and one that we can never remove since we cannot go out and look at elliptical galaxies from some other angle.

As we will find out, spiral galaxies suffer from a different classification issue. When the Sa/Sb/Sc classification scheme was first devised, only photographs sensitive to blue light were used. If you actually look at spiral galaxies at other wavelengths, for example in the red or infrared, the appearance of the galaxy is quite different. Thus it is important to be consistent with what kind of photograph is used to make a galaxy classification. We will soon learn that the use of galaxy images at other wavelengths besides that which our eyes are sensitive to, results in much additional information.

10.4 Lab Exercises

For this lab, each group will be getting a notebook containing pictures of galaxies. *These notebooks are divided into five different subsections.* Below, there are *five subsections* with exercises that correspond to each of the five subsections in the notebook. Make sure to answer all of the questions fully, and to the best of your ability.

Section #1: Classification of Spiral Galaxies




Figure 10.11: An irregular galaxy that is the result of the collision between two galaxies. The larger galaxy appears to have once been a normal spiral galaxy. But another galaxy (visible in the bottom right corner) ran into the bigger galaxy, and destroyed the symmetry typically found in a spiral galaxy. Galaxy collisions are quite frequent, and can generate a large amount of star formation as the gas and dust clouds are compressed as they run into each other. Some day, the Milky Way and Andromeda galaxies are going to collide—it will be a major disruption to our galaxy, but the star density is so low, that very few stars will actually run into each other!

In this subsection we look at black and white photographs of spiral galaxies. First you will see three standard spiral galaxies that define the Sa, Sb, and Sc subtypes, followed by more classification exercises.

Exercise #1: In pictures 1 through 3 are standard spiral galaxies of types Sa, Sb, Sc. Using the discussion above, and Figures 10.3 to 10.6, classify each of the spiral galaxies in these three pictures and describe what properties led you to decide which subclass each spiral galaxy fell into. (3 points)

Exercise #2: The pictures of the galaxies that you have seen so far in this lab are “positive” images, just like you would see if you looked at those galaxies through a large telescope—white means more light, black means less light. But working with the negative images is much more common, as it is much easier to see fine detail when presented as dark against a light background versus bright against a dark background. For example, Picture #4 is the negative image for Picture #1. Detail that is overlooked in a positive image can be seen in a negative image. For most of the rest of this lab, we will look at negative images like those shown in Picture #4.

Classify the spiral galaxies in Pictures #5, 6, 7 and 8. In each case, describe what led you to these classifications. **(4 points)**

Exercise #3: So far, we have looked at spiral galaxies that have favorable orientations for classification. That is, we have seen these galaxies from a direction that is almost perpendicular to the disk of the galaxy. But since the orientation of galaxies to our line of sight is random, many times we see galaxies from the side view. In this exercise, you will look at some spiral galaxies from a less favorable viewing angle.

In pictures #9, 10, and 11 are three more spiral galaxies. Try to classify them. Use the same techniques as before, but try to visualize how each subtype of spiral galaxy would change if viewed from the side. (Remember that in a negative image, bright white means no light, and dark means lots of light—so dusty regions show up as white!) **(3 points)**

Section #2: Elliptical Galaxies As described earlier, elliptical galaxies do not show very much detail—they are all brighter in the center, and fade away at the edges. The only difference is in *how* elliptical they are, ranging from round (E0) to football-shaped (E7). In this subsection will learn how to classify elliptical galaxies.

Exercise #4: In pictures #12, 13, 14, and 15 are some elliptical galaxies. Using Figure 10.8 as a guide, classify each of these four galaxies as either E0, E1, E2, E3, E4, E5, E6, or E7. Describe how you made each classification. **(4 points)**

Exercise #5: In our discussion about elliptical galaxy classification, we mentioned that there was a *quantitative* method to classify elliptical galaxies: you use the equation $10 \times (a - b)/a$ to derive the subclass number. In this equation “a” is the major axis (long diameter) and “b” is the minor axis (the short diameter). Go back to Figure 10.9 to see the definition of these two axes. For example, if you measured a value of $a = 40$ mm, and $b = 20$ mm, then the subclass is $10 \times (40 - 20)/40 = 10 \times (20/40) = 10 \times (0.5) = 5$. So that this particular elliptical galaxy is an E5.

If the measurements for an elliptical galaxy are $a = 30$ mm and $b = 20$ mm, what subclass is that galaxy? (Round to the nearest integer.) **(2 points)**

Measure the major and minor axes for each of the galaxies in pictures #12, 13, 14, and 15, and calculate their subtypes. Note: it can sometimes be hard to determine where the “edge”

of the galaxy is—try to be consistent and measure to the same level of brightness. **(4 points)**

It is pretty hard to measure the major and minor axes of elliptical galaxies on black and white photographs! Usually, astronomers use digital images, and then use some sort of image processing to make the task easier. Picture #16 is a digitized version of picture #15, processed so that similar light levels have the same color. As you can see, this process makes it much easier to define the major and minor axes of an elliptical galaxy.

Exercise #6: Measure the major and minor axes of the two elliptical galaxies shown in Pictures #16 and #17, and classify them using the same equation/technique as before. **(2 points)**

Section #3: Irregular Galaxies While most large galaxies in our Universe are either spirals or ellipticals, there are a large number of very strange looking galaxies. If we cannot easily classify a galaxy as a spiral or elliptical, we call it an Irregular galaxy. Some irregular galaxies appear to show some characteristics of spirals and/or ellipticals, others are completely amorphous blobs. Many of the most unusual looking galaxies are the result of the interactions between two galaxies (such as a collision). Sometimes the two galaxies merge

together, other times they simply pass through each other (see Fig. 10.11). Pictures 18 through 22 are of irregular galaxies.

Exercise #7: The peculiar shapes and features of the irregular galaxies shown in Pictures #18, 19 and 20 are believed to be caused by galaxy collisions or galaxy-galaxy interactions (that is, a close approach, but not a direct collision). Why do you think astronomers reached such a conclusion for these three galaxies? **(4 points)**

Exercise #8: In Pictures #21 and 22 are images of two “dwarf” irregular galaxies. Note the general lack of any structure in these two galaxies. Unlike the collision-caused irregular galaxies, these objects truly have no organized structures. It is likely that there are hundreds of dwarf galaxies like these in our Universe for every single large spiral galaxy like the Milky Way. So, while these dwarf irregular galaxies only have a few million stars (compared to the Milky Way’s 100+ billion), they are a significant component of all of the normal (“baryonic”) mass in our Universe. One common feature of dwarf irregular galaxies is their abundance of young, hot stars. In fact, more young stars are produced each year in some of these small galaxies than in our Milky Way, even though the Milky Way is 10,000 times more massive! Why this occurs is still not fully understood.

