

Getting to know the "island universes" out there.

Galaxies I

ASTR 555 Dr. Jon Holtzman

Be ready to discuss

- Of all the revelations in extragalactic astronomy that we discuss, which do you think was the most shocking and revolutionary to the people of the time?
- In what respect(s) is this image appropriate and / or inappropriate to a course on galaxies?



Course Overview

- * We will aim to cover:
 - Observing Galaxies the main techniques used for observing galaxies
 - Galaxy Population the observed properties of galaxies
 - Building Blocks the basic building blocks that make up individual galaxies
 - * Milky Way our own Galaxy

Outline for Today

- Observing Galaxies -Imaging:
 - Surface Brightness
 - Elliptical Isophotes
 - Surface Brightness Profiles
 - Bulge/DiskDecomposition



NGC1232 (ESO)

Observing Galaxies - Imaging

What is the basic observable quantity for astronomical objects, i.e. it's name and units? Is it the same for all three types of objects shown here?



Sky and Telescope





- Basic measured property for imaging of an <u>unresolved</u> or <u>point-like</u> object is flux
- Units: ergs/s / cm², W/m², or magnitudes
- Often given in terms of flux in a certain bandpass
- Obeys an inverse square law (for isotropically emitting source):

$$F = \frac{L}{4\pi r^2}$$



Sky and Telescope



Michigan Astronomy - University of Michigan

- * Magnitudes:
 - Greek Astronomer Hipparchus devises magnitude system (1 - 6)
 - Norman Pogson puts magnitudes on a rigorous mathematical scale
 - Often quoted in terms of a certain filter (UBVRI or SDSS ugriz), e.g., m_B or m_V

$$\Delta m = 5 \rightarrow 100:1$$

$$\Delta m = 1 \rightarrow (100)^{\frac{1}{5}}:1$$

$$\sim 2.512:1$$



Norman Robert Pogson (1829 – 1891)

$$\frac{f_2}{f_1} = 100^{(m_1 - m_2)/5}$$
or
$$m_1 - m_2 = -2.5 \log_{10}(\frac{f_1}{f_2})$$

Hipparchus (190-120 BCE)

http://burro.case.edu

- Basic measured property for imaging of a <u>resolved</u> or <u>extended</u> object is surface brightness, the flux per unit solid angle
- & Units: ergs/s/cm2/arcsec2, ergs/s/ cm2/sterradian, W/m2/arcsec2, or mag/arcsec2

 $\mu \propto -2.5 \log(I)$

* Often given in terms of light in a certain bandpass, e.g., IB or μV



HST image of Helix Nebula (NASA/ESA)

 $\mu=m+2.5\cdot\log_{10}A$

(for uniform SB, A = in square angle!)

 angular sizes: degrees, arcminutes, arcseconds, or radians







 Angular areas (solid angles) measured, e.g., in square degrees, square arcseconds, or steradians (square radians)

Exercises

- The total flux of a certain galaxy is 10 times higher in the V band than that of another galaxy. What is the difference inmagnitudes?
- M87 has a central surface brightness in the V band of µV = 17 mag/arcsec². If the core radius is 10 arcsec and you make the (bad!) assumption that the surface brightness is constant, what's the core's apparent magnitude?

$$\frac{f_2}{f_1} = 100^{(m_1 - m_2)/5}$$
or
$$m_1 - m_2 = -2.5 \log_{10}(\frac{f_1}{f_2})$$



NGC 4414 (NASA)



M87 (NASA/STScI/WikiSky)

$$\frac{f_2}{f_1} = 100^{(m_1 - m_2)/5}$$
or
$$m_1 - m_2 = -2.5 \log_{10}(\frac{f_1}{f_2})$$

 How does surface brightness change with the distance of an object?

S

 $4\pi p^2 \underline{s^2}$

 In a simple, static universe, the surface brightness is independent of distance.

 $F = \frac{L}{4\pi r^2} \qquad \mathbf{S}$

$$s \approx r\theta$$

1

Our universe is not simple...

θ

- In an expanding universe, there are several additional effects:
 - the rate at which photons are received is reduced a factor of (1+z).
 - * the energy of each photon is reduced a factor of (1 +z).
 - * distant objects don t shrin as uch as in static universe a factor of $(1+z)^2$.
- Combining these effects together, in an expanding universe surface brightness decreases as (1+z)⁴!

(See Galaxies II for more details!)

- In principle, surface brightness measured directly from 2D image.
- Observational challenges:
 - galaxies are faint! more than half of the light comes from regions with SB < SBsky
 - need deep images (long integration time, big telescopes, dark sky)
- Challenging to observe fainter than ~24-25 mag/sq.arcsec in unresolved light
- seeing affects central SB
- sky determination affects outer SB distribution





Figure 5-19. The effects of errors in the subtracted sky background on the profile of a galaxy with a truncated brightness distribution. (a) The background has been underestimated so that the night sky contributes to the "galaxy" brightness at large radii. (b) The background is higher than in (a) but still too low. (c) The correct background level.

