

---

## ASTRO 500 Fall 2014 NMSU in Review

---

**Primary Presenter:**

Evaluator's Name

Primary Topic:

Discussion Leader:

---

**Clarity of Content** (Was adequate background/motivation to the science provided? Were the main results of the papers highlighted appropriately? Were the most relevant figures shown? Was the future direction of the research discussed appropriately? Was the talk structured well?)

Score \_\_\_\_\_ / 10

Comments:

**Clarity of Slides** (Were the slides clear and readable, i.e., overall color balance, font size, use of relative font size and color highlighting, no text crowding, balance of text, figures, and equations?)

Score \_\_\_\_\_ / 10

Comments:

**Clarity of Presentation** (Was the cadence, clarity of speech, and volume of the speaker appropriate? Were figures clearly explained *and then* interpreted for the audience? Was use of a pointer effective or ineffective? Was there an over use of reading notes, or a natural flow including eye contact with the audience? Were there distracting mannerisms?)

Score \_\_\_\_\_ / 10

Comments:

Total \_\_\_\_\_ / 30