In the two dwarf irregular galaxies shown in Pictures #21 and 22, the large numbers of blue stars, and the high number of *bright* red supergiants (especially in NGC 1705) indicate a high star formation rate—that is lots of new, young stars. Why are large numbers of hot, luminous blue stars, and red supergiants linked to young stars? [Hint: If you have learned about the HR diagram, try to remember how long hot, blue O and B stars live. As their internal supply of hydrogen runs out, they turn into red supergiants.] **(4 points)**

Section #4: Full Color Images of Galaxies

As we have just shown, color images of galaxies let us look at the kinds of stars that are present in them. A blue color indicates hot, young O and B stars, while a predominantly red, or yellow color indicates old, cool stars (mostly red giants). In this subsection we explore the kinds of stars that comprise spiral and elliptical galaxies.

Exercise #9: Comparison of Spirals and Ellipticals

In Pictures #23 through 27 we show some color pictures of elliptical and spiral galaxies. Describe the average color of an elliptical galaxy (i.e., #23 & #24) compared to the colors of spiral galaxies (#25 to #27). **(3 points)**

Now, let's look more closely at spirals and ellipticals. When examining the color pictures of the spiral galaxies you should have noticed that the spiral arms are generally bluer in color than their bulges. Hot young stars are present in spiral arms! That is where all of the young stars are. But in the bulges of spirals, the color is much redder—the bulge is made up of mostly old, red stars. In fact, the bulges of spiral galaxies look similar to elliptical galaxies. Compare the large bulge of the Sombrero galaxy (Picture #27) to the giant E0 galaxy M87 (Picture #23). **(3 points)**

If the bulges of spiral galaxies are made-up of old, red giant stars, what does this say about elliptical galaxies? **(3 points)**

It is likely that you have learned about the emission of light by hydrogen atoms in your lecture sessions (or during the spectroscopy lab). Hydrogen is the dominant element in the Universe, and can be found everywhere. The brightest emission line in the visual spectrum of hydrogen is a red line at 656 nm. This gives glowing hydrogen gas a pinkish color. When we take pictures of glowing clouds of hydrogen gas they are dominated by this pink light. During the course of this semester, you will also hear about ‘HII’ regions (such as the “Orion Nebula”, see the monthly skycharts for February found in the back of this lab manual). HII regions form when hot O and B stars are born. These stars are so hot that they ionize the nearby hydrogen gas, causing it to glow. When we look at other spiral galaxies, we see many HII regions in them, just like those found in our Milky Way.

Of the spiral galaxies shown in Pictures 25 to 27, which has the most HII regions? Which appears to have the least? What does this imply about M51? **(3 points)**

Section #5: Multi-wavelength Views of Galaxies

We now want to explore what galaxies look like at ultraviolet and infrared wavelengths. “Multi-wavelength” data provides insights that cannot be directly gleaned from visual images.

We have just finished looking at some color images of galaxies. Those color pictures were

actually made by taking several images, each through a different color filter, and then combining them to form a true-color image. Generally astronomers take pictures through a red, green, and blue filter to generate an “RGB” color picture. Many computer programs, such as Adobe Photoshop, allow you to perform this type of processing. Sometimes, however, it is best not to combine several single-color images into a color picture—subtle detail is often lost. Also, astronomers can take pictures of galaxies in the ultraviolet and infrared (or even X-ray and radio!), light which your eye cannot detect. There is no meaningful way to represent the true colors of a galaxy in an ultraviolet or infrared picture. Why would astronomers want to look at galaxies in the ultraviolet or infrared? Because different types of stars have different colors, decomposing the light of galaxies into its component colors allows us to determine how such stars are distributed (as well as gas and dust). In Pictures #28 and 29 we present blue and red images of the spiral galaxy M81. As you have just learned, the bulges of spiral galaxies are red, and the spiral arms (and disks) of spiral galaxies are blue. Note how the red image highlights the bulge region, while the blue image highlights the disk. Hot stars emit blue light, so if we want to see how many blue stars there are in a galaxy, it is best to use blue, or even ultraviolet light.

In this part of the lab, we will look at some multi-wavelength data. Let’s remind ourselves first about the optical part of the electromagnetic spectrum. It runs from ultraviolet (“U”, 330 nm), to blue (“B”, 450 nm), through green/visual (“V”, 550 nm), to yellow, red (“R” 600 nm) and infrared (“I”, 760 nm and longer). The high energy photons have shorter wavelengths and are ultraviolet/blue, while the low energy photons have longer wavelengths and are red/infrared. If we go to shorter wavelengths than those that can penetrate our atmosphere, we enter the true ultraviolet (wavelengths of 90 to 300 nm). These are designated by UV or FUV (FUV means “far” ultraviolet, below 110 nm). We will now see what galaxies look like at these wavelengths—but note that we will switch back to black and white photos.

Exercise #10: Comparison of Optical and Ultraviolet Images of Galaxies

In Picture #30 are three separate images of two spiral galaxies. In the left hand column are FUV, U and I images of the Sc galaxy NGC 1365, and in the right hand column are FUV, U and R images of the Sa galaxy NGC 2841. Remember that images in the FUV, UV, U and B filters look at hot stars, while images in V, R, and I look at cooler stars. The ultraviolet really only sees hot stars! Compare the number of hot stars in NGC 1365 with NGC 2841. Describe the spiral arms of NGC 2841. What do you think is happening in the nucleus of NGC 2841? (4 points)

In Picture #31 are FUV, U and R images of two more galaxies: the Sc galaxy NGC 2403, and the irregular galaxy IC 2574. Compare the number of red and blue stars in these two galaxies—are they similar? What is the main difference? **(3 points)**

In Picture #32 is a similar set of images for two elliptical galaxies, NGC 5253 and NGC 3115 (which can also be seen in Pictures #15 and 16) Compare these two galaxies. While NGC 3115 is a normal elliptical galaxy, NGC 5253 seems to have something interesting going on near its nucleus. Why do we believe that? Describe how we might arrive at this conclusion? **(3 points)**

Exercise #11: Comparison of Optical and Infrared Images of Galaxies

Ok, now let's switch to the infrared. Remember that cool stars emit most of their energy in the red, and infrared portions of the electromagnetic spectrum. So if we want to trace where the cool, red (and old) stars are, we use red or infrared images. Another benefit of infrared light is its power to penetrate through dust, allowing us to see through dusty molecular gas clouds.