Mihalas & Binney

- Can observe to lower surface
 brightness (~30 mag/sq.arcsec) for
 nearby objects in which you can
 resolve stars, i.e. add up their
 individual brightnesses
- scattered light : if carefully controlled, can observe unresolved light significantly fainter, e.g. Dragonfly array





https://www.dragonflytelescope.org/gallery.html

Observing Galaxie

- Strong selection effects against low surface brightness objects
- Apparent "size" and "total magnitude" of a galaxy will depend on its surface brightness.
- Example: NGC 4526 vs. NGC 4535 (the "Lost Galaxy"). Both mv=10.7 mag but have very different surface brightnesses!



Thought Question

- * What is the surface brightness actually telling us about the galaxy? (That is, why do we care?)
- * What should we do with the surface brightness information once we measure it?

Observing Galaxies - Imaging: Elliptical Isophotes



M31 isophotes — Image Credit: Vahid K. Alilou, MathWorks.com



Isophotes of four giant elliptical galaxies — Sparke & Gallagher 2000

- Most galaxies are symmetric at a significant level
- Galaxy isophotes (contours of equal surface brightness) are typically well represented by ellipses (but not perfectly!).
- Elliptical contours fit spirals and ellipticals for slightly different reasons (i.e., viewing angle/ disk thickness vs. intrinsic ellipticity).
- * Ellipse flattening characterized by ellipticity=(1-b/a) or eccentricity, $e^2 = (1-(b/a)^2)$

- For a purely axisymmetric object, surface brightness distribution reduces to a 1D (major axis) surface brightness profile.
- * Goal: to find a "good fit" to the surface brightness profile

Ellipticals





- For a purely axisymmetric object, surface brightness distribution reduces to a 1D (major axis) surface brightness profile.
- * Goal: to find a "good fit" to the surface brightness profile



- * Surface brightness profiles often parameterized:
 - * spirals/disks: exponential profile



* ellipticals: de Vaucouleurs (r1/4) profile





NGC 7331; Image Credit: Dietmar Hager, Torsten Grossmann, APOD



NGC 4472 (M49), most luminous member of Virgo Cluster Image Credit: David Hogg, Michael Blanton, SDSS

- Surface brightness profiles often parameterized:
 - * spirals/disks: exponential profile
 - * r_s = scale radius (where SB drops by 1/e)
 - * Σ_s = surface brightness at scale radius

$$\Sigma(r) = \Sigma_s \exp\left(-\frac{r}{r_s}\right)$$

- * ellipticals: **de Vaucouleurs (***r*_{1/4}**) profile**
 - *r_e* = half-light radius, i.e. radius that encloses half total light if model is extrapolated to infinity
 - * Σ_e = surface brightness at effective radius
 - * Σ_0 = central surface brightness (note: $\Sigma_0 \sim 2000 \Sigma_e$)

$$\Sigma(r) = \Sigma_e \exp\left(-7.67 \left[\left(\frac{r}{r_e}\right)^{1/4} - 1 \right] \right)$$

 Both profiles can be described using a more general form, the Sérsic profile:

$$\Sigma(r) = \Sigma_e \exp\left(-b_n \left[\left(\frac{r}{r_e}\right)^{1/n} - 1\right]\right)$$

- * Sérsic index *n* governs shape
- * n=4 for ellipticals; n=1 for disks
- * r_e = half-light radius
- * b_n = determined from the definition of r_e as the half-light radius ($b_n \sim 2n - 0.324$). $\int_0^{\infty} I_b(r) 2\pi r \, dr = 2 \int_0^{r_e} I_b(r) 2\pi r \, dr \, .$



Discussion

- Describe how the profiles of an elliptical and a spiral galaxy differ.
 - * How would you describe the comparison of the profiles of the two galaxies if they had the same total luminosity? Is this represented by one of the plots at the right?
 - Which profile is more concentrated, deVaucouleurs (n=4) or exponential (n=1)?



MacArthur, Courteau, & Holtzman 2003

Observing Galaxies - Imaging: Bulge/Disk Decomposition

- Many galaxies are well fit by multiple components,
 e.g., a central bulge plus an extended disk
- Can split the different
 components via bulge-disk
 decomposition (e.g. MacArthur,
 Courteau, & Holtzman 2003).
 - * Why would one want to do this?
 - 1D vs 2D decomposition
- * Problems / assumptions?





NGC 7331; Image Credit: Dietmar Hager, Torsten Grossmann, APOD

Observing Galaxies - Imaging: Bulge/Disk Decomposition

- Looking ahead:
 - are bulges like
 elliptical galaxies?
 - maybe not, at least for later type spirals:
 bulge Sersic profiles closer to n=1 than to n=4 in many cases
 secular evolution





NGC 7331; Image Credit: Dietmar Hager, Torsten Grossmann, APOD

Paper summary 1 / Problems 1

- Surface brightness, magnitudes, flux, Sersic profiles
- Paper summary 1 read/synthesize
 paper due September 1
 - Straatman et al. 2015 "The Sizes of Massive Quiescent and Starforming Galaxies at z~4 with ZFOURGE and CANDELS"
- Problems 1 quantitative / coding assignment related to the paper - due September 8



Dr. Caroline Straatman Ghent University, Belgium