In Pictures #33 through #35 are blue (“B”, 450 nm) and infrared (“H”, 1650 nm) images of spiral galaxies. In Picture #33 we have Sa galaxies, in #34 we have Sb galaxies, and in #35 we have Sc galaxies. Compare how easy/hard it is to see the spiral arms in the B images

versus the H images. Where are the blue stars? Where are the red stars? Note that while the hot O and B stars are super-luminous (1 million times the Sun's luminosity), they are very rare. For each O star in the Milky Way galaxy there are millions of G, K, and M stars! Thus, while an O star may have 60 times the Sun's mass, they are tiny component of the total mass of a spiral galaxy. Thus, what does the infrared light trace? **(5 points)**

Finally, let's take a look at the Milky Way galaxy. As we mentioned in the introduction, we are embedded in the disk of the Milky Way galaxy, and thus it is hard to figure out the exact shape and structure of our galaxy. In Picture #36 is an optical picture that spans the entire sky—we see that our Milky Way galaxy has a well-defined disk. But in the optical photograph, it is difficult to ascertain the bulge of the Milky Way, or the symmetry of our galaxy—there is just too much dust in the way! Picture #37 is an infrared view that is identical to the previous optical image. What a difference! We can now see through all of that dust, and clearly make out the bulge—note how small it is. We think that the Milky Way is an Sc galaxy. Make an argument in support of this claim, compare it to the photographs

of other tilted spiral galaxies from Exercise #3. [Note: both of these images are special “projections” of the celestial sphere onto a two-dimensional piece of paper. This “Aitoff” projection makes sure the sizes and shapes of features are not badly distorted. For proper viewing, the right hand edge of these pictures should be wrapped around so that it touches the left hand edge, and you would have to be viewing the picture from inside to get a proper perspective. It is hard to take a three dimensional picture of the sky and represent it in two dimensions! A similar problem is encountered when using a rectangle to make a map of our globe (see the Terrestrial planet lab.) **(8 points)**

10.5 Summary (35 points)

Summarize the important concepts of this lab, including the following topics.

- Describe the process for classifying a spiral galaxy.
- Describe the process for classifying an elliptical galaxy.
- What are the main difficulties in classifying these two main types of galaxies (they may not be the same issues!).
- What kind of information does multi-wavelength data (images) on galaxies provide? How is it useful? What does it tell us?
- What types of stars are found in spiral galaxies? In ellipticals? What does this tell us about elliptical galaxies?
- What types of stars are found in dwarf irregular galaxies?

10.6 Possible Quiz Questions

1. What are the three main types of galaxies?
2. What are the major components of the Milky Way and other Spiral galaxies?
3. How big is the Milky Way, and how many stars does it contain?
4. What are O and B stars like? How long do they live? What are red supergiants?
5. What are HII regions?
6. Draw the electromagnetic spectrum and identify the visual, infrared and ultraviolet regions.

10.7 Extra Credit (ask your TA for permission before attempting, 5 points)

In the introduction we mentioned that many galaxies (including the Milky Way) have large black holes at their centers. These black holes rip apart stars and suck in the gas. As the gas falls in, it gets very hot, and emits a lot of X-rays, ultraviolet and blue light. Compared to the galaxy, this hot gas region is tiny, and shows up as a small bright spot at the nucleus of the galaxy in the ultraviolet. Go back to Pictures 30 to 32 and list which of the galaxies appear to have black holes at their centers. How did you reach your conclusion?

Name: _____

Date: _____

11 How Many Galaxies are there in the Universe?

11.1 Introduction

Measurements, calculations, physical principles and estimations (or educated guesses) lie at the heart of all scientific endeavors. Measurements allow the scientist to quantify natural events, conditions, and characteristics. However, measurements can be hard to make for practical reasons. We will investigate some of the issues with taking measurements in this lab.

In addition, an important part about the measurement of something is an understanding about the *uncertainty* in that measurement. No one, including scientists, ever make measurements with perfect accuracy, and estimating the degree to which a result is uncertain is a fundamental part of science. Using a result to prove or disprove some theory can only be done after a careful consideration of the uncertainty of the result.

- *Goals:* to discuss the concepts of estimation, measurement and measurement error, and to use these, along with some data from the Hubble Space Telescope, to estimate the number of observable galaxies in the Universe
- *Materials:* Hubble Deep Field image

11.2 Exercise Section

11.2.1 Direct Measurement, Measurement Error

We will start out by counting objects much closer to home than galaxies!

How many chairs do you think there are in your classroom? You have one minute!

How did you determine this?

How does your number compare with that of other groups? What does this say about the uncertainty in the results?

Now do an exact count of the number of chairs - you have three minutes. Note the advantage of working with a group! By comparing results from different groups, what is the uncertainty in the result?



Figure 11.1: A map of the NMSU campus from the NMSU WWW site

11.2.2 Estimation

Now we extend our measurement to a larger system where practical considerations limit us from doing a direct count.

How many chairs do you think there are in the entire University? You might wish to consider the campus map shown in Figure 11.1.

How did you determine your number?

How accurate do you think your number is?

How might you estimate the uncertainty in your number?

11.3 How many galaxies are there in the Universe?

Considering how you estimated the number of chairs in the classroom and on campus, consider and write down several alternative ways of estimating the number of galaxies in the Universe.

Let's consider the issue by looking at a picture of the sky taken with the Hubble Space Telescope. This telescope is the most capable of existing telescopes for viewing very faint objects. In an effort to observe the faintest galaxies, astronomers decided to spend 10 entire

days training this telescope on one small region of the sky to observe the faintest galaxies and learn about them. The image that was obtained is shown in Figure 11.2.

First, let's figure out how long it would take for the Space Telescope to take pictures like this over the entire sky.

To do this, we need to talk about how we measure distances and areas on the sky, concepts that we have used in some of the other labs this semester. When one measures, for example, the distance between two stars as seen from Earth, one measures what is known as an angular distance. A standard unit of this angular distance is the familiar unit of the degree; there are 360 degrees in a full circle. As an example, the distance between an object which is straight overhead and one which is on the horizon is 90 degrees. However, when one makes astronomical observations with big telescopes, one usually sees an area which is only a small fraction of a degree on a side. To make things easier to write, astronomers sometimes use units known as arcminutes and arcseconds. There are 60 arcminutes in a degree, and 60 arcseconds in an arcminute.

1. We can now use this information to calculate how many pictures the Space Telescope would have to make to cover the entire sky. The picture from the Space Telescope covers a region that is about 1 arcminute on a side. Our first conversion is from arcminutes to degrees (this has been partially done for you): **(3 points)**

$$1 \text{ arcminute} \times \frac{1 \text{ degree}}{60 \text{ arcminutes}} = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \text{ degrees} \quad (11)$$

2. The *area* of the entire picture is measured in square degrees, so we take the number of degrees found in question 1 and square it to get: **(3 points)**
3. Now there are 4.13×10^4 square degrees in the sky. From this you can figure out how many pictures you would need to take to cover the whole sky: **(5 points)**
4. Finally if it takes 10 days for each picture, we can figure out how long it would take to cover the whole sky with similar pictures: **(4 points)**
5. With a unit conversion of 365 days/year, we can determine the number of years it would take: **(4 points)**

Clearly, this is a very long time! This is an interesting point to note: astronomers can only take deep pictures of a small fraction of the sky. So it is not practical to count galaxies by taking pictures of the entire sky.

So how can we proceed to figure out how many total galaxies there are? We can make an *estimate* by guessing that the number of galaxies in any particular picture will be the




Figure 11.2: A reproduction of the Hubble Deep Field image.

same regardless of where we point. We can then estimate the total number of galaxies in the sky by counting the number of galaxies in this one picture, and multiplying it by the number of pictures that it would take to cover the whole sky.

6. Take a look at the image of the Hubble Deep Field given to you by your TA. Almost every one of the objects you see in this picture is a distant galaxy. Count up all the galaxies in each subsection then add them up to get an estimate of the number of galaxies in this one field. Again, you can proceed quicker by taking advantage of the multiple members of your group; however, you might wish to have everyone in the group count one region independently to get some idea of the measurement uncertainty. **(10 points)**

Region A1:

Region A2:

Region A3:

Region B1:

Region B2:

Region B3:

Region C1:

Region C2:

Region C3:

There are a total of galaxies in Hubble Deep Field.

7. Now estimate the total number of galaxies in the whole sky, using our calculation of the number of pictures it takes to cover the sky which we did above. **(7 points)**

This is a pretty amazingly large number. Consider that each galaxy has billions of stars, and think just for minute about how many total stars there are in the Universe! It makes you feel pretty small.... but, on the other hand, think how cool it is that humans have evolved to the point where they can even make such an estimation!

8. As we've discussed, an estimate of the *uncertainty* in a result is often as important as the result itself. Discuss several reasons why your result may not be especially accurate. You may wish to compare the number of galaxies in any given region which you counted with the number counted by other groups, or consider the variation in the number of galaxies from one region to another. Also, remember a fundamental assumption that we made for getting our estimate, namely, that the number of galaxies we would see in some other portion of the sky is the same as that which we see in this Hubble deep field. **(8 points)**

9. Finally, there's one more caveat to our calculated total number of galaxies. To make our estimate, we *assumed* that the 10 day exposure sees every single galaxy in this portion of the sky. With this in mind, how would the calculation you just conducted compare to the real number of galaxies in the Universe? Back up your answer with a short explanation. (8 points)

11.4 The Mass and Density of the Universe (Contained in Galaxies)

In the preceding we have estimated the number of galaxies in the Universe. In the final subsection of this lab, we now want to explore the implications of this calculation by making an estimate of the matter density of the Universe. In your lecture subsections, and some of the earlier labs (like in Table ?? in the Terrestrial Planet lab) you have probably encountered the concept of density: $\text{density} = \text{Mass}/\text{Volume}$. Astronomers usually use the unit of gm/cm^3 for density. We can now make an estimate for the density of matter contained in all of the galaxies in our Universe. We will start with very large numbers, and end up with an extremely tiny number. It is quite likely that your calculator cannot handle such numbers. To make this calculation easier, we will use some round numbers so that you can do the calculation by hand using the techniques outlined in Lab 1 (if you get stuck with how to multiply numbers with exponents, refer back to subsection 1.4 in the introductory lab). This is a challenging exercise, but one that gives you an answer that you might not expect!

10. In question 7 above, you estimated the total number of galaxies in the sky. If we assume that these galaxies are similar to (though probably somewhat smaller than) our Milky Way galaxy, we can calculate the total mass of all of the galaxies in the Universe. Over the course of this semester you will learn that the Milky Way has about 100 billion stars, and most of these stars are about the mass of the Sun, or somewhat smaller. The mass of the Sun is 2×10^{33} gm. Let's assume that the average galaxy in the Universe has 1/2 the number of stars that the Milky Way has: 50 billion. Fifty billion in scientific notation is 5×10^{10} . To calculate the mass (in gm) of all of the galaxies in the Universe, we need to solve this equation:

$$\text{Mass of Galaxies in Universe} = (\# \text{ of Galaxies}) \times (\text{Average Mass of a Galaxy})$$

You calculated the # of Galaxies in question 7. We need to multiply that number by the Average Mass of a Galaxy. The Average Mass of a Galaxy (in gm) is simply:

$$\text{Average Mass of a Galaxy} = (\# \text{ of stars in a galaxy}) \times (\text{average mass of a star})$$

If the number of stars in a galaxy is 5×10^{10} , and the average mass of a star is 2×10^{33} gm, what is the average mass of a galaxy? (2 points)

$$\text{Average Mass of a Galaxy} = (\underline{\hspace{2cm}}) \times (\underline{\hspace{2cm}})$$

$$= \underline{\hspace{4cm}} \text{ gm}$$

With this number, you can now calculate the total mass of all of the galaxies in the Universe **(2 points)**:

$$\text{Mass of Universe} = (\text{_____}) \times (\text{_____}) = \text{_____ gm}$$

11. We have just calculated the total mass of galaxies in the Universe, and are halfway to our goal of figuring out the density of galactic matter in the Universe. Since density = M/V , and we now have M , we have to figure out V , the Volume of the Universe. This is a little more difficult than getting M , so make sure you are confident of your answer to each of the following steps before proceeding to the next. We are going to make some assumptions that will simplify the calculation of V . First off, we will assume that the Universe is a sphere. The volume of a sphere is simply four thirds “pi” R cubed: $V_{\text{sphere}} = 4\pi R^3/3$. To figure out the volume of the Universe we need to calculate “ R ”, the radius of the Universe.

So, how can we estimate R ? In your lecture class you will find out that the most distant parts of the Universe are moving away from us at nearly the speed of light (the observed expansion of the Universe is covered in the Hubble’s Law lab). Let’s assume that the largest distance an object can have in our Universe is given by the speed of light $\times$ the age of the Universe. Remember, if a car travels at 50 mph for one hour it will cover 50 miles: Distance = velocity $\times$ time. We can use this equation to estimate the radius of the Universe: $R_{\text{Universe}} = \text{velocity} \times \text{time} = (\text{speed of light}) \times (\text{age of Universe})$.

The speed of light is a very large number: 3×10^{10} cm/s, and the age of the Universe is also large: 13 billion years. To calculate the radius of the Universe in cm, we must convert the age of the Universe in years to an age in seconds (s). First, how many seconds are there in a year? Let’s do the calculation:

$$\text{Seconds in year} = (\text{seconds in day}) \times (\text{days in year}) = (60 \times 60 \times 24) \times 365 = \text{_____ s/yr}$$

Since this is only estimate, feel free to round off any decimals to whole numbers. Now that we have the number of seconds in a year, we can convert the age of the Universe from years to seconds:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Age of Universe in seconds} &= (\text{Age of Universe in Years}) \times (\text{seconds in a year}) \\ &= (13 \times 10^9 \text{ yr}) \times \text{_____ s/yr} = \text{_____ s.} \end{aligned}$$

Ok, we now have the “time” part of the equation distance = velocity $\times$ time. And we have already set the velocity to the speed of light: 3×10^{10} cm/s. Now we can figure out the Radius of the Universe (**3 points**):

$$\text{Radius of Universe (in cm)} = (\text{speed of light}) \times (\text{Age of Universe in seconds}) =$$

$$(3 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm/s}) \times \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \text{ s} =$$

$$\underline{\hspace{2cm}} \text{ cm.}$$

In these calculations, notice how the *units* cancel. The units on a distance or radius is length, and astronomers generally use centimeters (cm) to measure lengths. Velocities have units of length per time, like cm/s. So when calculating a radius in cm, we multiply a velocity with units of cm/s $\times$ a time measured in seconds, and the units of seconds cancels, leaving a length unit (cm).

We are now ready to calculate the Volume of the Universe, $V = 4\pi R^3/3$. It may be easier for you to break this into two parts, multiplying out $4/3 \times \pi$, and then taking R^3 , and then multiplying those two numbers. [Remember, $\pi = 3.14$.]

$$\text{Volume of Universe} = 4/3 \times \pi \times R^3 =$$

$$\underline{\hspace{2cm}} \times \underline{\hspace{2cm}} =$$

$$\underline{\hspace{2cm}} \text{ cm}^3$$

12. Tying it all together: figuring out the average density of the Universe (at least that contained within galaxies—astronomers believe there is more “dark” matter in the Universe than the regular matter that we can see contained in galaxies!). We have just calculated the Volume of the Universe, and we have already calculated the Mass of all of the galaxies in the Universe. Now we take the final step, and calculate the Average Galactic Matter Density of the Universe (**3 points**):

$$\text{Average Density of the Universe} = M_{\text{Universe}}/V_{\text{Universe}} =$$

$$(\underline{\hspace{2cm}} \text{ gm})/(\underline{\hspace{2cm}} \text{ cm}^3) =$$

$$\underline{\hspace{2cm}} \text{ gm/cm}^3$$

13. The mass of a single hydrogen atom is 1.7×10^{-24} gm. Compare your answer for the average density of the Universe to the mass of a single hydrogen atom. [Hint: the average amount of mass (*in gm*) of 1 cm^3 of the Universe is simply the density you just calculated, but you drop the cm^3 of the units on density to get *gm*.] Are they similar? What does this imply about the Universe, is it full of stuff, or mostly empty? **(3 points)**

11.5 Summary (35 points)

Please summarize the important concepts discussed in this lab. Your summary should include a brief discussion of

- direct measurement vs. estimation
- error estimates in both direct measurement and estimation
- Consider the importance of the galaxy counting results discussed in lab. Since the Hubble Deep Field was taken in a presumably empty part of the sky, what is the significance of finding so many galaxies in this picture?
- Use the concepts discussed in this lab to estimate the total number of stars that you can see in the night sky by going out at night and doing some counting and estimating. Describe your method as well as the number you get and provide some estimate of your uncertainty in the number.
- Think back to a time before you did this lab, would you have expected the answer to question #13? Our Universe has many surprises!

Use complete sentences, and proofread your summary before handing in the lab.

11.6 Possible Quiz Questions

1. What is meant by the term “estimation”?
2. Why do scientists use estimation?
3. How many degrees are in a circle?
4. What is an “arcminute”?
5. What is the “Hubble Deep Field”?

11.7 Extra Credit (ask your TA for permission before attempting, 5 points)

In question #7, you estimated the number of galaxies in the Universe. In question #10 you found that a typical galaxy contains 50 billion stars. Thus, you can now estimate how many stars there are in the Universe. Recently, some mathematicians have estimated that there are between 7×10^{19} and 7×10^{22} grains of sand on all of the Earth’s beaches—that is every single beach on every single island and continent on the Earth. Obviously, this is a difficult estimate to make, and thus their estimate is quite uncertain. How would you begin to estimate the number of sand grains on the Earth’s beaches? What factors need to be taken into account?

Compare the number of stars in the Universe, with the number of grains of sand on the planet Earth. How do they compare? We still do not know the average number of planets that are found around an average star. It is probably safe to assume that 10% of all stars have at least one planet orbiting them. If so, how many planets are there in the Universe?

Name: _____

Date: _____

12 Hubble's Law: Finding the Age of the Universe

12.1 Introduction

In your lecture sessions (or the lab on spectroscopy), you will find out that an object's spectrum can be used to determine the temperature and chemical composition of that object. A spectrum can also be used to find out how fast an object is moving by measuring the Doppler shift. In this lab you will learn how the velocity of an object can be found from its spectrum, and how Hubble's Law uses the Doppler shift to determine the distance scale of the Universe.

- *Goals:* to discuss Doppler Shift and Hubble's Law, and to use these concepts to determine the age of the Universe
- *Materials:* galaxy spectra, ruler, calculator

12.2 Doppler Shift

You have probably noticed that when an ambulance passes by you, the sound of its siren changes. As it approaches, you hear a high, whining sound which switches to a deeper sound as it passes by. This change in pitch is referred to as the Doppler shift. To understand what is happening, let's consider a water bug treading water in a pond, as in Figure 12.1.




Figure 12.1: A waterbug, treading water.

The bug's kicking legs are making waves in the water. The bug is moving forward relative to the water, so the waves in front of him get compressed, and the waves behind him get stretched out. In other words, the frequency of waves increases in front of him, and decreases behind him. In wavelength terms, the wavelength is shorter in front of him, and longer behind him. Sound also travels in waves, so when the ambulance is approaching you, the frequency is shifted higher, so the pitch (not the volume) is higher. After it has passed you, the frequency is Doppler shifted to a lower pitch as the ambulance moves away from

you. You hear the pitch change because to your point of view the relative motion of the ambulance has changed. First it was moving toward you, then away from you. The ambulance driver won't hear any change in pitch, because for her the relative motion of the ambulance hasn't changed.

The same thing applies to light waves. When a light source is moving away from you, its wavelength is longer, or the color of the light moves toward the red end of the spectrum. A light source moving toward you shows a (color) shift.

This means that we can tell if an object is moving toward or away from us by looking at the change in its spectrum. In astronomy we do this by measuring the wavelengths of spectral lines. We've already learned how each element has a unique fingerprint of spectral lines, so if we look for this fingerprint and notice it is displaced slightly from where we expect it to be, we know that the source must be moving to produce this displacement. We can find out how fast the object is moving by using the Doppler shift formula:

$$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda_o} = \frac{v}{c}$$

where $\Delta\lambda$ is the wavelength shift you measure, λ_o is the rest wavelength¹ (the one you'd expect to find if the source wasn't moving), v is the radial velocity (velocity toward or away from us), and c is the speed of light (3×10^5 km/s).

In order to do this, you just take the spectrum of your object and compare the wavelengths of the lines you see with the rest wavelengths of lines that you know should be there. For example, we would expect to see lines associated with hydrogen so we might use this set of lines to determine the motion of an object. Here is an example:

Exercise 1. Doppler Shift (10 points)

If we look at the spectrum of a star, we know that there will probably be hydrogen lines. We also know that one hydrogen line always appears at 6563Å, but we find the line in the star's spectrum at 6570Å. Let's calculate the Doppler shift:

a) First, is the spectrum of the star redshifted or blueshifted (do we observe a longer or shorter wavelength than we would expect)?

¹For this lab we will be measuring wavelengths in Ångstroms. $1.0 \text{ Å} = 1.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}$.

b) Calculate the wavelength shift: $\Delta\lambda = (6570\text{\AA} - 6563\text{\AA})$

$$\Delta\lambda = \text{\AA}$$

c) What is its radial velocity? Use the Doppler shift formula:

$$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda_o} = \frac{v}{c}$$

$$v = \text{km/s}$$

A way to check your answer is to look at the sign of the velocity. Positive means redshift, and negative means blueshift.

Einstein told us that nothing can go faster than the speed of light. If you have a very high velocity object moving at close to the speed of light, this formula would give you a velocity faster than light! Consequently, this formula is not always correct. For very high velocities you need to use a different formula, the *relativistic* Doppler shift formula, but in this lab we won't need it.

12.3 Hubble's Law

In the 1920's Hubble and Slipher found that there is a relationship between the redshifts of galaxies and how far away they are (don't confuse this with the ways we find distances to stars, which are much closer). This means that the further away a galaxy is, the faster it is moving away from us. This seems like a strange idea, but it makes sense if the Universe is expanding.

The relation between redshift and distance turns out to be very fortunate for astronomers, because it provides a way to find the distances to far away galaxies. The formula we use is known as Hubble's Law:

$$v = H \times d$$

where v is the radial velocity, d is the distance (in Mpc), and H is called the Hubble constant and is expressed in units of $\text{km}/(\text{s} \times \text{Mpc})$. Hubble's constant is basically the expansion

rate of the Universe.

The problem with this formula is that the precise value of H is not known! If we take galaxies of known distance and try to find H , the values range from 50 to 100 km/(s $\times$ Mpc). By using the incredible power of the Hubble Space Telescope, the current value of the H is near 75 km/(s $\times$ Mpc). Let's do an example illustrating how astronomers are trying to determine H .

Exercise 2. The Hubble Constant (15 points)

In this exercise you will determine a value of the Hubble constant based on direct measurements. The figure below has spectra from five different galaxy clusters. At the top of this figure is the spectrum of the Sun for comparison. For each cluster, the spectrum of the brightest galaxy in the cluster is shown to the right of the image of the cluster (usually dominated by a single, bright galaxy). Above and below these spectra, you'll note five, short vertical lines that look like bar codes you might find on groceries. These are comparison spectra, the spectral lines which are produced for elements here on earth. If you look closely at the galaxy spectra, you can see that there are several dark lines going through each of them. The left-most pair of lines correspond to the "H and K" lines from calcium (for the Sun and for Virgo = Cluster #1, these can be found on the left edge of the spectrum). Are these absorption or emission lines? (Hint: How are they appearing in the galaxies' spectra?)


Now we'll use the shift in the calcium lines to determine the recession velocities of the five galaxies. We do this by measuring the change in position of a line in the galaxy spectrum with respect to that of the comparison spectral lines above and below each galaxy spectrum. For this lab, measure the shift in the "K" line of calcium (the left one of the pair) and write your results in the table below (Column B). At this point you've figured out the shift of the galaxies' lines as they appear in the picture. Could we use this alone to determine the recession velocity? No, we need to determine what shift this corresponds to for actual light. In Column C, convert your measured shifts into Ångstroms by using the conversion factor **19.7 Å/mm** (this factor is called the "plate scale", and is similar to the scale on a map that allows you to convert distances from inches to miles—you can determine this yourself using the separation of the H and K lines = 34.8 Å).

Earlier in the lab we learned the formula for the Doppler shift. Your results in Column C represent the values of $\Delta\lambda$. We expect to find the center of the calcium K line at $\lambda_o = 3933.0 \text{ Å}$. Thus, this is our value of λ . Using the formula for the Doppler shift along with your figures in Column C, determine the recession velocity for each galaxy. The speed of light is, $c = 3 \times 10^5 \text{ km/sec}$. Write your results in Column D. For each galaxy, divide the velocity (Column D) by the distances provided in Column E. Enter your results in Column F.

The first galaxy cluster, Virgo, has been done for you. Go through the calculations for Virgo to check and make sure you understand how to proceed for the other galaxies. **Show all of your work on a separate piece of paper and turn in that paper with your lab.**



The Spectrum of the Sun



Now we have five galaxies from which to determine the Hubble constant, H . Are your values for the Hubble constant somewhere between 50 and 100 km/(s $\times$ Mpc)? Why do you think that all of your values are not the same? The answer is simple: *human error*. It is only possible to measure the shift in each picture to a certain accuracy. For Virgo the shift is only about 1 mm, but it is difficult with a ruler and naked eye to measure such a small length to a high precision. A perfect measurement would give the “correct” answer (but note that there is always another source of uncertainty: the accuracy of the distances used in this calculation!).

A Galaxy Cluster	B Measured shift (mm)	C Redshift (Angstroms)	D Velocity (km/s)	E Distance (Mpc)	F Value of H (km/s/Mpc)
1. Virgo	<i>0.9</i>	<i>17.7</i>	<i>1,352</i>	20	<i>67.6</i>
2. Ursa Major				110	
3. Corona Borealis				180	
4. Bootes				300	
5. Hydra				490	

12.4 The Age of the Universe

The expansion of the Universe is a result of the Big Bang. Since everything is flying apart, it stands to reason that in the past everything was much closer together. With this idea, we can use the expansion rate to determine how long things have been expanding - in other words, the age of the Universe! As an example, suppose you got in your car and started driving up to Albuquerque. Somewhere around T or C, you look at your watch and wonder what time you left Las Cruces. You know you've driven about 75 miles and have been going 75 miles per hour, so you easily determine you must have left about an hour ago. For the age of the Universe, we essentially do the same thing to figure out how long ago the Universe started. This is assuming that the expansion rate has always been the same, which is probably not true (by analogy, maybe you weren't always driving at 75 mph on your way to T or C). The gravitational force of the galaxies in the Universe pulling on each other would slow the expansion down. However, we can still use this method to get a rough estimate of the age of the Universe.

Exercise 3. Age Calculation (15 points)

The Hubble constant is expressed in units of $\text{km}/(\text{s} \times \text{Mpc})$. Since km and Mpc are both units of distance, we can cancel them out and express H in terms of $1/\text{sec}$. Simply convert the Mpc into km, and cancel the units of distance. The conversion factor is **1 Mpc = 3.086×10^{19} km**.

a) Add up the five values for the Hubble constant written in the table of Exercise 2, and divide the result by five. This represents the average value of the Hubble constant you have determined.

$$H = \frac{\text{km}}{\text{s} \times \text{Mpc}}$$

b) Convert your value of H into units of $1/\text{s}$:

$$H = \frac{1}{\text{s}}$$

c) Now convert this into seconds by inverting it ($1/H$ from part b):

Age of the Universe = s

d) How many years is this? (convert from seconds to years by knowing there are 60 seconds in a minute, 60 minutes in an hour, etc.)

Age of the Universe=yrs

12.5 How Do we Measure Distances to Galaxies and Galaxy Clusters?

In exercise #2, we made it easy for you by listing the distances to each of the galaxy clusters. If you know the distance to a galaxy, and its redshift, finding the Hubble constant is easy. But how do astronomers find these distances? In fact, it is a very difficult problem. Why? Because the further away an object is from us, the fainter it appears to be. For example, if we were to move the Sun out to a distance of 20 pc, it would no longer be visible to the naked eye! Note that the closest galaxy cluster is at a distance of 20 Mpc, a million times further than this! Even with the largest telescopes in the world, we could not see the Sun at such a great distance (and Virgo is the closest big cluster of galaxies).

Think about this question: Why do objects appear to get dimmer with distance? What is actually happening? Answer: The light from a source spreads out as it travels. This is shown in Fig. 12.2. If you draw (concentric) spheres around a light source, the amount of energy passing through a square meter drops with distance as $1/R^2$. Why? The area of a sphere is $4\pi R^2$. The innermost sphere in Fig. 12.2 has a radius of “1” m, its area is therefore $4\pi \text{ m}^2$. If the radius of the next sphere out is “2” m, then its area is $16\pi \text{ m}^2$. It has $4\times$ the area of the inner sphere. Since all of the light from the light bulb passes through both spheres, its intensity (energy/area) must drop. The higher the intensity, the brighter an object appears to our eyes. The lower the intensity, the fainter it appears. Again, refer to Fig. 12.2, as shown there, the amount of energy passing through 1 square of the inner sphere passes through 4 squares for the next sphere out, and 9 squares (for $R = 3$) for the outermost sphere. The light from the light bulb spreads out as it travels, and the intensity drops as $1/R^2$.

Exercise 4. Inverse Square Law If the apparent brightness (or intensity) of an object is proportional to $1/R^2$ (where R = distance), how much brighter is an object in the Virgo cluster, compared to a similar object in Hydra? [Hint: how many times further is Hydra than Virgo?] **(2 points)**




Figure 12.2: If you draw concentric spheres around a light source (we have cut the spheres in half for clarity), you can see how light spreads out as it travels. The light passing through one square on the inner sphere passes through four squares for a sphere that has twice the radius, and nine squares for a sphere that has three times the radius of the innermost sphere. This is because the area of a sphere is $4\pi R^2$.

An object in Hydra is hundreds of times fainter than the same object in Virgo! Obviously, astronomers need to find an object that is very luminous if they are going to measure distances to galaxies that are as far, or even further away than the Hydra cluster. You have probably heard of a supernova. Supernovae (supernovae is the plural of supernova) are tremendous explosions that rip stars apart. There are two types of supernova, Type I is due to the collapse of a white dwarf into neutron star, while a Type II is the explosion of a massive star that often produces a black hole. Astronomers use Type I supernovae to measure distances since their explosions always release the same amount of energy. Type I supernovae have more than one billion times the Sun's luminosity when they explode! Thus, we can see them a long way.

Let's work an example. In 1885 a supernova erupted in the nearby Andromeda galaxy. Andromeda is a spiral galaxy that is similar in size to our Milky Way located at a distance of about 1 Mpc. The 1885 supernova was just barely visible to the naked eye, but would have been easy to see with a small telescope (or even binoculars). Astronomers use telescopes to collect light, and see fainter objects better. The largest telescopes in the world are the Keck telescopes in Hawaii. These telescopes have diameters of 10 meters, and collect 6 million times as much light as the naked eye (thus, if you used an eyepiece on a Keck telescope, you could "see" objects that are 6 million times fainter than those visible to your naked eye).

Using the fact that brightness decreases as $1/R^2$, how far away (in Mpc) could the Keck telescope see a supernova like the one that blew up in the Andromeda galaxy? **(2 points)**. [Hint: here we reverse the equation. You are given the brightness ratio, 6 million, and must solve for the distance ratio, remembering that Andromeda has a distance of 1 Mpc!]

Could the Keck telescopes see a supernova in Hydra? **(1 point)**

12.6 Questions

1. Explain how the Doppler shift works. **(5 points)**
2. In the water bug analogy, we know what happens to waves in front of and behind the bug, but what happens to the waves directly on his left and right (hint: is the bug's motion compressing these waves, stretching them out, or not affecting them at all)? With this in mind, what can the Doppler shift tell us about the motion of a star which is moving only at a right angle to our line of sight? **(5 points)**
3. Why did we use an average value for the Hubble constant, determined from five separate galaxies, in our age of the Universe calculation? What other important factor in our determination of the age of the Universe did we overlook? (Hint: It was mentioned in the lab.) **(5 points)**
4. Does the age of the Universe that you calculated seem reasonable? Check your textbook or the World Wide Web for the ages estimated for globular clusters, some of the oldest known objects in the Universe. How does our result compare? Can any object in the Universe be older than the Universe itself? **(5 points)**

12.7 Summary (35 points)

Summarize what you learned from this lab. Your summary should include:

- An explanation of how light is used to find the distance to a galaxy
- From the knowledge you have gained from the last several labs, list and explain *all* of the information that can be found in an object's spectrum.

Use complete sentences, and proofread your summary before handing in the lab.

Possible Quiz Questions

- 1) What is a spectrum, and what is meant by wavelength?
- 2) What is a redshift?
- 3) What is the Hubble expansion law?

12.8 Extra Credit (ask your TA for permission before attempting, 5 points)

Recently, it has been discovered that the rate of expansion of the Universe appears to be accelerating. This means that the Hubble “constant” is not really constant! Using the world wide web, or recent magazine articles, read about the future of the Universe if this acceleration is truly occurring. Write a short essay summarizing the fate of stars and galaxies in an accelerating Universe.

13 APPENDIX A: Fundamental Quantities

There are various ways to describe the world in which we live. Some are qualitative and others are quantitative. Qualitative descriptions describe aspects of objects or events such as texture, and use words like ‘rough’, ‘smooth’, ‘flat’ etc. Qualitative descriptions cannot be described numerically. One would not say that you looked tired with a value of 3.0 unless someone had first set up some kind of numerical scale to measure just how tired you were; tiredness is not something we measure quantitatively. On the other hand, length is a dimension that can be described either qualitatively or quantitatively; one can qualitatively describe an object as long, or one can quantitatively describe it as 10 feet in length.

All quantitative measurements are made in some kind of unit. Length, for example, can be measured in units of meters, feet, miles, etc. Other fundamental metric units are the kilogram (a measure of mass) and the second (a unit of time). Other units of measurement are combinations of these fundamental units. An example of a combination is velocity, expressed in units of meters per second (m/s) which measures how far something has moved in a given direction over a given period of time.

In astronomical studies, one sometimes uses units which express rather large values in the fundamental metric units. An example of this would be the Solar Mass unit (notated as $M_{\odot}$). The mass of our Sun is, by definition, one Solar Mass or about 1,900,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 kilograms. A star with 10 times as much mass can be written as $10 M_{\odot}$; this is clearly more convenient to write than a number with all those zeros! Other units used in astronomy are the light year (ly), parsec (pc), and the astronomical unit (A.U.), all of which are units of distance. The unit you choose to use depends on the situation, and personal preferences. When describing distances in the solar system the astronomical unit is typically used since it is the average distance from the Sun to the Earth. In describing distances to stars the parsec or light year is usually used.

As described in the introductory lab, the metric system allows easy expression of large multiples of the fundamental units via prefixes. For example, 1,000 meters is called a kilometer and is usually written as 1km.

As described in section 1.4 scientists also use a notation system called scientific notation for representing very large or very small numbers without having to write lots of digits. As an example of how large numbers can get in science let’s look at the mass of Mars. Using Kepler’s laws of motion to study Mars’ moons, astronomers have determined that Mars has a mass approximately equal to 640,000,000,000,000,000,000 kilograms. Now you can see that it is rather inconvenient to write down all those zeroes, and it is confusing to use the prefixes above. Imagine how much more mass there is in the Galaxy and you can see that we need an easy way to write very big (or very small) numbers. This leads us to the concept of Scientific Notation.

14 APPENDIX B: Accuracy and Significant Digits

The number of significant digits in a number is the number of non-zero digits in the number. For example, the number 12.735 has *five* significant digits; the number 100 has *1*. When computing numbers, people today often use calculators since they give us precise answers quickly. Unfortunately, many times they give us answers that are unnecessarily and sometimes unrealistically precise. In other words, they give us as many significant digits as can fit on the calculator screen. In most cases, you will not know the numbers you are plugging in to the calculator to this precise of a value, and therefore will get an answer that has too many significant digits to be correct. This will be the case for your astronomy labs this semester. In general, you should only report the accuracy of a calculation with the number of significant digits of the least certain (smallest number of significant digits) of any of the numbers which were the input into the calculation. For example, if you are dividing 13.2 by 6.8, although your calculator gives 1.94117647, you should only report two significant figures (i.e. 1.9), since that is the number of significant figures in the input number 6.8.

15 APPENDIX C: Unit Conversions

Very often, scientists convert numbers from one set of units to another. In fact, not only do scientists do this, but you do it as well! For example, if someone asks you how tall you are, you could tell them your height in feet or even in inches. If someone said they are 72 inches tall, and 12 inches equals 1 foot, then you know they are 6 feet tall! This is nothing more than a simple conversion from units of inches to units of feet. Another everyday unit conversion is from minutes to hours, and vice versa. If it takes you 30 minutes to drive from Las Cruces to Anthony, then it takes you 0.5 hours. We know this because 60 minutes are equal to 1 hour. However, how can we write these unit conversions, with all the steps, so that we are sure we are converting units correctly (especially when the units are foreign to us (i.e. parsecs, AU, etc.))?

Let us begin with our everyday conversion of inches to feet. Say a person informs you that they are 72 inches tall and you want to know how many feet tall they are. First, we need to know the unit conversion from inches to feet (12 inches = 1 foot). We then write the following equation:

$$72 \text{ inches} \times \frac{1 \text{ foot}}{12 \text{ inches}} = 6 \text{ feet} \quad (12)$$

Note how the inches units cancel (one in the numerator and one in the denominator) and the units which remain are feet. As for the mathematics, simply use normal rules of division ($72/12 = 6$) and you wind up with the correct result.

The second example, minutes to hours, can be performed using the method above, but what if someone asked you how many days there are in 30 minutes? You will need to use 2 unit conversions to do this (60 minutes = 1 hours, 24 hours = 1 day). Here is how you may perform the unit conversion:

$$30 \text{ minutes} \times \frac{1 \text{ hour}}{60 \text{ minutes}} \times \frac{1 \text{ day}}{24 \text{ hours}} \approx 0.0208 \text{ days} = 2.08 \times 10^{-2} \text{ days} \quad (13)$$

Again, note that the minute units have cancelled as well as the hour units, leaving only days.

You have now seen how to perform single and multiple unit conversions. The key to performing these correctly is to 1) make sure you have all the conversion factors you need, 2) write out all of the steps and make sure the units cancel, and 3) think about your final result and ask whether the final result makes sense (is 30 minutes a small fraction of a day? Does 72 inches equal 6 feet?).

16 APPENDIX D: Uncertainties and Errors


A very important concept in science is the idea of uncertainties and errors. Whenever measurements are made, they are never made absolutely perfectly. For example, when you measure your height, you probably measure it only to roughly the nearest tenth of an inch or so. No one says they are exactly 71.56789123 inches tall, for example, because they don't make the measurement this accurately. Similarly, if someone says they are 71 inches tall, we don't really know that they are exactly 71 inches tall; they may, for example, be 71.002 inches tall, but their measurement wasn't accurate enough to draw this distinction.

In astronomy, since the objects we study are so far away, measurements can be very hard to make. As a result, the uncertainties of the measurements can be quite large. For example, astronomers are still trying to refine measurements of the distance to the nearest galaxy. At the current time, we think the distance is about 160,000 light years, but the uncertainty in this measurement is something like 20,000 light years, so the true distance may be as little as 140,000 light years or as much as 180,000 light years. When you do science, you have to always assess the errors on your measurements.

17 Observatory Worksheets

You *must* visit campus observatory twice this semester. You will need to take four of the observatory worksheets with you each time you go.

Campus Observatory Observation Sheet



Your Name: _____ T.A.: _____


Date & Time: _____ Telescope: _____

Type of Object: _____ Object Name: _____

Object Description: _____

Fact about this object (and the source of information):

Campus Observatory Observation Sheet



Your Name: _____ T.A.: _____


Date & Time: _____ Telescope: _____

Type of Object: _____ Object Name: _____

Object Description: _____

Fact about this object (and the source of information):

Campus Observatory Observation Sheet



Your Name: _____ T.A.: _____


Date & Time: _____ Telescope: _____

Type of Object: _____ Object Name: _____

Object Description: _____

Fact about this object (and the source of information):

Campus Observatory Observation Sheet



Your Name: _____ T.A.: _____


Date & Time: _____ Telescope: _____

Type of Object: _____ Object Name: _____

Object Description: _____

Fact about this object (and the source of information):

Campus Observatory Observation Sheet



Your Name: _____ T.A.: _____


Date & Time: _____ Telescope: _____

Type of Object: _____ Object Name: _____

Object Description: _____

Fact about this object (and the source of information):

Campus Observatory Observation Sheet



Your Name: _____ T.A.: _____


Date & Time: _____ Telescope: _____

Type of Object: _____ Object Name: _____

Object Description: _____

Fact about this object (and the source of information):

Campus Observatory Observation Sheet



Your Name: _____ T.A.: _____


Date & Time: _____ Telescope: _____

Type of Object: _____ Object Name: _____

Object Description: _____

Fact about this object (and the source of information):

Campus Observatory Observation Sheet



Your Name: _____ T.A.: _____

Date & Time: _____ Telescope: _____

Type of Object: _____ Object Name: _____

Object Description: _____

Fact about this object (and the source of information):
